**Attachment 18: Impact of the Union of South Africa on the nation created a select elitist ruling society**

The impact of the Union of South Africa on the nation, created a select elitist ruling society, protected by a Constitution, at the expense of the masses.

The Union of South Africa was not for the benefit of the nation, but for the benefit of those who created the Union.

The Union was created after it was discovered that the treasury of the Boer Zuid Afrikaans Republic was larger than that of the British Empire, and after the treasury of the British Empire was bankrupt, by and in order for the British Crown to channel wealth from South Africa to its own coffers, at as little expense to the Crown as possible. To keep disinvestment costs down, the Crown selected a few representatives who were over compensated, to govern over the poor masses in South Africa, as assets or liabilities of the Crown. The Crown has ensured that it kept control of South Africa by installing governments which it could manipulate.

Leadership positions in the government of South Africa have been awarded to people who have been considered to be loyal to the Crown. Their loyalty has mostly been bought by representatives of the Crown through bribery, corruption, and visions of splendor.

The leadership positions of government in South Africa have thus become a select society of elite members who serve the Crown, instead of serving the South African nation. This is indicated clearly when we read Attachment 29 – The Illuminati.

Although leadership positions are handed over from government to government, and the character of South Africa has passed from being a Union to becoming a Republic, the select society of elite members who have manipulated the political arena in South Africa, have remained firmly in place throughout the history of South Africa as representatives of the Crown. This select society has become known as the Afrikanerbond, previously known as the Afrikaner Broederbond. The Afrikanerbond is not exclusive to any particular race or language. It is a bond between men who work together to enrich themselves irrespective of the way in which their wealth is attained. The Afrikanerbond is part of the international money elite Brotherhood, which controls the world’s money from the Crown.

How the Afrikanerbond originated in South Africa is discussed in Attachment 10 - South Africa is bound as a possession by the Crown, Part 1: The Free Burgher Resistance to the Crown resulted in the creation of the Boer identity, Section: The connection established between Afrikanerbond and Illuminati.

In this document we discuss how the original elitist ruling society in South Africa in PART 1 - The original select elitist ruling society of the Crown in southern Africa;

How the political leaders, who were chosen from the Afrikanerbond, developed into an elitist ruling society in South Africa before they handed over governance to the present elitist ruling society within the African National Congress in PART TWO – THE Elitist Afrikaner ruling society in South Africa; and the Brotherhood which controls South Africa at present in PART THREE – The present elitist ruling society in South Africa.

**PART 1. The original select elitist ruling society of the Crown in southern Africa.**

**A. Build up to the “Union of South Africa” by the Crown**

The legislation for the Union of South Africa was drawn up by the Kindergarten group, which is discussed in Attachment 8: Final steps to complete the formation of the Union of South Africa for total annexation by the Crown.

**1. The Kindergarten was the first select elitist ruling group in South Africa**

The career of Lord Alfred Milner began when he was a protégé of Sir Evelyn Baring.

Baring was a banker from the Crown. Milner and his Kindergarten group are

discussed in Attachment 5 - Key Role Players in the wars against South Africans

before the Union of South Africa – Rhodes, Smuts, Milner.

Lord Milner was serving the Crown when he selected men to become part of the Kindergarten group to work for him. The Kindergarten group was responsible for the establishment of the Union, which they governed during its formation, and afterwards on behalf of the Crown.

The hidden hand of the Crown in the planning of the “Union of South Africa” is described in the book by Prof. Carroll Quigley ‘The Anglo-American Establishment’ in Footnote 1: The hidden hand of the Crown formed the Union; from which we draw the following points:

* Newspapers in both South Africa and Britain were funded by the Crown and edited by the Kindergarten group to further the cause of Union;
* Propaganda bodies were formed by the Kindergarten group in 1906 throughout South Africa to promote the establishment of a Union which were classified as ‘Closer Union Societies’;
* The Central Committee of the Closer Union Societies drew up secret documents which they issued anonymously to every delegate to the National Convention in Durban in 1908. These documents included a detailed account of the political institutions in various areas which they called ‘The Government of South Africa’, and ‘The Framework of Union’, upon which the Constitution of the Union of South Africa was designed in 1910. The National Convention of 1908 was used to gather support from the leaders of the various South African states for a Union;
* The Kindergarten group isolated the Transvaal delegation which represented the Boers by placing them together in one house with a body of legal expert advisers who worked for the Kindergarten group;
* The Kindergarten group lied to the various states of South Africa by saying that a referendum had been taken which revealed an overwhelming majority in favour of a Union as no such referendum had, in fact, been taken;
* The Kindergarten group maintained secrecy of what materialized during the National Convention of 1908. No record of its proceedings was kept, and they drafted its resolutions according to their own desires.

The positions held in government by the elite Kindergarten group in the years immediately before and after the Union of South Africa was established is discussed in Attachment 5 – Key Role Players from the Crown in the wars against South Africans during its annexation to form the illegal Union of South Africa, Subsection: The Kindergarten Group.

The bankers from the Crown maintained their control of South Africa through the Kindergarten group, and expanded support for their agendas by creating, funding and supporting various political parties in South Africa. The ‘Unionists’ in particular had become the vehicle for the influence of Milner and his Kindergarten policies in the political arena of South Africa after 1910. The Unionists represented a single white South African nation in support of the federal imperialist movement.

To note that representation in governance of the indigenous people of South Africa had already been restricted by the pro-British political parties before the final vote to find out who was in favour of the Union of South Africa was made, which carried forth discrimination by nature, and only favored white men (preferably those from the English speaking stock) after the Union of South Africa was finalized.

**White South Africans were divided in their opinion regarding the Union of South Africa**

After the second Anglo-Boer war, General Louis Botha had proffered the notion of ‘forget and forgive’, and envisioned a new political party in South Africa which would comprise of Boers, Afrikaners and the British. This party would exclude white racism and promote the white South African nation as a successful union. He called for the establishment of the ‘South African National Party’ and hoped to unite the ‘Het Volk’ from the Transvaal, the ‘Orangia-Unie’ from the Orange Free State, and the ‘Afrikanerbond’ from the Cape. However, the September 1909 election drove these parties apart. It was only after the Union of South Africa had been finalized that these parties united to form the ‘South African’ Party (SAP) on 22 November, 1911.

**The British whites provided a platform for the Crown amongst the workers and businessmen**

**(i) A political party to represent the businessmen**

Dr. L.S.Jameson suggested a coalition governance system to General Botha. Botha did not agree to this, thereafter the English speaking ‘Progressives’ from the Transvaal, and the ‘Constitutionalists’ from the Orange Free State united with the ‘Unionists’ under the leadership of Jameson on the 25th of May 1910. This party was the mouthpiece for the Rand capitalist interests, which were chiefly in the gold mining areas of the Zuid Afrikaanse Republiek, and effectively represented the Crown in South Africa.

**(ii) A political party to represent the workers**

In January 1910, Mr. FHP Creswell established the ‘South African Labour Party’ also known as the Labour Party. His interests were in support of the white workers, who were mostly English speaking.

**B. The impact of the Union on the citizens of South Africa**

**(i) Discrimination against certain communities in the country was made legal and included into the Constitution of South Africa**

**Regulations before the Union was finalized**

The Constitution for the Union of South Africa adopted the regulations which the Crown had already brought into governance in South Africa before the Union was finalized. These regulations were the foundations on which Apartheid was laid, and included the following considerations:

* In 1809, the Crown government in the Cape passed the ‘Native Pass Law in South Africa’ whereby no African could leave his home without a legal passbook;
* In 1865, the British governor Theophilus Shepstone passed a law which forbade Africans from voting in Natal;
* In 1894, Cecil John Rhodes prohibited Krom Hendricks to accompany a Cape team to Britain;
* In 1894, the British governor Cecil John Rhodes instigated segregation in South African sports events. To note that Britain never complained about segregation in sports events of South Africa until 1948 when the Afrikaner National Party, under Dr. D.F.Malan, took the seat in Parliament.

In 1903, British governor Lord Milner established SANAC (South African of South Africa from the British Empire;

* In 1905 Lord Balfour warns against voting rights for ‘naturel’s’. Chamberlain, Lord Milner, Trollope, and Lord Bryce were all vehemently opposed against voting rights for black people;
* In 1905, compulsory separate schools were established in the Cape by Cecil John Rhodes. To note that there had been NO such laws in the Boer Republics;
* In 1907, The South African Law of 1907 was accepted by the British government, which declared that only people of European descent could be elected to the South African parliament;

**Regulations after the Union was finalized**

The Constitution for the Union of South Africa adopted policies of segregation to enforce white supremacy, mainly favoring the British, in South Africa, of which the following acts were the most notable:

* In 1911, the Mines and Works Act of 1911 was passed, which reserved certain jobs for white workers;
* In 1911, black mine workers strike action was prohibited;
* In 1913, The Native Land Act no 2 of 1913 was passed in which black people were not allowed to purchase, nor hire land areas outside of their reserves;
* In 1924, the Industrial Conciliation Act of 1924 excluded black people from the word “employee”;
* In 1925, the concept of job reservation is introduced by the British born minister HW Sampson, and implemented in the mines, and industries;
* In 1927, sex and marriages across the colour line is declared unlawful by the Natal Immorality Act of 1927;
* In 1936, the Natives Representation Act of 1936 drastically weakened the political rights of black people in the Cape province;
* In 1945, during Jan Smut’s last term of office, the Native Urban Act 25 of 1945 is implemented, which stated that a black person cannot be present in any white areas for longer than 72 hours without a permit.

Segregation of people by their color, in the work and living environment, lead to apartheid, a state of emergency in the 1980’s, and the collapse of governance.

**(i) Control of South Africa by the Crown continued through one government to the next**

Along with the Kindergarten group, Louis Botha and Jan Smuts led the governance of South Africa after the Union had been incorporated until 1924. Their strong tendencies to favour the Imperialist British Crown idealism to the detriment of the indigenous tribes estranged the government from the Boers, and widened the wedge between the Afrikaans speakers and the English speakers in the land.

To Hertzog it had become clear that the government was infringing on the interest of the Boers. His attitude reached a climax during a public speech he gave at De Wildt in December 1912, wherein he brand marked the British as “fortune seeking strangers” with greater loyalty to the British Crown than the Union, and who should not be allowed to govern the country.

This offset a division in the South African Party, and led to the establishment of the ‘National Party’ (NP) by Hertzog on the 7th of January 1914, as well as the consolidation of the non-white political parties to what became known as the ‘African National Party’ (ANC), as described Attachment 9 - Objections to the formation of the Union of South Africa, Section A no. 5: The South African Native National Congress was formed to object against the legislation for the Union of South Africa

To maintain control of the political arena in South Africa, the Crown infiltrated the various political parties in South Africa with members, revolutionaries and leaders on their payroll. By the end of the twentieth century, there were only two prominent political parties in South Africa, namely the National Party (NP) and the African National Congress (ANC). In 1994, these two parties merged when the National Party handed over control of the country to the African National Congress.

The support of the Crown for both the National Party and the African National Congress during their transitional years of conflict is discussed in Attachment 12 – Zionists supported the pre-1994 reigning NP South African government and the anti-government movement.

The Crown supplied the opposition (ANC) to the government of South Africa (NP) with funds, arms and training and also supplied the government of South Africa with armaments, training to still the opposition against them before and during the sanctions the Crown called for internationally against the government, which drained the state treasury of South Africa, and had far reaching effects on the development of the nation, including the deaths and destruction of millions of lives throughout the African continent.

**(ii) Leaders placed in the South African government by the Kindergarten were loyal to the Crown rather than loyal to the nation of South Africa.**

Although the ‘Union’ of South Africa granted her autonomy regarding her systems of defense, policing, mining, domestic affairs, justice, agriculture, industries, economics, public works, post and telegraph systems, she was still subject to the Crown with regards to security information and international business matters.

**The Crown controlled the South African Military and Police force**

Although people from inside South Africa were taken to form the South African army in 1912 and the South African police force in 1913, the security forces were subject to orders from the Crown.

**a) The Crown controlled the domestic security and international information services of South Africa which led to civil war**

Denial of control with regard to international matters, combined with access to special intelligence information, excluded South Africans from the opportunity of informed decisions concerning the causes of the First World War.

**The Crown forced the South African nation into World War**

Classified as a British colony, South Africans were forced to partake in the First World War as allies to the British Empire.

This created huge division of loyalties amongst the Boers, who were still recovering from the second Anglo-Boer war. An additional factor to consider was that the British Empire and German Empire were in direct opposition to each other. Many Boers were from German heritage, and sympathizers from Germany had supported the Boers during their war against the British Crown.

To add stress to this situation, the British Crown ordered the Union of South Africa to attack South West Africa, which was a colony of Germany, in which many Boers had settled to escape British governance.

When the World War broke out in August 1914, Prime Minister Louis Botha assured the British Crown that the Union of South Africa could protect itself, and would support Britain.

Once again, the Boers made it clear that they do not consider themselves as a part of the Union, and protested against the participation of the war in allegiance of Britain.

Britain wished to remove their garrisons from the borders of South West Africa (SWA) and requested the Union of South Africa to occupy the harbor on the west of South West Africa to disengage their radio signals, which would entail engaging in military action to cross their borders.

**The elitist Afrikaner government turned on the Boers**

Prime Minister Botha’s followers were not keen to fulfill his promise to the British Crown, and the National Party refused. The Boers reminded Botha of his words at the Vereeniging convention of 1902, when he had said that when Europe went to war, the Boers could take up arms again to fight for their independence.

However, the Boers were over-ruled by the British political parties inside the Union of South Africa, and Botha was able to proceed with his plans to attack South West Africa on behalf of the British Crown.

Units from the ‘Aktiewe Burgermag’ (active civilian forces) which had already been called up for training camps along the Groot River border, which was very close to the South West African border, were brought together. The plan was to invade Lüderitzbucht from the sea on the 15th of September 1914, under command of General Sir Duncan Mackenzie.

The World War that broke out soon thereafter reflected itself as a civil war inside South Africa. However, the Boer nation, who had been diminished in authority and economically to slavery in his country of birth, was underestimated.

Although we have discussed the logistics of the Maritz Rebellion with reference to South West Africa in Attachment 9 - Objections to the formation of the Union of South Africa, we now draw attention to the political arena at that time.

Boer leader de la Rey planned a military revolution to free South Africa from British control, and persuaded his friend, Beyers, to co-operate with him. A complot was formed in which Major J.C.G.Kemp, Lt. Col S.G.Martiz and other officers were involved.

While the government was mobilizing South Africa to attack South West Africa, the Boers mobilized themselves to declare the independence of the Union of South Africa from the Crown.

Convinced that Germany would win the World War, the Boers decided to commence their revolution in Potchefstroom on the 15th of September 1914 under command of Kemp.

**1. Boer leader De La Rey was shot**

De la Rey and Beyers were driving per car from Pretoria to Potchefstroom to lead the revolt. At Langlaagte (outside Johannesburg) Beyers refused to stop at a road block, and the police opened fire on them. De la Rey was shot to death. His death caused widespread unrests.

Maritz and his garrison, who were far away, crossed the north-west Cape border on the 20th of October 1914 and joined the Germans, who had agreed to support the international status of the Union of South Africa. Shortly afterwards Maritz announced the independence of the Union. Rebellion broke out all over the country.

**2. The government called martial law across the whole Union**

On the 12th of October 1914, Botha called martial law across the whole Union, and citizens were called up for duty to suppress the rebellion – dumping South Africa into a civil war. Protest meetings were being held everywhere.

On the 22nd of October 1914, the Boers held a meeting, wherein it was decided that De Wet would call his followers to arms in the Orange Free State, and that Beyers and Kemp would do the same in the Transvaal.

What began as an ‘armed protest’ had developed into an open rebellion.

Leaders of the Cape NG Church warned against the rebellion.

Thousands of Burghers took up arms in the Orange Free State, and about 1500 Burghers from the western Transvaal joined Kemp.

**3. The government military was used against the Boers**

The Burghers were poorly armed, and could not maintain strength against the military power Botha kept sending into the field. The government military dispersed the rebels who moved under orders of Kemp and Beyers, and then concentrated on Maritz to prevent him from providing the rebels with arms.

**4. Boer leader Jopie Fourie was executed by the government**

The De Wet rebels were encircled at Mushroom Valley and dispersed, before De Wet was taken prisoner. Beyers died from a heart attack. Jopie Fourie was executed.

Kemp and Maritz managed to flee to South West Africa. Kemp decided to hand himself over but Maritz remained a fugitive.

**5. The government military was used against South West Africa**

Botha engaged 43 000 men against the 9000 Germans in South West Africa, and attacked the country from three sides. Under the instructions of Theodor Seitz, the Germans surrendered on the 9th of July 1915, and South West Africa was placed under military law.

According to the Peace Treaty of Versailles, South West Africa was put under the ‘Volkebond’ administration of South Africa as a ‘C’ mandate on December 17, 1917.

**(iv) The Crown infiltrated the political arena of the Boer nation**

After the Maritz Rebellion, The National Party had embraced the independence which the ‘Union’ of South Africa’ could offer, and strove toward the progress of South Africa as a nation above the progress of all other nations. They supported a good relationship with the British Crown without subjugation to her. In addition, the National Party recognized both Afrikaans and English as official languages with equal value for the White South Africans, and proppered unity of the white nation in respect for language, history, religion, morals and habits.

**a) The Crown sponsored a political newspaper for the Boers**

After the Maritz Rebellion, the National Party published their first newspaper, financed by members of the Crown, called ‘Die Burger’ with Dr. D.F.Malan as editor, as well as the leader of this party in the Cape in 1915. Die Burger was accepted as recognition of their right in the political arena by the Boer nation, and gained Dr. Malan their political support.

**b) The ‘Afrikaner Broederbond’ becomes entrenched in the Boer nation.**

In April 1918, two young men and a lady decided to find a place for the Afrikaner in South Africa through culture. On the 4th of June 1918, they formed a group of 14 Afrikaners to establish the Afrikaner Broederbond. Contact was made in secret with other Afrikaners to strengthen their movement to re-establish brotherhood of the Afrikaner and the Boers.

On the 9th of December 1919, each member had to sign a declaration of loyalty to their cause.

On the 21st of September 1920, the Broederbond accepted a constitution wherein the Broederbond was declared a body which could be joined by Boers because they are Afrikaans speakers.

After August 1921, the Afrikaner Broederbond expanded, and new departments were established. A variety of subjects were handled and studied, such as the situation of the non-whites, immigration, trade, education in the mother tongue and libraries. Progress was made until the Smuts regime banned them during the 2nd World War.

**c) The National Party adopted English policies**

The National Party led by Hertzog and mostly Afrikaners, calculated that a coalition between the ‘pro-British’ South African Party of Jan Smuts, and the ‘pro-capitalist’ Labour Party, would outweigh the rights of Boers, and averted this possibility by forming a pact with the Labour Party (mostly English speaking) in April 1923.

This aided better co-operation between the Afrikaans and English speakers, but also caused a shift of policies within the National Party, as their policy of ‘succession’ of power for the Orange Free State was replaced by the Labour Party policies of an independent Union, and the Labour Party policies for the preservation of the ‘cultured white race’ was adopted. Most of the Boers, who had been left homeless and in poverty after the Anglo-Boer War, had not received any formal education, and were discriminated by the ‘culture’ policies, as well as the non-white population - which outnumbered the white population 4:1.

Although Boers dominated the farming sector, more than half the Boer community worked in the urban areas, where they battled under the English controlled dominance. They found work in the lowest social levels, as miners, factory workers, junior office personnel and teachers. Only a very few Boers managed to break through to higher professional ranks. The English speaking whites were financially advantaged more than double the Afrikaans speaking whites.

As Hertzog adopted more English policies, he lost the support of young Boers who were still in favour of developing the Boer Republics independently from the Union, and British influence.

**d) The Afrikaner Broederbond enters government through the National Party**

The National Party coalition with the Labour Party beat the South African Party of Jan Smuts in the June 1924 elections. This put Hertzog in place as the Prime Minister of the Union until 1939, and a policy of ‘South Africa first’ was followed, and economical freedom was sought. The ban on the Afrikaner Broederbond was lifted, and their members became firmly entrenched in the political structures of the National Party. The National Party gained further support from the nation when Afrikaans was accepted as one of the official languages of the Union.

**e) The Crown granted economic development and cultural independence for South Africa**

As the Crown gained more control of the South African nation through the National Party, responsibility of maintaining the country as its colony diminished in proportion to the increasing amount of independence South Africa was granted from the British Crown.

South Africa received international recognition as a Union within the United Nations in 1926, which allowed her to develop her own Department of Exterior in 1927.

Israel helped South Africa construct the Yskor iron and steel industry in 1928.

In 1928 South Africa raised its own flag with similarities to the ‘Union Jack’ of Britain.

In 1929, the Broederbond established the Federation of Culture (FAK) which became the public ‘arm’ for the Afrikaner Broederbond, and became a great influence in the National Party.

Albert Hertzog established the ‘Afrikaner-Orde’ (AO) as a secret organization in 1929 as a home for the Afrikaans speakers who were not prepared to compromise with the English policies.

By 1931, South Africa had opened diplomatic offices in Germany, Belgium, Portugal, France and Sweden.

**PART TWO: The Elitist Afrikaner elitist ruling society in South Africa**

**f) Communication networks were laid down in South Africa for Afrikaner moneyed elite**

After 1938, Afrikaner moneyed elite came rapidly into existence, whose declared purpose it was to secure for the Afrikaners a larger stake in the nation's economy. This new moneyed elite with its own investment houses, banks, building societies, etc., prospered enormously by exploiting a highly inflated nationalist sentiment; so much so that by 1965, these wealthy Afrikaners felt strong enough to break into the magic circle of mining high-finance. In fact, an opening had been made for them - a trap into which they fell most readily, in spite of warnings by Dr. Verwoerd and others. An important part of Afrikanerdom entered into an alliance with the traditional enemy, "die geldmag" - or money power, which was directly connected to the corporate division of the Crown, and they could no longer fight it, because they had become inseparably joined to it with veins and arteries of shared interest, including a shared attachment to the principle of credit financing, by which they were doomed to be yoked sooner or later.  
The existence of this partnership in high finance will help to explain why South Africa's present strategy has been based almost exclusively on principles of appeasement and accommodation; and how this partnership is now able to wage an undeclared war against South Africa, maintaining as it were a state of siege.

**(i) The Leading Role player Harry Oppenheimer protected the interests of the Crown in South Africa.**  
  
At the death of Sir Ernest Oppenheimer in 1957, Harry Oppenheimer took over the reins of the Anglo-American empire, which controlled 40% of the world's gold production, 80% of the world's diamond production and one sixth of the world's coal production. 

**1. Oppenheimer funded opposition to the South African government with reference to the United South Africa Trust Fund**

Besides his active involvement in funding the Black Consciousness movement, Communist parties and the ‘liberation struggle’ against the South African government, Oppenheimer initially played an overt role in trying to remove the National Party from power. In 1951, Oppenheimer formed the "United South Africa Trust Fund" to try and bring the Nationalist government to a fall in the elections of 1953 through the United Party. Some £1 000 000 was donated to this fund, by amongst others Oppenheimer himself, which is more than one 1 billion rand in today's terms. This attempt failed - the Nationalists won this election by a larger majority than in 1948.

**2. Oppenheimer funded the South African Foundation as a front for the Council on Foreign Relations - to enter the money power of the Crown into the South African political arena; with reference to his desire to get rid of Verwoerd.**

In 1960 Oppenheimer formed - with the help of, amongst others, his friend Eric Gallo - the "South African Foundation".

The Foundation was a front organization of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), which allowed Henry Kissinger and Samuel Huntington influence in the highest echelons of South African politics. In Oppenheimer's own words, the aim of the Foundation was explained in an article as follows:

"To summarize: the immediate task of the South African Foundation is to create an atmosphere within which it would be possible to create a coalition of moderate elements in the Government and Opposition. In reality this is the entry of the great Moneypower into politics. It is high time. My business colleagues have allowed the situation to deteriorate for too long."1

In the same article, Oppenheimer admits that Verwoerd would need to be removed for his plans to succeed in the following message:

"It is futile to try and replace Verwoerd at the polls. This, I believe, is accepted by everybody. Is there any alternative to the creation of the coalition of moderates that the Foundation is proposing? I think that I can claim the greatest credit for this exciting approach for myself; and yet, all that I have done is to allow myself to be led by what is in the interest of Anglo-American. Are you still unconvinced? How can what is good for Anglo - American, ever be bad for South Africa?"

Only when it became apparent that Verwoerd was not going to be defeated at the poll, did Oppenheimer become more involved in covert activities.

**j) President Verwoerd was murdered to pave the way for Afrikaner moneyed elite**

After the Boer War, and up to 1948 - and more specifically Verwoerd, the Crown had South Africa pretty much where they wanted. Verwoerd, in his disregard for the ideals of the global money elite, was their next Paul Kruger.

On the 9th of April 1960, an Englishman by the name of David Beresford Pratt shot Verwoerd in the head at close range, but Verwoerd survived the attack. Reports exist that Pratt had been influenced, by Mi6 (British Intelligence), since the late 1950's, to make an attempt on Verwoerd's life.

**k) The Crown** **initiated the Sharpeville massacre to influence the stock market, and create the call for an alternative government pre-emptive of Verwoerd’s murder**

In 1960, the Sharpeville protest, and ensuing massacre, occurred a month before Pratt's attempt. This protest was organized, instigated and funded by the African-American Institute - the front organization for the Crown’s global elite Council on Foreign Relations, which was linked to the Central Intelligence Agency, and Oppenheimer.

There were several other marches, strikes and protests country wide, after the Sharpeville march, instigated and funded by the same African-American Institute.   
Sanctions and pressure on the Nationalist government was stepped up through the Oppenheimer and Rupert Brotherhood newspaper.

Paul Sauer, a minister in Verwoerd's cabinet, made a speech shortly after the attempt on Verwoerd's life, to the effect that a more moderate approach was needed and that apartheid had to go. He was lauded as the man "to lead South Africa out of the swamp" by the Oppenheimer and Rupert newspapers.  
The only thing that went wrong in their plan was that Verwoerd survived.   
  
The atmosphere of political unrest, protest marches, loss of Black lives, additional pressure by means of sanctions, sliding stock prices, country wide strikes coupled with the death of the Nationalist state leader, would have a country on the verge of anarchy. Should a leader, such as the Freemason Paul Sauer, step forward from the ranks of the Nationalists and preach a more moderate approach that would satisfy the world, this would automatically mean that the Nationalist agenda would have to be at the very least, modified. With the support of the Free Masons within the National Party, a coalition government with the United Party could be forced, and lead to an eventual take-over by the United Party, which was largely funded by Oppenheimer & Co.

Sauer had overplayed his hand in the belief that either Verwoerd was going to die, or that the situation in the country was so far out of control that he could go ahead, even if Verwoerd survived. Sauer got sacked for his efforts, but the attempt on Verwoerd's life had one favorable spin-off for the Brotherhood. Verwoerd now mistrusted his minister of Justice - and he promoted their man Vorster, from deputy minister to minister of Justice.  
  
Pratt was close enough to Verwoerd to literally have been able to put a pistol (he was armed with two) against Verwoerd's head. Yet he shot him through the cheek. He wounded him quite severely none the less, but not fatally.

This attack cast blame, suspicion and mistrust on Erasmus, the then Minister of Justice, and Vorster's boss. Erasmus was, like Vorster after him, tasked with the personal safety and security arrangements of the Prime Minister.

**l) The Crown implicated Vorster in the murder of Verwoerd before they replaced Verwoerd with Vorster as President.**

John Vorster was drawn into the plan to murder Verwoerd during a meeting in 1964.

Anton Rupert as a supporting role player for John Vorster protected the interests of the Crown in South Africa. He came from nowhere, and made a fortune that rivals that of the Oppenheimers, in the relatively short period of time of about 30 years. Rupert was sponsored by the Rockefellers. Although he did not have as high a public profile as the Oppenheimers, he was at least as large a role player in getting the agenda of the Crown implemented.

Rupert recruited Tsafendas to assassinate South African President Verwoerd, and he was the main driving force behind at least the second and successful attempt on Verwoerd's life.

As far as can be ascertained, Rupert never met Tsafendas personally. Rupert employed Tsafendas, and continued to support him financially after he was removed from Rothmans International. Rupert’s car was used on at least one occasion, driven by his personal driver, to drive a Mi6 agent to a meeting with Tsafendas.  
Rupert supplied the R5 000 that Tsafendas was paid to commit the murder. R5 000, assuming an average of 15% decrease in the time value of money, is some R500 000 in today's terms.

Rupert was present at the meeting held at Harry Oppenheimer's house in Parktown at 18h00 on the 27th of July 1964. It was during this meeting that Vorster was drawn into the murder plot, firstly by again promising him the post of Prime Minister after Verwoerd, and secondly, by threatening him to make public his involvement with supplying the Central Intelligence Agency and Mi6 with sensitive information from the inner circles of parliament and the Broederbond. To note that this was not the only covert activities that Vorster was involved in.  
The meeting started at 16h30 and lasted till 20h00.Vorster appeared hesitant at first, but after threats and promises, as well as a reminder of his duty as a Free Mason, concurred.  
Present at this meeting was Oppenheimer, Rupert and Quinton Whyte (CFR, CIA and SA Institute to Race Relations) as well as an unnamed Mi6 agent. It was also during this meeting that Whyte handed over to Vorster a final blueprint from the Illuminati as to how he was to run the country after Verwoerd's death. To note, the first blueprint was handed to Vorster in 1963 after he became involved with the Council on Foreign Relations, more than two years before Tsafendas was to kill Verwoerd.

**(v) The Crown took governance of South Africa through** **the group of elitist government Ministers the Crown had developed in South Africa**

On the 25th of September 1965, Dr. Verwoerd warned the nation during a speech in Heidelberg that there is a danger that the South African money power would melt in with a money power which is foreign to the nation. He advised that the country must ensure that the developing money power remains loyal to the nation and not clash with the ideals and safety of the nation.2

These were prophetic words, as can be seen when Dr. H. Adam declared that the Anglo American Corporation, and its boss Harry Frederick Oppenheimer, would be heard in the Cabinet of the National Party in December 1978.

It was through Vorster, in his position as President, that the global money elite of the Crown gained access to the economic artery of South Africa.

The newspaper ‘Die Dagbreek’ published an article under the heading “Rand Club gee Vorster ‘n staande ovasie” (Rand Club gives Vorster a standing ovation) which referred to the invitation Vorster had accepted, to be the first Premier of the National Party to be the guest of the Rand lords of the Crown on the 1st of November 1968.

Along with local money elite conspirators including Dr. Hilgard Muller, Mr. Pik Botha, and Dr. Piet Koornhof, Vorster turned the National Party into a vehicle through which the Crown would entrench their resolutions into the structures of government.

**1. Increased taxes and devaluation of the ZA rand to increase salaries of government officials to create spending**

On the 22nd of August 1978, Vorster addressed a congress of the Consumer Committee in Pretoria during which he said that significant changes would be made within the social systems of South Africa which would require sacrifices of the whites.3

What followed included adjustments to the state budget, which influenced the value of the ZA rand. The state budget for black government officials was increased, but not their work load, therefore no profit was gained from this exercise which could cover the increased salaries required, and the deficit was fetched from taxes imposed on the white communities. Although increased salaries stimulated commercial spending, which was to the benefit of the biggest business enterprises in South Africa and their international counter-parts, increased taxes created inflation for the nation and devalued the ZA rand.

**2. Elitist Government Ministers became involved in business which affects the prices of commodities in South Africa**

On his second-last Cabinet meeting as First Minister, Dr. Verwoerd also warned his Parliament members against companies which offered directorships but had hidden agendas. He stressed that the government would not favour such offers and would discriminate against such companies.

After his death, the complex web which national politico entered with the global elite in business increased steeply, especially companies whose products were dependent on government regulations.

**a) Elitist Government Ministers became shareholders in big businesses, with reference to trek Petroleum**

An important example of conflict of interests between self enrichment, and the prosperity of the nation for government Ministers, was evident through reports in Trek Petroleum.

On the 6th of June 1971, the Natal Sunday newspaper the *Sunday Tribune* reported information taken from the shares register of Trek Investments Limited, the controlling company of Trek Petroleum (Pty), which showed that Mr. Vorster and seven of his Ministers had acquired a significant amount of shares in the Trek company. By the 1st of January 1969, there were 1000 shares registered in the name of Mr. Voster. The other Ministers were B.J Schoeman (Transport); F.W. Waring (Sport); Dr. Hilgard Muller (External Affairs); S.L. Muller (Police); and P.C. Pelser (Justice).

Following newspapers reported on how Trek strengthened its position in South Africa as the price of fuel increased.

It is ethically wrong for Ministers who decide on the price of petrol to be shareholders in the companies who sell petrol.

**b) Elitist Government Ministers sold off state financed projects, with reference to Sasol**

Sasol was the first, unique development of oil from coal by South Africa which was initiated by the Verwoerd regime, and financed with the tax monies paid by the nation. This State project was for the benefit of the country and its people. The excellence with which Sasol strengthened the independence of South Africa was cut short after the elitist Vorster regime sold Sasol to the international money magnates, who then determined the price of South African fuel to the detriment of local consumers, of which farmers were affectedly very adversely.

**c) Elitist Government Ministers used State funds for secret deals with reference to Project David and National Fund Investments.**

During November 1979, Dr. Rhoodie witnessed in the High Court of Pretoria with regard to the matter in which the Jewish David Abramson acted as a front for the elitist National Party Government Ministers in a secret project under the code-name ‘Project David’. Advocate Johan Kriegler, SC, warned the Court that the information regarding Project Dawid was so delicate that it should never be made public.

Abramson and another Jewish businessman Mr. Oscar Hurwitz had received money from the government to buy goodwill from the Jewish community in and outside of South Africa, at a time when the state treasury was limited in funds to protect the borders of the country, which was at war with terrorists.

After investigating the information scandal, the Erasmus Committee revealed in 1979 that Abramson had been an important front for the government with regard to the execution of secret projects of the old Information Department.

David Abramson had also been the managing director of National Fund Investments, one of the largest funds in South Africa. According to the share register of the company, the following Cabinet members had shares in this fund:

Mr. B. J. Vorster (5000); Dr. Nick Diedericks (1000); Dr. C. P. Mulder (1000); Mr. S. L. Muller (1000); Mr. B. J. Schoeman (1000); Mr. P.C. Pelser (1000); Mr. J.J. Loots (1000); and Dr. P. G. J. Koornhof (1000).

David Abramson disappeared after the Information Scandal became public. The small investors of South Africa in the National Funds Investments carried a loss of about R400 million.

**d) Elitist Government Ministers used State funds for secret deals with leaders of the enemies of the State to please the global money elite**

Without consultation and without the knowledge of his cabinet, Vorster, in his position as Prime Minister of South Africa, entered into negotiations with President Kaunda of Zambia in 1974 on recommendation of Mr. RW (Tiny) Rowland, who was the head of the Lonhro group in London, which had interests throughout Africa and the Middle East at that time. The Lonhro group belonged to members of the Crown. Rowland, who had decided that enmity between Vorster and Kaunda did not promote business interests for Lonhro, used a businessman from South Africa, who was on good footing with Voster, to act as go-between for him with Vorster, while Rowland himself negotiated with Kaunda for peace with Vorster. During this time, telex messages between London and Cape Town and Pretoria, as well as between London and Lusaka followed wherein code names were used. Not even General H.J. van der Bergh, who was head of the Bureau for State Security, was included in these discussions at that time.

These secret negotiations led to the urgent sending of a South African messenger to intercept the South African Minister of the Exterior who had arrived in Paris, on his way to a meeting with the United Nations, to ask him to show goodwill towards the Zambian delegation with goodwill. The Zambian delegation received a similar request. This show of goodwill was followed by the “Give me six months” speech made by Mr. Vorster in Nigel on the 5th of November 1974, which President Kaunda referred to as the “voice of reason” two days later. This speech had been finalized, about a week before Vorster announced it to his senate, while a representative of Rowland and Mark Chona of Zambia were paying Vorster a visit.

This visit led to the meeting of Vorster and Kaunda in August 1975 at the so-called "Brugberaad" (Bridge-meeting), or "Bosberaad", held in a railway coach on the bridge spanning the Zambezi river at the Victoria water fall, where further secret arrangements were made between the South African government and what was perceived to be the leaders of the enemies of the South African nation with regard to the collapse of the Rhodesian, South West African, and South African governments.

**The role of John Vorster in the Global Brotherhood**

John Vorster was strongly influenced during his student years by professor WMR Malherbe - a known Freemason, of high order. Vorster was a Freemason since 1936.  
  
In September of 1937, during the reign of the Brotherhood man Jan Smuts, Vorster was recruited as agent for the police - in order to infiltrate the Ossewa-Brandwag - a rightwing political organization, to which Robey Leibrant belonged (Leibrant later tried to assassinate Smuts).   
Vorster underwent training from the last quarter of 1937 to February 1938 - on how to infiltrate the Ossewa-Brandwag.  
As part of his undercover operation he objected to Smuts involving South Africa in the Second World War (on the side of Britain). He was "caught" in 1942, sent to the Pretoria Central jail, transferred to Leeukop jail outside Johannesburg and from there to Koffiefontein - to be finally released in 1944. In all three places, Vorster was visited, every week by a man by the name of Julian (or Julius) Furst.   
Furst was Joe Slovo's first father-in-law.  
  
After his release, Vorster masterminded the inclusion of the Ossewa-Brandwag into mainstream politics, and he set about selling himself as being ultra rightwing.  
  
In October 1958, Oppenheimer was informed during a session of a Freemason's Lodge in Johannesburg that Vorster was a spy for the Smuts government. This revelation was done by Ernie Malherbe - the Chief of Military Intelligence under Smuts.  
  
At the same time the Rupert and Oppenheimer newspapers were subtly punting Vorster for his political achievements.  
  
In August of 1961, Vorster was promoted to Minister of Justice. He was informed of this by Verwoerd on July 24, 1961. When Vorster told his wife Tini this, she told him (she is also from a Brotherhood bloodline) that he had to inform Anton Rupert. Vorster phoned Rupert on 24 July 1961, and informed him.  
Anton Rupert told Vorster to come and see him (Rupert) at his home in Cape Town – the fact that Rupert summonsed one of Verwoerd's most important ministers to his house indicates the authority Rupert had over that of the ruling government.   
Vorster duly flew down to Cape Town on July 26, 1961 and met with Rupert the same day.  
At this meeting, the following important things happened to Vorster:

* Vorster made his first contact with Harry Oppenheimer - when he phoned Oppenheimer from Rupert's house upon Rupert's instructions;
* Vorster was recruited as intelligence agent by the CIA;
* Vorster was promised the position of Prime Minister for the first time.

The Sunday Times, owned by Oppenheimer, announced the appointment of Vorster as minister of Justice five days later - on July 31, 1961 - one day before the official announcement of Vorster's appointment.  
  
Form then on, Vorster was punted by the Rupert and Oppenheimer newspapers as one of the extremist rightwing trio of the National Party - along with Hertzog and Verwoerd.  
Vorster announced hard core safety measures, and fighting Communism and Liberalism. Most of his successes against Communist and Liberal organizations were due to him getting inside information regarding these organizations from the CIA (which was covertly running these organizations) and Oppenheimer (who was funding them). The result was spectacular arrests and success against banned organizations.  
  
Vorster was further assisted by the CIA and Mi6 through their covert operations. They ensured ongoing unrest, often resulting in the death of innocent black people, which in turn ensured that the safety and security situation remained a top priority with the government and the public. In turn, this made Vorster's role more and more important. With the successes he achieved due to the inside information that he received on these organizations from Oppenheimer, the CIA and Mi6, he remained in the public eye.  
All of this ensured that Vorster became a more and more acceptable figure in the eyes of the conservative electorate.  
In the meantime, in 1962, Vorster increased his involvement and contact with the Brotherhood through his involvement in the South African Institute of International Affairs (a CFR front with which Vorster had an involvement since 1936), the South African Foundation (to which Oppenheimer had remarked that what's good for Anglo American is good for South Africa) and was recruited as agent for Mi6 in September 1962.  
In 1963, Vorster became involved with the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). In July of that year, Vorster was given a blueprint by the CIA and CFR which stipulated what they wanted, and did not want, him to do in South Africa. Present at the meeting at which this blueprint was handed over, was Oppenheimer, Rupert, Quinton Whyte (director of SA Institute of Race Relations, CIA agent and CFR agent) as well as an unnamed agent of Mi6.  
  
As stated before, John Vorster was drawn into the plot to murder Verwoerd on July 27, 1964. It was also on this same day, later that evening, at a meeting at Vorster's house, that he pulled "Lang Hendrik" van den Bergh into the plot against Verwoerd. Van den Bergh and Vorster met at Vorster's house in Pretoria between 21h00 and 22h00 on the same evening as Vorster was drawn into the plot to murder Verwoerd.

In about 1962, Vorster realized that he needed a close ally to help him maintain his cover in the double role he was playing (Brotherhood agent on the one hand and artificial right wing extremist on the other). This is when he drew Lang Hendrik van den Bergh, with whom he had become friendly during his internment in the 1940's. He offered Lang Hendrik the job as his Chief of the Security Police.

**The role of "Lang Hendrik" van den Bergh in the Global Brotherhood.**  
  
Van den Bergh joined the police in 1934. In 1938, he was transferred to the Johannesburg Liquor Unit, which, contrary to its name, had the main function as spying on the Ossewa - Brandwag. He, as undercover agent, was "arrested" and interned along with Vorster in 1942 (at Koffiefontein). This was also the year in which he became a Freemason.

In 1945 van den Bergh started relations with the SA institute of Race Relations.  
In 1949 he rejoined the police force. In 1961, as a Major, he was transferred to the Security Police as deputy commander.  
  
Van den Bergh agreed to take over the Command of the Security Police - which he did on January 14, 1963, on condition that he could restructure the branch. Vorster agreed and also arranged for him to be promoted from the rank of Major to that of General - a jump of some 6 important ranks - from a rank associated with a senior station commander, to that of commanding officer of the most influential police service, and one of the most influential and powerful government organizations, in South Africa at that stage.  
This came into operation in January 1963 - during this same year that van den Bergh built personal links with the CIA and Council of Foreign Relations, which led to his involvement with amongst others, Henry Kissinger.

**Some of the roles played by Mi6 and the CIA in South African politics for the Global Brotherhood.**  
  
Mi6 brainwashed or mind-controlled Tsafendas, and trained him in assassination techniques and in the use of weapons. There is an unconfirmed report that during the meeting in Birmingham in May of 1963, Mi6 agreed to pay Tsafendas to commit the murder. (In any event it was either Mi6 or Anton Rupert that supplied the money paid over to Tsafendas to commit the murder.)

Henry Kissinger was a supporting role player for the Global Brotherhood

The idea to murder Verwoerd in parliament originated with Vorster, and was discussed by Henry Kissinger, the CIA, Mi6 and Lang Hendrik van den Bergh over the period of July 27 to August 6, 1965, during a visit of van den Bergh to the offices of the CIA and the Council on Foreign Relations in Washington DC.

Kissinger was at that time employed by the CFR. Mi6 informed everybody present of the progress of the plot to murder Verwoerd, and all present agreed to Vorster's plan for murdering Verwoerd in parliament. Van den Bergh was also instructed during this meeting that he and Vorster had to assist Tsafendas in gaining access to Parliament.  
Van den Bergh was further fully briefed by the CIA on how to go about covering their tracks and was instructed to destroy security documentation relating to Tsafendas.

Shortly after van den Bergh's visit to Washington, Mi6 met with Vorster and van den Bergh in order to find out more about the procedures in Parliament. The most relaxed time in parliament, as well as the time during which there is the most movement of people, and therefore the lowest security, was just after lunch - all members are then returning to their benches for the start of the afternoon session.  
Tsafendas murdered Verwoerd just after lunch, and such was the disorganization, and the standard of the training of Tsafendas that he stabbed Verwoerd four times before anyone reacted. One of the strokes Tsafendas employed is only taught to specialist army and security units. It consists of stabbing the victim through the left shoulder - from above. The blade passes between the "arms" of the collar bone, and if it is long enough will penetrate the heart from above. At the very least, it will sever the major arteries at the top of the lungs, puncture the lung and sever the major arteries at the top of the heart.  
It is also the most effective way and one of only three strokes with which you can instantly kill a man that is sitting down, but only if you stab him through the left shoulder, and at the right angle. Tsafendas who claimed to have been "enraged by the injustices of Apartheid", got both the shoulder and the angle right.  
  
Demetrio Tsafendas was employed and trained to kill by the Global Brotherhood to further their influence in S.A. politics.  
Demetrio Tsafendas arrived in Britain in May 1959. He admitted after the murder on Verwoerd that he moved in "Leftist" circles in Britain and that at that time the possibility of shooting Verwoerd had been mentioned. This indeed happened in 1960.  
  
In May of 1960, Tsafendas mentioned to employees of Anton Rupert's British cigarette factory, Rothmans International, that he would kill Verwoerd, if he ever got the chance. This threat of Tsafendas' was brought to Rupert's attention in the same month, May of 1961. Just over a month later, in July of 1961, Tsafendas was employed by Rothmans International.

Tsafendas was supplied with a job at Rothmans International, while Oppenheimer and Rupert consolidated Vorster's position - mainly through building him up through their newspapers. They made him out to be ultra-conservative and the "strong man" of Nationalist politics. He was also portrayed as very much pro-apartheid and anti-Communist, all of which made him very acceptable to the conservative white electorate of the time - the ideal man to follow in Verwoerd's footsteps.

In January of 1962, this relationship of Tsafendas with Rupert's factory (Rothmans) was formally terminated, and as from February 1962 Tsafendas was "unemployed" - but he was still being paid from financial resources of Rupert.  
Rupert continued to pay Tsafendas, and in March of 1963, Anton Rupert arranged a meeting in Birmingham with four other people - members of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the CIA and Mi6. It was during this meeting that it was decided to murder Verwoerd, and that Tsafendas would be pulled in, and paid to do the dirty work. It was also at this meeting that it was decided that Mi6 would train Tsafendas, and keep the CIA and CFR informed, at high level, of any progress made.  
  
The first training session took place in July of 1963 in the Kerkira area in Greece. During this session Mi6 brain-washed Tsafendas mainly through hypnotic techniques. The spear or Assegai was used as sign for triggering Tsafendas in a post hypnotic state.

The time and place of the second training session is unknown, but what is known is that it was scheduled and that it was intended to concentrate on weapon skills and assassination techniques, with amongst other things, knives. Specialist training such as is provided by the Special Air Service.  
  
In November of 1963 Tsafendas came into South Africa, legally, but quite controversially, as he was a self-confessed Communist, he had a criminal record with Interpol and he had a known history (Interpol) of mental instability. Yet Vorster, who initiated witch hunts against Communists, allowed Tsafendas into the country.

At 21h30 on the 9th of June 1965, Tsafendas was paid R5 000 to murder Verwoerd. The payment was made by an agent of Mi6.  
This agent was driven to the meeting with Tsafendas in Anton Rupert's car by Anton Rupert's driver. Rupert was not present at this meeting.

Tsafendas was then given a job in the inner chamber of parliament, without going through a security or background check - contrary to a standing instruction to the Speaker, made in 1962, that before anybody was to be appointed to a job in parliament, a report on them had to be obtained from the police. Tsafendas was appointed to his job in Parliament on July 20, 1966, by John Vorster, effective from August 1, 1966 - 37 days before he murdered Verwoerd, and 8 days before he was to be deported - his deportation order was signed on the 8th of August 1966.  
Lang Hendrik van den Bergh, as chief of the Secret Police, and as close friend and co-conspirator of Vorster, would have known that Tsafendas' deportation was imminent, and he advised Vorster accordingly. They then used their influence to stall or squash this order, until Tsafendas could murder Verwoerd.

Dr. Willie Visser, the psychiatrist that evaluated Tsafendas after the murder stated: "Demetrio Tsafendas did indeed murder Dr. Verwoerd under the force and compulsion of intensive brainwashing and strong post-hypnotic suggestion."  
Tsafendas was programmed to be triggered by two things:  
The words "Murder in the heart", and a picture of the blade of a spear, or assegai that had drops of blood on it. Each drop signified a period of time, within which the "order" had to be carried out, and the spear signified the act of murder.  
Just such a symbol appeared, in conjunction with Verwoerd's face, on the front page of "The Time" of 26 August 1966. Inside this magazine was a six page article about South Africa. Only one heading appeared in the margin next to the text of this six page article, and this heading was "Murder in the heart."  
  
"The Time" is the magazine of The Round Table group - one of the lower order front organizations of the Illuminati and Freemasons.   
Tsafendas had been instructed to only look out for "The Time" and to take note of the front page specifically.  
The spear blade on the cover next to Verwoerd's face had two drops of blood on it.   
The two drops of blood signified a period within which the "instruction" had to be carried out. Tsafendas murdered Verwoerd 12 days later (after being "triggered").

On either 26 or 27 August 1966, Tsafendas told some crew of the Eleni that he could get a good job at a hotel, and wanted to buy a pistol or a knife for self protection. The hotel business, especially in those days, was not one that carried with it risks to the extent that one felt the need for self protection.

On September 6, 1966, Tsafendas murdered Verwoerd.  
Lang Hendrik van den Bergh "interrogated" Tsafendas for 48 hours after the murder - alone. Nobody else was allowed near Tsafendas. Van den Bergh had a reputation for torture and mind manipulation, second to none. Only after this, was the chief state psychiatrist allowed to evaluate Tsafendas.  
It was also van den Bergh that declared emphatically, half way through this interrogation session, that Tsafendas had definitely been acting alone and that there was no conspiracy involved. However, after his interrogation of Tsafendas van den Bergh also declared "that the man was not responsible for his own actions". It was after this that the psychiatrist stated that Tsafendas had murdered Verwoerd under the force of intensive brainwashing and strong post-hypnotic suggestion.   
Tsafendas was also never allowed to talk or take the witness stand during his trial.

According to Die Beeld (then still a Sunday paper) of September 11, 1966, van den Bergh was approached on the afternoon of September 6, the day of the murder about Tsafendas and had a file on Tsafendas available in minutes. Vorster, at the same time, based on information given him by van den Bergh, issued a statement that the report that the Security Police had a file on Tsafendas, "was devoid of all truth".  
Van den Bergh explained this away, by saying that he obtained the information immediately after the murder, by requesting it from the Security Police in Mozambique – not Greece, Britain or Interpol, at a time when there were no faxes, e-mail and celphones.

The same issue of Die Beeld carried a main article which, amongst others had the following to say: "From where the eagerness to believe that there was a successful conspiracy to stab South Africa to the heart through its Prime Minister? Why so keen to believe in a successful conspiracy against us before there is anything other than popular rumors to substantiate such an event? Through this we are not doing ourselves any favors. We are only telling the world in advance that we believe in the power of our enemies."  
Die Beeld newspaper was owned by Anton Rupert.

Demetrio Tsafendas murdered Hendrik French Verwoerd for the Brotherhood, as part of their political agenda for global control.  
  
Harry Oppenheimer probably supplied the best reason for this in his speech quoted from Africa South volume 4 number 3 - April/June 1960:  
"In reality this is the entry of the great Moneypower into politics. It is high time. My business colleagues have allowed the situation to deteriorate for too long" and "It is futile to try and replace Verwoerd at the polls. This, I believe, is accepted by everybody."  
  
The global elite not only wanted the gold and diamonds, they want to control this country and the world. This is evidenced in their involvement with political parties all over the world.  
  
Verwoerd had three big drawbacks for the global money elite:   
1) He was politically unapproachable - he did what he believed was in the best interest of South Africa, and he definitely did not ascribe to Oppenheimer's opinion of "How can what is good for Anglo-American ever be bad for South Africa."  
2) He did it all with South African savings money - he did not want or need their money, which meant that they had no lever over him.

3) Verwoerd had Anglo-American and the banks owned by it, investigated.  
  
On the day that he was murdered, Dr. Verwoerd had on his desk a report compiled by Professor Piet Hoek. This report was the result of an investigation into the stranglehold that Anglo-American had managed to get on the economy of this country. It also contained information and an evaluation of how the banks made millions of rands in profits on money that literally did not exist, by charging capital and interest thereon.  
Verwoerd was about to challenge all of the measures for economic manipulation and exploitation that the Brotherhood has so carefully put in place. If the Brotherhood lost its economic grip, they would lose South Africa.  
  
They could not, by their own admission, move Verwoerd at the polls, he did not want to borrow their money, he did not care for the way in which they conducted business, and he was about to bring it all to the fore, in a direct confrontation.  
  
  
**The Global Brotherhood in S.A. politics and economy after Verwoerd.**

This country has been run directly by the brotherhood elite since 1963, when Vorster received his first blue print. That control was not complete, but it increased and culminated in the current government.

There was one maverick - PW Botha. Botha was never a Freemason, and for all his faults and theatrics, he genuinely believed that what he was doing was in the best interest of the country.  
They got rid of him, the main instigator of the coup against him being the high order Freemasons: De Klerk and Pik Botha.  
  
PW Botha had some "faults" as far as the Brotherhood agenda was concerned:  
1) He did not want to take loans on behalf of South Africa, offered by Rothschild in Cape Town. PW Botha believed that these loans were based on Jewish gold taken by the Nazi's during the Second World War. He refused the loan on the basis that he considered it to be "blood money".  
2) PW Botha wanted a reasonable transformation and actual negotiation process to take place in taking South Africa into a new political era. This included the ANC denouncing violence before negotiations could start or Mandela be freed, and it looked as if he was going to succeed. The real risk to the Brotherhood was that PW Botha would then control the process, and in doing so, the real leaders of the Black people of South Africa may emerge and come into power, instead of the Brotherhood's pre-selected puppets.  
  
The manner in which the elitist ruling society within the NP government replaced PW Botha with the more corruptible PW De Klerk to bring in the African National Congress to govern South Africa, is discussed in Attachment 10 - South Africa is bound as a possession by the Crown, sub-section (III) The Crown held possession of South Africa throughout the PW Botha regime.

The elitist ruling societies in South Africa have used deceit to channel wealth out of South Africa to please their masters in the Brotherhood. To point, we refer to the dealing of Pik Botha, whose relationship with the Brotherhood we define in Attachment 10 - South Africa is bound as a possession by the Crown. Pik Botha carried the highest position in South Africa pre 1994 as all internal and military intelligence was referred to him directly and foremost, before even the president saw it. In sub-section (iii) The Dr. Ericson Dominick Trust was used to embezzle platinum of Attachment 10, we refer to 872 metric tons platinum which was put into the Dr. Ericson Dominick Trust illegally.To note,Dominick represented Pik Botha.

Sir Gustav Susse (born on the 5th of January 1943 in Germany) was the European Chancellor of the “Elders of Malta” and president of the Hamstead Trust, which took over from Dominick as manager of the Pik Botha Trust, and thereby, also took over the management of platinum smuggled out of South Africa. This platinum could be seen as a direct gift from Pik Botha to the Vatican.

The interference of the Global Brotherhood in the politics of South Africa allowed them to control the economics of South Africa.

**PART THREE: The present elitist ruling society in South Africa.**

At the time of handing over power of South Africa from the previous NP political party by PW De Klerk to Nelson Mandela of the existing ANC political party, they entered an agreement for IMF monetary funding for an LOI of $850 million to the account of South African citizens. Representatives of the IMF deny that they had provided this loan to the ANC for “the upgrading of military bases, or any other infrastructure.”

In an article written by Wells published in the "Banker" (a copy could be found in the British Museum in London), Wells spelled out the future role of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) 4 and the banker's bank, the Bank of International Settlements (BIS).5 Once we, the sovereign people, understand the role of international banks in formenting wars, and then financing both sides, wars may well become a thing of the past. Until then, wars will remain the favourite tool of the international banks for raising revenues and getting rid of unwanted populations. In his work "The Shape of Things to Come", H.G.Wells described this body of international funding as "a sort of an open conspiracy — a cult of the World State" (i.e. a One World Government.)

The world state, Wells said, "must be the sole landowner on earth. All roads must lead to socialism." In his book, "After Democracy," Wells clearly said that once world economic order is established (through the International Monetary Fund and the Bank of International Settlements), political and social order will be imposed. To point, Tavistock's "Operation Research" was to be the engine to bring about drastic reforms in economics and politics.6

Not only is South Africa beholden to the IMF, but the inner finances of her people are controlled by banking institutions which belongs to members of the Crown. To point, Nathan Mayer Rothschild is a 56% shareholder of Barclays UK which, in turn, is a majority shareholder of ABSA. ABSA is the largest banking corporation in South Africa. The very savings of the nation are in the hands of those who decide how much penalty they should pay on loans enforced on South Africa.

During the early 1990’s, the face of liberty in South Africa was Nelson Mandela. However, Mandela has always been a puppet in the hands of the elite. He was sworn in as a Free Mason shortly after his release from prison. The sponsors of the Nelson Mandela Children’s Fund are Illuminati companies who create the impression that they really care about the plight of Black children.

Mandela asked Oppenheimer's permission before appointing Tito Mboweni as the next governor of the Reserve Bank. This was some six weeks before the news was made official. At the same time Oppenheimer "borrowed" R3 billion from the Reserve Bank and moved it overseas at around R4,50 to the US dollar. When the news hit that Mboweni, a trade unionist, had been made governor, the rand slid to R6,80. Oppenheimer was able to resell his dollars to South Africa and make profit of some 51% in six short weeks.  
  
Another effect of the SA Reserve Bank being caught short on money to purchase dollars was that interest rates in South Africa increased from 16,75 to 22.5% prime lending rates. The public had to pay the difference, as they have always done.  
**A. Die Wyse Manne (The Wise Men)**

The governing elite in South Africa were called the Wyse Manne during the 1980’s and 1990’s. The Wyse Manne are a core group of leading industrialists including representatives of the Oppenheimer consortium; select Black former liberationists and former “Broederbond” members, and other high ranking elitists and financial powers.

In the MSM book "Publish and be Damned" by Chris Steyn Barlow in 2002, the memoirs point out how the Wyse Manne were mentioned in the media, and then the claims were quickly dismissed as fairytales, by the very people who made them.

The Wyse Manne controlled the super Afrikaner Broederbond (which had Nazi ties) during Apartheid, but they were also in contact with the ANC, and control the ANC after Apartheid. Some, like Pik Botha, were double agents who had both CIA and KGB contacts.  
Broadly there were three groups of Wyse Manne in the ANC towards the late 1980’s. The "old men" who had been imprisoned on Robbin Island, the UDM inside South Africa and the Lusaka group in exile. The Lusaka group was promised leadership by representatives of the Crown - which included the Oppenheimer delegation – on condition that they got rid of Winnie Mandela and Chris Hani (a request of the CIA) in public life. Thereafter Hani was assassinated to pave the way for Mbeki's Presidency.

The Lusaka group was also bribed and black-mailed into co-operation with the National Party government by representatives of the Crown, with evidence of massive drug running and individual drug addiction.

The Wyse Manne is also the ones behind the rise to power of Democratic Alliance leader and Southern Star Freemason, Helen Zille. The Wyse Manne also controls white political parties such as the Freedom Front - who are often a means of surveillance.

In summary, the Wyse Manne is the select elite who control both the ANC and most of the white right parties.

**B. The Elite Ruling Society of Southern Africa belongs to Elite Crown Orders**

One of the most fascinating times of white western history is the times of the crusades. Europe stood together and sent troops to the Holy Land to free it from Islamic domination. It was also one of the darkest periods of our time, even called the dark ages and would last hundreds of years until the Renaissance in Europe.  
There were nine official crusades and a myriad of smaller ones. During this time several military orders saw the light that still exists today like the Knights Templar, The Knights of Malta (Hospitallers), Teutonic Knights, etc.  
What is less realized is that the chief mission of these crusaders was to bring wealth back to the Roman Catholic Church. They had, indeed, become the Vatican’s private assassin squads. Titles bestowed on loyal soldiers to the missions given to them by the Orders, do not come easily – they had to prove their loyalty to their masters and the value of their services.

All Knightly Orders operate with the primary purpose of serving and operating as the secret agents of the Vatican.  According to Edith Simon who wrote *The Knights of the Maltese Cross* in 1961:

“The Grand Council and Sovereign Military Order of Malta, had headquarters in Rome.  These knights of the Papal Caesar, control the Banking, Industry and Military Complexes of the world.  They oversee Chase Manhattan Bank with branches in Moscow and New York.  They rule the International Intelligence Community, the KGB in the East and the CIA in the West…”

Today the Order of the Knights Templar still exists and survives in Freemasonry.7

B.(i) Elitist leadership in South Africa has belonged to Orders of the Vatican, including Freemasonry. We offer the following examples:

**1. NELSON MANDELA**

Apart from being a 33rd Degree Freemason, Nelson Mandela is also a Knight of Malta and St John, as is the president that came after him, Thabo Mbeki, both of whom ran South Africa on behalf of the Vatican.

**2. THABO MBEKI**

On the 20th of February 2004, President Thabo Mbeki was awarded an honorary Doctorate from the University of Stellenbosch and the idea was that the Afrikaner Broederbond basically told him that he is now one of the Afrikaners and should listen to them when they speak.8

In 2007 the Duke of Gloucester installed Thabo Mbeki as a member of the Order of the Knights of Malta. Mbeki had become a member of the Order of the Knights of Malta, just like his predecessor Nelson Mandela.9

In 2002, President **Thabo Mbeki**bestowed on F W de Klerk and Nelson Mandela the Order of Mapungubwe in gold – the highest level this order can reach - in honour of their exceptional contribution in the process of peace, national reconciliation and nation building in South Africa. This, however, was not the only prize de Klerk received for handing over control the anti-Communist country of South Africa to the pro-Communist rulership of the African National Congress. At the time of his ‘taking the leap’ – as he describes it, the international elite society applauded him.

In 1992, De Klerk received the Prix du Courage Internationale (The Prize for Political Courage) and was co-recipient of the UNESCO Houphouet-Boigny Prize.

The Houphouet-Boigny Prize is based on the highly symbolic name of Félix Houphouët-Boigny, the "Sage of Africa". On 7 June 1962, Félix Houphouët-Boigny set out his political philosophy and expressed his confidence in the 'human spirit' at the Royal Institute of International Affairs in London. The Royal Institute of International Affairs is a renowned tool of the Crown to further their ideal of a New World Order. UNESCOorganized an international Conference in Tokyo, of which the theme was “Globalization with a Human Face - Benefitting All”.

De Klerk was also awarded the Prince of Asturias Prize in Spain during the same year, 1992.

In July 1993, together with Mr. Nelson Mandela, Mr. De Klerk received the Philadelphia Peace Prize, and on 10 December the same year was the co-recipient, also with Nelson Mandela, of the Nobel Peace Prize.

It is clear that the future which Mr. de Klerk had in mind for South Africa was not for the growth of South Africa more than any other country - as the original mission of the National Party had been at the time it was established, but for South Africa to become a part of a common ground, along with other countries of the world, leveled for control by the top echelons of society, the elite 1% we discuss in Attachment 3 – the Crown. In 1999 he established the F W de Klerk Foundation, and in March 2004, he brought together a number of respected former national leaders to join him as founding members of GLF Global Leadership Foundation, which is registered in Switzerland with operational headquarters in London. The theme of GLF is Globalization, compartmentalized structures including Global working; Global leadership training; Cross cultural training; Working with external partners and Global account management. GLF is aimed at creating young leaders in South Africa and Africa that can implement globalization and teaches them how to overcome the problems of distance. De Klerk’s GLF Foundation states that global leaders need the ability to motivate, communicate and manage performance remotely. For more information on what the GLF Foundation believes, see Footnote 2 – GLF instructions for global leaders.

In addition, he holds positions at the Prague Society for International Co-operation, the Assembly of the Parliament of Cultures and the think-tank Forum 2000, as well as serving on the advisory boards of the Peres Centre for Peace in Israel and the Global Panel in Germany.

As did the Queen of England, FW de Klerk approves of the actions of Robert Mugabe in Zimbabwe, who has instructed the murder of thousands of Black Zimbabweans, besides the 30 000 Matabele Ndebele tribes folk murdered by Mugabe’s 5th Brigade for voting Zapu, a few years before the Queen awarded Mugabe the honorary Knight Grand Cross of the Order of the Bath.

During a visit to Portland on the 14th of February 2012, FW de Klerk replied to written questions and answers after a lecture10 he had given, that he did not condemn Robert Mugabe, the dictator of Zimbabwe. He said that Mugabe still has some "good will" in the world because of his leadership in leading Zimbabwe out of its white minority government during the days when the country was known as Rhodesia.

De Klerk went on to condemn capitalism in his condemnation of “ism”, which is hypocrisy at its highest level, considering the millions he took from the Rockefeller Foundation as reward for leading South Africa into Globalization, as discussed in Attachment 10 - South Africa is bound as a possession by the Crown; Section

(iii) The Broederbond members of the National Party acted on behalf of the Crown when they stepped outside the governing laws of South Africa by changing they changed the system of governance; Sub-section: De Klerk admitted to PW Botha that he accepted money from the Crown to promote the New World Order in South Africa.

**3. JACOB ZUMA**

In 2010 the Queen of England bestowed on President Jacob Zuma the award of honorary Knight Grand Cross of the Order of the Bath, just like Robert Mugabe is a member of the same order.11

Throughout history, the priestly class has always wielded more power than the acknowledged rulers or royalty. The true power lies in the Church, the clergy or the Priestly Class.  They have been the wizards and Druids of history, as well as trusted talisman and councilors of kings and leaders.

The Queen is said to be the head of the Church of England, but in reality, the Queen would not be there without the blessing of the Pope.  The Roman Catholic Church has throughout history maintained its right to depose of rulers and kings that did not conform to Vatican rule. To point, we quote Cardinal Henry Manning, Archbishop of Westminster 1892, as follows:

“The right of deposing Kings is inherent in the supreme sovereignty which the Pope has over all nations”

**3.1 ROBERT MUGABE**

The Vatican basically decides who gets to rule a country on its behalf, no matter how bad they bad or corrupt they are. The true power lies in the Church, the clergy or the Priestly Class.  They have been the wizards and Druids of history, as well as trusted talisman and councilors of kings and leaders.

In 1994, the Queen of Britain made Robert Mugabe a Knight Commander of the Order of Bath. This is a title of very high order, only granted for very high service.

That is why Dictator Robert Mugabe, who claims to be a devout Roman Catholic, has been allowed to mercilessly rule Zimbabwe for three decades. If The Vatican did not want Mugabe ruling Zimbabwe, they would have had him removed, and he certainly would not have been in power for so long, despite sanctions and other objections to his rule.  In fact there is an international travel ban imposed upon Mugabe due to the atrocities Zimbabwe has suffered under his dictatorship.  However, the ban was temporarily lifted so that Mugabe could attend the Beatification of the Late Pope John Paul II (Beatification is one step prior to Canonization, which is when Pope John Paul II will be made a Saint).

The ultimate prize which Knights such as Mugabe can give their masters for which they receive titles and entitlement, is the massive gold reserves under the soil of those countries they govern, such as South Africa and Zimbabwe. Britain felt nothing about the slaughter of the white English speakers in Rhodesia and when Mugabe drove 60,000 whites off their farm land; Britain would not even allow them in as refugees. Most of these refugees are currently living in SA and kept alive by the churches... like in Fish Hoek, Cape Town.

In 2008, Queen Elizabeth II annulled the honorary Knighthood title given to Robert Mugabe after David Millibrand urged her to do so.12 Despite this, Mugabe continuous to serves his true Masters, the Vatican, in the exact capacity that they want him to.  One does not just get an invitation to the Vatican unless you are on “good terms” with them.  Mugabe not only got an invitation to the Vatican so that he could attend the Beatification of the late Pope, they also lifted his travel ban for the occasion which just happened to take place on Walpurgis, the highest and most holy holiday on the Druidic and Witches calendar.

**3.2 The hypocrisy of South African elite rulership**

**(i) Mali**

Those of the select elite Brotherhood who govern a country, allows the wealth of the country they govern to be disinvested into the treasury of the Brotherhood they belong to, and as we know, the leaders of South Africa belong to Orders which belong to the Vatican, of which the Crown serves as their financial corporation.

South Africa is one of the countries with the highest crime rates in the world where 18,000 people are murdered and 150,000 women are raped – the highest rape statistics in the world - every year; where the police do not have petrol for their limited amount of vehicles; where the vehicles of the nation fall apart; where police corruption and incompetence is the order of the day, the president, Knight of the Order of the Bath Jacob Zuma, thought it wise to donate more than R90 million of the South African nation’s tax money to Mali - for the capacitating of police forces and to curb violence. 13

**(ii) Nkandla**

The ANC would only scrap or change Apartheid era laws that worked against them, but when they could benefit from such laws, they would make full use (or abuse) of them.   
In South Africa, where 70% of the population do not have electricity, and more than half the population do not have running water, the President Zuma has recently spent more than R2.6 billion of the nation’s revenue to improve his home at Nkandla, over and above the highway he has had built from his home to the nearest town. To suppress the Nkandla (Zuma’s Palace) report and keep it from the public they invoked an old Apartheid era law called “The National Key Points Act” that stems from the time the Marxist terrorist ANC planted bombs all over the country and blew up innocent people.  
  
The act applies to “any place or area” so important that its loss, damage, disruption or immobilization may “prejudice the Republic”, or whenever a minister of police or defense considers it “necessary or expedient for the safety of the Republic or in the public interest”. See Footnote 3 - Suppression of information on Nkandla.

**(iii) Marikana**

On the 29th of January 2013, Mike Smith’s Blog also refers to the Marikana Massacre on the 16th of August 2012, in which 18 people were shot in 3 minutes 14 wherein police took action to protect the assets of the Lonmin mining group at Marikana, of which it was reported that, “A total of 34 people were killed in a shooting near the mine when police tried to disperse striking miners. More than 70 people were injured.”  
Smith called this massacre the ‘ANC’s Sharpville’15, and refers to the Sharpeville massacre of the 21st of March 1960, during which police opened fire on protestors, to which there was an outcry from the world, which culminated in sanctions against South Africa. Smith asks, “Where is the outcry now? Oh I see when the ANC kills blacks it is fine. Nobody bothers about it.”  
Smith further points out that, unlike the Sharpeville massacre, the Marikana massacre was pre-planned by the present elitist government, which had put regulations in place to protect itself. The Marikana massacre followed just after the ‘deadly force’ bill was passed. See Footnote 4 – Passing of the Deadly Force Bill.

Smith notes that to him, it was clear from the start that the official version of events in the mains stream media was “bullshit”. He adds that, “They could not even get the satellite pictures right. Putting the miners totally on the wrong side of the kraal, etc. I followed it up with some more reports after consultation with some former special forces and police connections who all immediately spotted and agreed that the tactics that were used by the Police Task Force at Marikana were old COIN (Counter Insurgency) tactics used by SADF forces on the Namibian/Angolan border.  
“It also surfaced then, that a massive police cover up was in the making and evidence was being tampered with and being destroyed along with a MSM psyops to pull the wool over our eyes.

Now it has surfaced that we on this blog were right all along. It was indeed nothing but a police Turkey Shoot.  
“Police took cell phone clips of what they were doing, calling the one miner a “Mothafucka” and bragging about shooting him ten times (evidence later showed he was shot twelve times). I wonder how his family must feel about that.

Nevertheless, we should not lose focus and concentrate too much about what the police did. The police were just pawns in this game. They are now being blamed and accused, exactly as planned.  
As I have pointed out before. A cover up and psyops operation is in progress to lead the attention away from the REAL culprits and pigs in this fable, namely LONMIN…The British owners of the mining company, formerly known as Lonrho (London Rhodesia Company) that belonged to Cecil John Rhodes.  
Lonmin were the ones who pulled the ANC government’s strings and ordered the police to Marikana.”16

**(iiia) Sorros**

Clearly the action of the African Congress Government by the forceful way they handled the miners at Marikana sent out a message of fear to the South African nation, and the violent handling of the matter was for the benefit of the social elite rather than the working class. One such elite who benefitted greatly from the Marikana massacre wasGeorge Soros (Soros György; born Schwartz György). He is the chairman of Soros Fund Management and supporter progressive-liberal causes. (The Russians know him well for his involvement in the color-based revolutions). Soros has been, and still is, very active in South Africa (via the Soros Open Society), reaping huge benefits on the stock exchange every time the ZA rand devaluates due to the domestic upheavals in this country. Indeed, he has become one of the richest men on earth, largely through benefits reaped on the rise and fall of the ZA rand. For decades, Soros had been involved with and funded the revolutionary ANC, AZAPO, APLA and the SACP. Prior to the Marikana strikes, Soros purchased 15.5 million shares in Platinum Group Metals Ltd. - competitors to Lonmin, AMPLATS and IMPLATS for Marikana). Sorros has had inside information on when and where violence in South Africa would take place, and which industries it would affect the most. He has been able to enrich himself through the political upheavals in South Africa, and his role in these upheavals, should be addressed through a court of law. To add, the director of Soros's Open Society Initiative on Southern Africa (OSISA) is Godfrey Kanyanze. Kanyanze has long served as the director of the Zimbabwe Congress of Trade Unions (ZCTU), which is funded by the U.S. National Endowment for Democracy. This funding links the United States government directly to the mining industries in southern Africa, which have experienced great upheavals over the past decades, which has also had extreme adverse effects on the value of the Zim dollar and the ZA rand.17

**(iv) Rhamaposa responsible for Marikana shooting**

Similar to the long service ofKanyanze as the director of the Zimbabwe Congress of Trade Unions, Cyril Rhamaposa has long service in the Trade Unions in South Africa. However, his service record has proven that his position has been self enriching, to the detriment of the workers.

IOL News reported shortly after the shooting at Marikana that Cyril Ramaphosa gave the instruction which led to the police shooting the striking miners. Ramaphosa was described as the former struggle activist and National Union of Mineworkers leader, now best known as a capitalist, and – as chairman of the party’s disciplinary appeals committee – the man who sealed the fate of ANC Youth League leader Julius Malema; and reported the following;

Sapa reported that advocate Dali Mpofu told the Farlam Commission of Inquiry of an e-mail in which Ramaphosa condemned protests by workers at the mine, describing them as criminal acts and calling for “concomitant action”.

“This [e-mail] was on 15 August at 2.58 pm, exactly 24 hours before the people were mowed down on that mountain,” Sapa reported Mpofu as saying.

“We have e-mails that were being exchanged between Lonmin management, government ministers [of mineral resources and the police] and at the centre is a gentleman called Cyril Ramaphosa,” Mpofu was quoted as telling the inquiry.

“He advanced that what was taking place [was] criminal acts and must be characterised as such. In line with this characterisation [Ramaphosa said] there needs to be concomitant action to address the situation.”

IOL News pointed to the role Ramaphosa played after he had been elected ANC secretary-general in 1991, in that he led the ANC in the negotiations that paved the way for the 1994 democratic breakthrough.

During this time period, the USSALEP contributed huge money to advance the ANC in the South African political arena. Ramaphosa’s role in accepting funding on behalf of the ANC from USSALEP cannot be denied. In its 1992 Program Update, in a short note entitled "Transition to Democracy Project," USSALEP proclaims**:**

The $8,000,000 cooperative agreement, under which sub grants of $4.8 million for the African National Congress (ANC) and $2.6 million for the Inkatha Freedom Party was to be disbursed by September 30, 1992, was extended for an additional 15 months in order to utilize the full amount obligated by USAID. The purpose of the project is to build administration capacity within the ANC and the IFP organizations to enable them to participate more effectively in the negotiations leading to a new constitution and democratic government. Due to the very stringent disbursement conditions (which, for example, eliminated the category of salaries as a permissible expenditure category under the original budgets), coupled with administrative/absorptive capacity limitations of the sub-grantees, only approximately 45 percent of the $7.4 million could be expended during the originally scheduled, 13-month project life.

The monies disbursed to date have been used to**:** (i) acquire or rent office space to house central and regional staff, (ii) purchase and install computer hardware and software and train personnel needed to establish effective management information systems, and (iii) pay for sundry travel, consulting and workshop expenses relating to the above and to the formulation of policy options and negotiation positions.

USAID and USSALEP are presently in discussion with the sub grantees to identify new areas of expenditure not previously included in their budget proposals. Among those being considered is the critical one encompassing peace initiatives.18

Today, Ramaphosa's investment company, Shanduka Group, is a quarter-owned by China's sovereign wealth fund and has stakes in mobile phone giant MTN and Standard Bank, Africa's biggest bank by assets, according to Reuters.

In addition, he is a non-executive chairman at Bidvest and a director search reveals him to be a director at Standard Bank Group, SABMiller, LS Molope Holdings, Micawber 799, Puma Sports Cars, Ramburg Beef and Tshivhase Share Dealing.

He's also a member of Ntaba Nyoni Estates, Adcorp Outdoor Signs and Ntatba Nyoni Feedlot.

**Ramaphosa in business**

In the aforementioned IOL article - which is provided in full in Footnote 5 – IOL on Ramaphosa ordering Marikana massacre - it was pointed out that the reason why Ramaphosa called on the government to use the police to take measures against the strikers, was because Lonmin is a mining company and “not responsible for law enforcement”. However, Ramaphosa has stakes in both Lonmin, and the political arena of the South African government.

At the time of the Marikana massacre, Ramaphosa was a non-executive director of Lonmin. His Shanduka group owns 9 percent of the company through its 50 percent stake in Incwala Resources, Lonmin’s black economic empowerment partner. Ramaphosa’s 9% shares in Lonmin is valued at around R250 million.

On the 23rd of January 2013, Moneyweb reported that Ramaphosa resigned his position from Mondi, Lonmin, following his election to the NEC – we show this article in Footnote 6 – Zuma sets Ramaphosa up for presidency.

**The connection between Lonmin and the London elite**

On the 26th of July 1998, the assets of Lonrho were demerged; where after two publicly listed companies, Lonrho plc and Lonrho Africa plc were created. Lonrho p/c retained all the non-African businesses and mining assets. In 1999, Lonrho plc was renamed as Lonmin plc and since then it has divested itself of all non-core assets.

Xstrata has retained a 24.9% stake in Lonmin,

Lonrho the Company was incorporated in the United Kingdom on the 13th of May 1909, as the London and Rhodesian Mining and Land Company Limited.

Businessman Tiny Rowland was recruited as chief executive to Lonrho in 1962. For many years during the second half of the twentieth century it was frequently in the news as it strove to become a conglomerate in a number of takeover battles, most notably for the Harrods of Knightsbridge department store.

In 1968, Lonrho acquired Ashanti Goldfield’s Corporation - a gold mining business in Ghana. The former Conservative minister Duncan Sandys, a director of Ashanti, became Lonrho's chairman in 1972.

During the 80s, Lonrho entered the British newspaper market, buying the Sunday newspaper The Observer in 1981, and the newly launched daily Today in 1986. *Today* was sold to News International the following year,[ while the Guardian Media Group bought the *Observer* in 1993.

Sir Angus Ogilvy, married to a member of the British royal family – Princess Alexandra, was a Lonrho director, and this increased media interest in the company's affairs. Ogilvy's career ended when Lonrho was involved in a sanctions-busting scandal concerning trade with Rhodesia. Former British Prime Minister, Edward Heath, criticized the company, describing it in the House of Commons in 1973 as "an unpleasant and unacceptable face of capitalism."

Tiny Rowland was finally ejected from Lonrho in October 1993 after a boardroom tussle with director Dieter Bock. Two months before Rowland's death (on 26 July 1998) the assets of Lonrho were demerged, and Lonrho plc and Lonrho Africa plc were created.

**a) Operations**

The Company is a producer of platinum group metals operating mainly in the Bushveld Complex in South Africa. It has two multi-shaft mining operations, located respectively in the Marikana Region and in the Limpopo Region.

**b) Salary scale of top management**

**Chief Executive Officer of Lonmin,** Ian P Farmer, earns an annual salary of£351,538 plus a bonus of £213,990, which totals £565,528 per year.

**Chief Financial Officer of Lonmin,** Alan Ferguson,earns an annual salary of£422,500 plus a bonus of £366,344, which totals £788,844 per year.

**Cyril Ramaphosa’s link to labour broking on Lonmin, where he is also a shareholder**

Lauren Clifford-Holmes, the multimedia editor for the Johannesburg Mail & Guardian, was prevented from speaking to injured Marikana mineworkers. However, she stumbled upon two workers at Lonmin, who confirmed that strife between the two trade unions was responsible for the problems which led to the Marikana massacre, as NUM representatives were not re-elected by dissatisfied miners. Clifford-Holmes reported that, “Black businessman and high-ranking ANC politician, Cyril Ramaphosa, apparently owns a contract to supply labour to the Lonmin mine at Marikana via his company Shanduka. “Ramaphosa and the NUM are practicing a form of slave labour at the mine,” a source told her at Marikana. “He is supplying labour at about R12 000 ($1500) per worker per month, but only pay his workers R4000 ($500) per month, thereby pocketing the difference. As a former NUM chief, he is able to control the union leaders and sees to it that he maintains this grotesque form of exploitation.”19

**Ramaphosa uses business to further his political interests**

Political analyst in Johannesburg reported in the Mail & Guardian published on the 20th of August 2012, to the close relationship between NUM owner Ramaphosa and the mine owners from London in an article called “The worms appear after the Marikana massacre. The elite wants it bread buttered on both sides”, in which he said that the mine shootings expose South Africa’s angry underbelly, and that the Marikana incident was brought about by a populist union exploiting frustration in an unequal society, a leaderless company and failure of policing”, and stated that:

“The story of the London-listed Lonmin’s Marikana mine shootings is that of a trade union that cosied up to big business; of an upstart and populist new union that exploited real frustration to establish itself; and of police failure.  
It is a story which exposes South Africa’s structural weaknesses too: it is one of the world’s top two most unequal societies (with Brazil). Poverty, inequality and unemployment lie at the heart of the shootings.  
The Lonmin story starts with the 360,000-member National Union of Mineworkers, formed in the 1980s to fight apartheid labour laws. Under the leadership of Cyril Ramaphosa — ironically now on the board of Lonmin — the union became the biggest affiliate to the Congress of SA Trade Unions (Cosatu), a powerful ally of the ruling African National Congress (ANC).  
For more than a decade, Cosatu has concentrated on socioeconomic and political issues. Instead of organizing on the shop floor, it has harried the ANC government to adopt increasingly left-leaning policies. The NUM, one of the two biggest unions within Cosatu, has been at the forefront of these struggles.  
Over the past few years, the NUM has been split by succession battles inside the ANC, with the current leadership campaigning for ANC President Jacob Zuma to win a second term. The union has paid a heavy price for this. At the Lonmin mines, its membership has declined from 66 per cent of workers to 49 per cent and it has lost its organizational rights. Disgruntled and expelled union leaders had in the meantime started a new union, the Association of Mineworkers and Construction Union, and were organizing on the NUM’s turf.  
The NUM’s Achilles’ heel was that its relationship with mine owners and the Chamber of Mines had become too close. Its secretary, Frans Baleni, is a more strident critic of the nationalization of mines than many business leaders. The union has also allegedly accepted wage settlements that tied workers into years of meager increases.  
The AMCU dangled a fat piece of fruit in front of the workers’ eyes: rock drillers (who are the core of this strike and do the hardest work underground) earning 4,000 rand a month were promised 12,500 rand a month. The union’s support in the Lonmin mines shot up to 19 per cent by last month, and it embarked on an illegal strike to force its pay demand.

Preparing for war

Last week, the strike turned violent. On the ground, armed workers are promising to “take a bullet with my fellow workers”. Traditional doctors have been anointing strikers with potions, allegedly making them invincible. The AMCU’s leaders are preparing for war.  
The NUM has lost all credibility and is bleeding members. It’s already well-paid secretary, Baleni, was awarded a salary increase of more than 40 per cent last year. NUM leaders have refused to get out of police armored vehicles to address workers. Last year, one of them was struck with a brick and lost an eye. They have no cogent plan to end the strike.  
The police, too, have lost credibility. Although the indications are that they were shot at, a death count of 34 in three minutes suggests panic. A judicial inquiry is likely.”

**Ramaphosa in politics**

South African president Jacob Zuma nominated Cyril Ramaphosa as deputy president in January 2013. This comes after Ramaphosa had been directly implicated with the Marikana massacre, and after Ramaphosa had supported the Zuma premiership through using his National Union of Mineworkers.

Ramaphosa’s total disregard of the poverty of his fellow South Africans is clearly shown in the extravagant manner he squanders huge amounts of money on trivialities. In December 2012, Ramaphosa apologised on national radio for bidding R18 million for a buffalo and its calf while fellow South Africans lived in poverty. The SAfm interview also offered Ramaphosa the opportunity to reject categorically “outrageous” allegations on a website that he owned a company that was contracting labour to Lonmin but pocketing the bulk of workers’ wages.

As deputy president, Ramaphosa would be well placed to step into top spot come 2017, with a shot at becoming South Africa’s president in 2019.

**President Zuma’s son is directly linked to labour broking business dealings with Ramaphosa’s NUM**

On the 26th of August 2012, IOL News reported in an article titled “Zuma family link to labour broking”20 that JIC Mining Services lists President Jacob Zuma’s son Duduzane, along with RK (Rajesh) Gupta as non-executive directors.

The article stated that, “Duduzane Zuma, who is linked to the Guptas through directorships in various companies, confirmed he was a non-executive director at JIC, but said he would not comment.”

JIC Mining Services is majority-owned by Oakbay Investments, the family investment vehicle of the Guptas. Several trade journals also describe JIC as one of the biggest suppliers of contract labour. According to the company website, JIC provides contract workers to major industry players, including Impala Platinum where, earlier this year, four miners were killed.

NUM general-secretary Frans Baleni, who acknowledged labour broking was rife in the mining sector, also confirmed that the union had a full agreement with JIC.

**(v) President Zuma believes that minorities don’t have as much rights as majorities.**

Responding to a question in the National Assembly on wage negotiations at Lonmin mine, President Zuma told MPs that in a democracy, the majority prevailed. 21

"We operate within regulated society... Between workers and employers there have been negotiations and agreements, and those agreements must be respected," Zuma said.

"In a democratic situation, it is the majority that prevail. I can't change the rules because you want to make a particular point. You can't then say, smaller unions must then be compared to the bigger unions in the same way."

He was addressing DA parliamentary leader Lindiwe Mazibuko, who had earlier asked if he would consider changes to the labour relations regime, which pegged the union representation threshold at 51 percent.

She said this had led to the situation where Amcu (the Association of Mineworkers and Construction Union) was not a recognized union at Lonmin's platinum mine, and therefore excluded from wage negotiations.

This had empowered "the ANC-affiliated National Union of Mineworkers" to establish a monopoly at the mine.

"Does the president believe that smaller unions should be empowered to negotiate on behalf of their members, and not be excluded by big unions like NUM, in a winner-takes-all scenario?"

Would this not avert tension and the possible violence now posing a threat to South Africa's mining sector, Mazibuko asked.

The president said workers who did not join the majority union could not expect the same privileges.

"Workers who do not join the union, can't have the same kind of privileges... You can't have a union of half-a-dozen people [and say] because they've declared it a union, you must have the same rights.

"You have more rights because you're a majority; you have less rights because you're a minority. That's how democracy works," he said, provoking a huge outcry from opposition benches.

Zuma told MPs it was "a question of accepting the rules of democracy, and operating within them".

An unidentified MP responded from the opposition side of the House: "You don't understand democracy!"

**C. The Order of Malta**

The nature of the Order of Malta directly affects every person who lives inside South Africa, as the President – who controls South Africa – is a Knight of the Order of Malta.

According to the website of the Order of Malta, the order of Malta is “a subject of international law”. Hence as a subject of International Law, it can be held accountable for any activities it has participated in, directly or indirectly, in the deaths of people in South Africa, as South Africa is also a subject of International law. Furthermore, at the executive level of the Order of Malta, it is administered as a government represented diplomatically in many nations. Today the Order has diplomatic missions in 104 countries and missions to major European countries, as well as to European and international organizations.

The Order carries out its work through the voluntary work of its members, and is involved in humanitarian assistance, as well as medical and social activities in over 120 countries. Although the Order is also described as an international charity with "neutral, impartial and non-political" objectives, we shall put this theory to test in this discussion.

The Grand Master of the Order of Malta is elected among professed Knights of the Order, who is accorded the singular title of "His Most Eminent Highness" and receives precedence in the Roman Catholic hierarchy immediately after that of the most junior cardinal. The current Grand Master is Fra Matthew Festing of the UK, who was elected on 11 March 2008 in replacement of Fra Andrew Bertie, who died in February 2008 (after having led the Order from 1988).

The government of the Order of Malta is headed by the Grand Master, who is elected for life and is assisted by a Sovereign Council, made up of 4 State Ministers: Grand Commander, Grand Chancellor, Grand Hospitaller, and the Receiver of the Common Treasure. Other six members, elected from professed Knights or Knights in obedience, elected for a term of five years, complete the Sovereign Council.

The Order governed Malta for centuries, before moving to Rome. Although maintaining its Head Office in Rome, the Order has recently returned to Malta, after signing an agreement with the Maltese government, which granted the Order the exclusive use of Fort St. Angelo (which used to belong to the Order from 1530).

The Order is said to have its origins in a hospice and confraternity in Jerusalem, founded some time before the first Crusade (1099). According to some accounts, the original Christian hospice was founded as early as 1020. It is therefore one of the oldest institutions of Western and Christian civilizations. The original objective of the Order was to defend and care for pilgrims, travelers and the sick that went on a pilgrimage to the Holy Land (Palestine).

The Order of Malta has 12,500 members, which include professed friars and others who have made vows of obedience. The other Knights and dames are lay members, who are assumed to be "devoted to the exercise of Christian virtue and charity".

**The relations between the Order of Malta and the European Union**

The European Union has official relations with the Order of Malta. The EU considers the Order of Malta to be a sui generis subject of public international law. A representative of the Order of Malta is accredited to the EU and the Head of the EU Delegation in Rome is accredited to the Order of Malta.

Visits of the Grand master of the Order of Malta to further their ideals to countries worldwide are common.

**The loyalties of the Order of Malta**

The Sovereign Military Order of Malta, also known as the original Sovereign Military Order of St. John of Jerusalem, is a closed fraternity of the Roman Catholic Church. The loyalty of Knights to the Roman Catholic Church is said to outweigh the rights of those who do not belong to the Roman Catholic Church, as explained in the following excerpt:

“...They (the Papal Knights and Jesuits) are simply the Romish army for the earthly sovereignty of the world in the future, with the Pontiff of Rome for the Emperor...That’s their ideal, but there’s no sort of mystery of lofty melancholy about it... it’s simple lust of power, of filthy earthly gain, of domination – something like universal serfdom with them as master – that is all they stand for.  They don’t even believe in God perhaps.”22a

The Sovereign Grand Master of the order is recognized as a head of state, and his authority is ensured by his secular ranking as a Prince, and his ecclesiastical ranking as a Cardinal. Under international law this organization has independent Sovereign status, which assures nationalistic loyalty from its members, above and beyond allegiance to their own country - they also have Permanent Observer status at the United Nations.

The order and its members have been proven to be linked with the "Rat Run" - the post-WWII escape route used by high-ranking Nazis and death camp scientists from defeated Germany to the Americas. Sovereign Knight of Malta passports were issued with false identities that allowed escape from prosecution for war crimes.

After the war the order’s highest decoration, the ’Grand Cross of Merit’, was awarded to Nazi General Reinhard Gehlen, Hitler’s Eastern Front intelligence chief, who was highly complicit in the atrocities carried out by the Nazis in Russia and Eastern Europe - mainly the unprecedented slaughter of Jews and civilian Slavs.The Brotherhood’s order has been largely responsible for the construction of the CIA, and many of its global covert operations.

 The founding fathers of the CIA, William "Wild Bill" Donovan and Allen Dulles, were knights, as were many other CIA hierarchy including Ronald Reagan’sdirector William Casey and JFK’s director John McCone. According to "Watergate" journalist Carl Bernstein, Casey gave Pope John Paul II unprecedented access to CIA intelligence including spy satellites and agents.

At the end of the First World War, Donovan led a secret mission to Siberia to observe the Russian Revolution. Due to his reconnaissance, an American unit was sent to fight the Russian Bolsheviks who were broadly considered Allies, as they were still fighting the Germans alongside the British and the French in the trenches of Europe.

The American troops could not understand why they were being ordered to burn the villages and kill "these poor and hungry peasants, who’ve been treated so terribly by their rulers", as one "doughboy" wrote to his wife. It is historically un-American to side with the aristocratic powers of Europe against the common man; at this time the [Russian](http://vaticannewworldorder.blogspot.com/2012/06/sovereign-military-order-of-malta-also.html) Revolution was no threat to the American Republic.

In July 1944, Pope Pius XII awarded Donovan the ’Grand Cross of the Order of St. Sylvester’, the oldest and most prestigious of papal knighthoods, and the highest Catholic award ever received by an American. Less than one hundred men have received this award throughout history.  
  
The Knights of Malta’s key spheres of control are Africa and Latin America, and include many dictators such as mass murderer General Pinochet in their ranks.  
  
Membership in Latin Americaincludes Masonic lodge "P2" fascists and many surviving Nazi players, who are directly responsible for the formation of the modern neo-Nazi movement.

Knight and Nazi escapee Otto "Scarface" Skorzeny, who led a commando raid on the Cathar region of France in search of occult artifacts, including the Holy Grail, played a major role in the Vatican’s "Rat Run".

Skorzeny was a close friend of Argentinean president and Knight Juan Peron, who recent CIA documents prove was also involved in the laundering of Nazi gold through the Vatican Bank. Conveniently for Nazi members who did not escape Europe, William Donovan served as chief aide to the American prosecutor at the post-war Nuremberg trials, after handing over the reins of the OSS/CIA to fellow knight Allen Dulles**.**Roman Catholic author Penny Lernoux reveals in her book "People of God" that:

"After the war, the Vatican, the OSS, the SS, and various branches of the Sovereign Military Order of Malta joined to do battle against the common Soviet enemy - and to help Nazi war criminals escape... Baron Luigi Parrilli, an Italian aristocrat and Knight of Malta/papal chamberlain, took part in negotiations between SS leaders and the CIA’s Allen Dulles."

Prior to working for the OSS, Allen Dulles (who also headed the OSS station in Switzerland during the war) and his law partner John Foster, had served as business managers and lawyers to John D. Rockefeller’s Standard Oil. They personally brokered the partnership between Standard Oil and the I.G. Farben chemical corporation. I.G. Farben not only produced gasoline for the Nazi war machine, it also manufactured "Zyklon B", used slave labour before and during the war (including their huge petro-chemical factory adjacent to Auschwitz death camp), owned Bayer Pharmaceuticals which according to a current lawsuit was directly involved in Dr. Josef Mengele’s horrific Auschwitz experiments, and was spared from Allied bombings due to its Rockefeller interests.

Leading war-time journalist George Seldes attempted to reveal this "unholy alliance" through his newspaper articles, but was rarely published at the time, and efforts were made to kill him. Joseph Heller’s satirical novel "Catch 22" made light of this insanity with his "we agreed to bomb our own bases in return for the Nazis buying our chocolate covered cotton".

**(i) Cardinal Francis Spellman**

Documents show that New York’s Cardinal Francis Spellman was directly involved in the 1954 right-wing military coup of Guatemala, which led to the murder of thousands, and of which the CIA has acknowledged complicity.

 He has also been linked to neo-Nazi group "P2" and the Mafia by his long involvement with "P2" member Archbishop Paul Marcinkus of Chicago, former head of the Vatican Bank, who was under serious suspicion by Italian authorities for the untimely death of Pope John Paul I. 

Cardinal Spellman was not only an old friend of CIA creator "Wild Bill" Donovan (who previously had a law firm in New York), but was the actual head of the Knights of Malta in America throughout the 40’s, 50’s and 60’s, and was responsible for vast sums of money raised from its members, who have to pay thousands annually to remain in the Order.

**Cross of Malta** The unusually shaped Cross of Malta was the original emblem of the controversial Knights Templar, and was adopted as the official insignia of the Knights of Malta, when the Knights Templar were forced to submit to the authority of the Vatican.

**The Sovereign Military Order of Malta**

The Sovereign Military Order of Malta (SMOM) is directly allied with international Freemasonry, from where they recruit their new elite brethren. Hence, the Knights of Malta and the Knights Templar are the Rosicrucian upper-degrees of Freemasonry.We quote the following from the book "Knights of Darkness" by the author Francois Hervet:

*"Freemasonry generally purports to be hostile to Catholicism... nevertheless in December of 1969 an exclusive meeting was held in the Rome office of Count Umberto Ortolani, the ambassador of the Knights of Malta to Uruguay, who has been called ’the brains’ behind the "P2" Masonic lodge. In addition to Ortolani, the meeting included only Licio Gelli, Roberto Calvi, and Michele Sindona...".*

All of these men mentioned above have been implicated in the death of Pope John Paul I, as well as massive bank fraud.

**The Order of St. John of Jerusalem**  
  
The Protestant equivalent of the Catholic Knights of Malta, known as the Order of St. John of Jerusalem, gained greater credibility when the head of the British Empire HM Queen Victoria became their Sovereign Head in 1888.

This Order of St. John, who’s current Sovereign Head, is HM Queen Elizabeth II, similarly use the Cross of Malta insignia as their emblem.

 While they too claim that charity and protecting the poor are their main cause, this has been used as a tool of Western Imperialism for the last 1000 years, and began with the first Crusades to occupy Jerusalem.

These "charitable concerns" are unlikely to take precedence over their secret historical agenda - the fulfillment of the prophecies of St. John the Divine, whose Revelation acts as a political template for these historically-intoxicated and reactionary-minded organizations.

It is additionally disturbing that the Protestant Order of St. John was recently granted non-Governmental membership of [the United Nations](http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/esp_sociopol_un.htm).

 Like its Catholic counterpart, this United Nations position gives the Protestant Order greater access to United Nations decision-making, and vulnerable developing countries. Undoubtedly, the interests of the Vatican, the British Crown, and its Rosicrucian fraternity, will take precedence over any genuine aid offered to the worlds poorest and needy.

**Non-Catholic Knights**

In recent years, a belief in the Catholic faith has not been an essential prerequisite for potential members, provided that they have the right social connections.

Non-aristocratic parties can now be initiated by the "Magisterial Grace" of the Grand Master, although not in Britain, Germany and other European countries with a strong tradition of nobility. However, this has allowed many Americans to join, and an "Order of Merit" is bestowed upon those who have outstandingly served the Knights or their works. Past Presidents Ronald Reagan and George Bush are such honorary initiates, and Reagan was the toast of an extravagant dinner held by the Order in 1989.  
  
With more than 11,000 knights worldwide, the Grand Master and Sovereign Council remain based in Rome. Their goal of political Feudalism is clearly seen in the actions of Prince Hans Adam II, the Habsburg-supported ruling monarch of Liechtenstein, and a prominent Knight of Malta and member of the Vatican’s Opus Dei, who in October 1999 was reprimanded by the European Court of Human Rights for claiming he had final constitutional authority over his country’s Supreme Court.

Of interest recently is successful attempts made at maneuvering Europe towards accepting a European President, which have been led by Knight of Malta Gistard d’Estaing, and backed by Britain, France, Germany, Italy & Spain.

**D. South African Elitist Secret Services**

South Africa has its very own secret services intelligence network, complete with a division much like the CIA run Al Qaida.  Al Qaida is NOT Muslim Extremists who want to bring a Jihad (holy war) to all non-Muslims.  Al Qaida is a CIA network of agents trained to facilitate “false flag” operations much like the atrocities of 9/11. In much the same way, South Africa has its very own special secret unit of “Agent Provocateurs”, whose purpose is to stir up racial and religious tension, and hatred among South Africans.  The ex-ANC youth Leader, Julius Malema is one such agent.

Another iconic South African known as Pik Botha was one of South Africa’s very top secret agents.  Pik Botha was in contact, and working with, Israeli Mossad, British Secret Services, Central Intelligence Agency and the Russian run KGB.  Knowing this it may seem on the surface that Pik Botha was a triple agent playing for all sides, or playing sides against each other, but at the very highest levels of government and intelligence, there are no sides.  In fact, the International Intelligence community is controlled by the Jesuit for the Papacy.

**E. The Jesuit Order**

The Jesuit order was found on the 11th of August 1534 by Ignatius Loyola, and sanctioned by Pope Paul III on the 27th of September 1540.

Loyola wanted the Order to be the champions of Catholic unity, which could only be observed through an effective submission to Christ’s Vicar (the pope).

Loyola instructed members of the Order that, “Even if God gave you an animal without sense as a master, you will not hesitate to obey him, as master and as guide, because god ordained it to be so”.

This quote indicates the loyalty the Jesuits have to the Pope.  In fact, Pope Pius III gave the Jesuit Order papal protection, whilst Catholic nobles donated castles, schools and money to the Jesuits**.**

The Jesuits are indeed very powerful, and this is more than obvious when looking at some of the secret, and not so secret, organizations that the Jesuits control, including:

* The Sovereign Military Order of Malta & Knights of St John and the Hospitaliers (also known as The Knights of Malta or Knights of the Maltese Cross);
* The Order of the Illuminati;
* The Scottish Rite Shrine of Freemasonry;
* The Order of Skull & Bones;
* The Knights of Columbus;
* The Knights Templar;
* The Knights of Lazarus;
* Opus Dei;
* The Nation of Islam and it’s private army called “The Fruit of Islam” (The Roman Catholic Church invented Islam to control the Arabs);
* The Mafia Commission (Known as The Mafia);
* The Bohemian Club;
* The Club of Rome,

And some other lesser brotherhoods

**(i) Oath of the Jesuit**

The most telling and chilling evidence of how very powerful the Jesuits are, can be seen in the oath that they take upon becoming a Jesuit, which taken from the Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 60-43354 reads as follows:

“ I \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_in the presence of Almighty God, the Blessed Virgin Mary, the Blessed Michael the Archangel, the Blessed St. John, the Baptist, Peter, Paul and all the Saints, swear that the Pope is Christ’s Vice regent and is the true and only head of the Catholic Universal Church.....  The Pope has the power to depose of heretical kings, princes, states, common wealths, government and that they may be destroyed if the pope has not given his blessings over them. This power was given by the savior Jesus Christ....”. Hereby the Jesuits swear an oath that says, if a government is not subject to Rome, it can be destroyed.

The oath goes on to say:

“I do further declare, that I will help and assist and advise all of his Holiness’ agents, where ever I may be and will do my utmost to destroy the heretical Protestant or Liberal Doctrines (Liberal doctrines are where you make up your mind what you want to believe, you take the liberty of making your own choice rather than allowing the Catholic Church to make them for you)...... It does not matter where in the world you may send me, I will obey.  I promise to have no opinion of my own.....I promise that I will make war against all heretics, Protestants and Liberals, as I am directed to do to  exterminate them from the face of the whole earth.  I will spare neither sex, age, race nor condition, and thus I will hang, burn, flay strange and bury alive these infamous heretics, rip up the stomachs and wombs of their women and crush their infants’ heads in order to annihilate forever their race.  If I cannot do it openly then I will do it secretly.”

This oath illustrates the power that Rome gives the Jesuit order, who is, in effect, agents for the Catholic Church, given a license to kill as gruesomely as they wish.  They are exempt from all laws of governance other than their allegiance to the Pope and can get away with all manner of torture and mayhem in the name of the Pope.

This following is a ceremony of induction and extreme oaths of the Jesuits:

“You have been taught to insidiously plant the seeds of jealousy and hatred between communities, provinces and states that were at peace, and incite them to deeds of blood, involving them in war with each other and to create revolutions and civil wars in countries that were independent and prosperous, cultivating the arts and sciences and enjoying the blessing of peace.  To take sides with the combatants and to act secretly in concert with your brother Jesuit, who might be engaged on the other side, but openly opposed to that with which you might be connected, only that the Church might be the gainer in the end, in the conditions fixed in the treaties for peace and that the end justifies the means.”

Friedrich von Hardenberg, who was a Protestant German philosopher wrote in 1800:

“Never before in the course of the world’s history had such a Society (the Jesuits) appeared.  The old Roman Senate itself did not lay schemes for world domination with greater certainty of success.”23

**F. Nature of the most elite person in the world**

Thomas Aquinas, the great Spiritual Philosopher wrote:

“The Pope, by Divine Right, hath spiritual and Temporal (political) Power, as supreme King of the World...the Pope of Rome, as the Head of the Papal Government, claims absolute sovereignty and supremacy over all the governments of the earth.” 24

Today, the Vatican is the richest entity in the world, and it is governed by the Pope.

The Vatican employs the British Crown, which is the unincorporated City of London, to take care of its financial matters. The City of London is also known as ‘The Bankster’s Vatican’.   
The “City” is a privately owned Corporation and Sovereign State – occupying 677 acres and located right in the heart of Greater London.

The Vatican was established as an independent City or State within Rome in 1929.

It is land locked, and has an area of approximately 44 hectares (110 acres), which carries a population of just over 800, which makes it the smallest independent state in the world, and it is also the state which carries the least population in the world.

To note, the Vatican City State is distinct from the Holy See, which dates back to early Christianity and is the main episcopal see of 1.2 billion Latin and Eastern Catholic adherents around the globe.

Vatican City is a monarchalstate, ruled by the Bishop of Rome - the Pope.

The highest state functionaries within the Vatican are all Catholic clergymen of various national origins. It is the sovereign territory of the Holy See and the location of the Pope's residence, referred to as the Apostolic Palace.

The Vatican is the Sovereign Military Order of Malta's world headquarters.

The official name for the Military Order of Malta is the Sovereign Military and Hospitaller Order of St. John of Jerusalem of Rhodes and of Malta.

**Today, the ruling pope of the Vatican is Matthew Festing — aka His Most Eminent Highness the Prince and Grand Master of the Knights of Malta, Pope Benedict XVI**

Presently, and until his death, Matthew Festing aka Benedict XVI is the Pius (ruling pope) of the Vatican, his full title is His Most Eminent Highness the Prince and Grand Master of the Knights of Malta.

The rules set out for the manner in which the Pope rules the Vatican – and thereby the world - is based on a re-designed Code of Canon Law, as lay down by Eugenio Pacelli. Therefore, to see the true nature of the Vatican, it is necessary to look at the man who created the new Code of Canon Law.

**The man who formulated the establishment of the Vatican was Eugenio Pacelli**

From archival material in the Vatican and other sources, Thomas Aquinas made a case study on the nature of Eugenio Pacelli, from which the magazine called ‘Vanity Fair’ published excerpts in its edition on the 5th of June 2000, which we present in Footnote 7 – The Vatican career of Pius XII.

We base the following discussion on this aforementioned case study.

Eugenio Pacelli, at the age of 63, was elected Pope by the College of Cardinals in just three ballots, on March 2, 1939. He was crowned as Pius XII on March 12, on the eve of Hitler's march into Prague.

Between his election and his coronation he held a crucial meeting with the German cardinals. Keen to affirm Hitler publicly, he showed them a letter of good wishes which began,

"To the Illustrious Herr Adolf Hitler."

Should he, he asked them, style the Führer "Most Illustrious"?

Such allegiance to state leaders by the Vatican has been seen throughout its history.

Pacelli was not ignorant to the actions of Hitler. Pacelli had been active in Germany during the 1920’s and 1930’s, and had direct dealings with AdoIf Hitler in 1933.

The evidence showed that PaceIli was patently, and by the proof of his own words, anti-Jewish. It revealed that he had helped Hitler to power, and at the same time undermined potential Catholic resistance in Germany. It showed that he had implicitly denied and trivialized the Holocaust, despite having reliable knowledge of its true extent.

We will also show that Pacelli was also a hypocrite, for after the war he had retrospectively taken undue credit for speaking out boldly against the Nazi persecution of the Jews.

Pacelli was a believer in the absolute leadership principle. More than any other Vatican official of the century, he had promoted the modern ideology of autocratic papal control, the highly centralized, dictatorial authority he himself assumed on March 2, 1939, and maintained until his death in October 1958.

**(i) Pacelli and the Code of Canon Law.**

As a brilliant young Vatican lawyer, Eugenio Pacelli had a major part in the drafting of the Code of Canon Law.

Packaged in a single manual, the Code of Canon Law was distributed in 1917 to Catholic bishops and clergy throughout the world. According to this code, in the future all bishops would be nominated by the Pope; doctrinal error would be tantamount to heresy; priests would be subjected to strict censorship in their writings; papal letters to the faithful would be regarded as infallible (in practice if not in principle); and an oath would be taken by all candidates for the priesthood to submit to the sense as well as the strict wording of doctrine as laid down by the Pope.  
  
However, to enforce this Code in Germany, Pacelli had to find a way to take away the freedom the German population held, as the Catholic Church had historically granted the dioceses in the provincial states of Germany a large measure of local discretion and independence from Rome. Germany had one of the largest Catholic populations in the world, and its congregation was well educated and sophisticated, with hundreds of Catholic associations and newspapers, and many Catholic universities and publishing houses. The historic autonomy of Germany's Catholic Church was enshrined in ancient church-state treaties known as concordats.  
  
Aged 41 and already an archbishop, PaceIli was dispatched to Munich as papal nuncio, or ambassador, to start the process of eliminating all existing legal challenges to the new papal autocracy. At the same time, he was to pursue a Reich Concordat- a treaty between the papacy and Germany as a whole, which would supersede all local agreements, and become a model of Catholic church-state relations.

A Reich Concordat would mean formal recognition by the German government of the Pope's right to impose the new Code of Canon Law on Germany's Catholics. Such an arrangement was fraught with significance for a largely Protestant Germany. Nearly 400 years earlier, in Wittenberg, Martin Luther had publicly burned a copy of Canon Law in defiance of the centralized authority of the church - it was one of the defining moments of the Reformation, which was to divide Western Christendom into Catholics and Protestants.

**(ii) Pacelli was anti-Jews**

In May 1917, Pacelli set off for Germany via Switzerland in a private railway compartment, with an additional wagon containing 60 cases of special foods for his delicate stomach. He travelled through war-weary Germany extending charity to people of all religions.

However, in an early letter to the Vatican, however, he revealed himself to be less than enamored of Germany's Jews. During September 1917, he refused to plea on behalf of the chief rabbi of Munich with the Italian government for the importing of palm fronds, which were being held up in Como. Such a favour, would have been very easy for Pacelli to do, and would have enabled thousands of Jews to celebrate their festival of Tabernacles, beginning on October 1.

 Eighteen months Pacelli revealed his antipathy toward the Jews in a letter to Gasparri at the Vatican, describing warring soldiers and armed workers he had come across during the Bolshevik Revolution inside a palace, as follows:

“...a gang of young women, of dubious appearance, Jews like all the rest of them, hanging around in all the offices with provocative demeanor and suggestive smiles. The boss of this female gang was Levien's mistress, a young Russian woman, a Jew and a divorcee, who was in charge. And it was to her that the nunciature was obliged to pay homage in order to proceed. This Levien is a young man, about 30 or 35, also Russian and a Jew. Pale, dirty, with vacant eyes, hoarse voice, vulgar, repulsive, with a face that is both intelligent and sly."

**(iii) Pacelli was anti Blacks**

Pacelli campaigned to have black French troops removed from the Rhineland, convinced that they were raping women and abusing children - even though an independent inquiry sponsored by the U.S. Congress, of which Pacelli was aware, proved this allegation false.

**(iv) Pacelli was anti Coloureds**

Twenty-three years later, when the Allies were about to enter Rome, he asked the British envoy to the Vatican to request of the British Foreign Office that no Allied colored troops would be among the small number that might be garrisoned in Rome after the occupation.

**(v) Pacelli promised Vatican support for German control of disputed land in return for government support of his Concordats.**

Germany was caught up in many territorial disputes following the redrawing of the map of Central Europe after the First World War. Pacelli repeatedly traded promises of Vatican support for German control of disputed regions in return for obtaining terms advantageous to the Vatican in Concordats.

**(vi) Pacelli routinely used diplomatic blackmail**

Pacelli spent 13 years in Germany attempting to rewrite the state Concordats one by one in favour of the power of the Holy See and routinely employing diplomatic blackmail.

The German government's official in charge of Vatican affairs at one point recorded the "ill feeling" prompted by Pacelli's "excessive demands."

**(vii) Pacelli was not concerned about the human rights of either Catholics or non- Catholics**

Both Catholics and Protestants in Germany resisted reaching an agreement with Pacelli on a Reich Concordat, because the nuncio's concept of a church-state relationship was too authoritarian.

In his negotiations, Pacelli was not concerned about the fate of non-Catholic religious communities or institutions, or about human rights. He was principally preoccupied with the interests of the Holy See.

.

**(viii) Pacelli encouraged priesthood into politics**

Kaas, who was a priest and a close confidante of Pacelli, was also a representative of the solidly Catholic German Center Party, one of the largest and most powerful democratic parties in Germany.

With Pacelli's encouragement, Kaas eventually became the chairman of the Center Party, the first priest to do so in the party's 60-year history.

There were stories of acute jealousy and high emotion when Kaas became conscious of a rival affection in Pacelli's secretary, the Jesuit Robert Leiber, who was also German. Kaas's adulation of PaceIli, whom he put before his party, became a crucial element in the betrayal of Catholic democratic politics in Germany.

**(vix) Pacelli destroyed Catholic political opposition to Hitler’s Socialism**

The fact that German Catholics, politically united by the Center Party, had defeated Bismarck's Kulturkampf - the "culture struggle" against the Catholic Church in the 1870s - constantly worried Hitler. He was convinced that his movement could succeed only if political Catholicism and its democratic networks were eliminated. Hitler's fear of the Catholic Church was well grounded. Into the early 1930’s, the German Center Party, the German Catholic bishops, and the Catholic media had been mainly solid in their rejection of National Socialism.

 The Munich-based weekly Der Gerade Weg (The Straight Path) told its readers,

"Adolf Hitler preaches the law of lies. You who have fallen victim to the deceptions of one obsessed with despotism, wake up!"

The vehement front of the Catholic Church in Germany against Hitler, however, was not at one with the view from inside the Vatican--a view that was now being shaped and promoted by Eugenio Pacelli.  
  
In 1930 the influential Catholic politician Heinrich Brüning, a First World War Veteran, became the leader of a brief new government coalition, dominated by the majority Socialists and the Center Party. The country was reeling from successive economic crises against the background of the world slump and reparations payments to the Allies.

In August 1931, Brüning visited Pacelli in the Vatican, and the two men quarreled.

 Brüning tells in his memoirs how Pacelli lectured him (the German chancellor), on how he should reach an understanding with the Nazis to "form a right-wing administration" in order to help achieve a Reich Concordat favorable to the Vatican.

When Brüning advised him not to interfere in German politics, Pacelli threw a tantrum.

Hitler proved to be the only chancellor prepared to grant Pacelli the sort of authoritarian concordat he was seeking.

But the price was to be catastrophic for Catholic Germany and for Germany as a whole.

After Hitler came to power in January 1933, he made the concordat negotiations with Pacelli a priority. The negotiations proceeded over six months with constant shuttle diplomacy between the Vatican and Berlin. Hitler spent more time on this treaty than on any other item of foreign diplomacy during his dictatorship.

The Reich Concordat granted Pacelli the right to impose the new Code of Canon Law on Catholics in Germany and promised a number of measures favorable to Catholic education, including new schools. In exchange, Pacelli collaborated in the withdrawal of Catholics from political and social activity. The negotiations were conducted in secret by Pacelli, Kaas, and Hitler's deputy chancellor, Franz von Papen, over the heads of German bishops and the faithful.

The Catholic Church in Germany had no say in setting the conditions.

In the end, Hitler insisted that his signature on the concordat would depend on the Center Party's voting for the Enabling Act, the legislation that was to give him dictatorial powers. Next, Hitler insisted on the "voluntary" disbanding of the Center Party, the last truly parliamentary force in Germany.

Again, Pacelli was the prime mover in this tragic Catholic surrender. The fact that the party voluntarily disbanded itself, rather than go down fighting, had a profound psychological effect, depriving Germany of the last democratic focus of potential noncompliance and resistance: In the political vacuum created by its surrender, Catholics in the millions joined the Nazi Party, believing that it had the support of the Pope.

 The German bishops capitulated to Pacelli's policy of centralization, and German Catholic democrats found themselves politically leaderless.

**(x) Pacelli announced publically that Hitler had entered a treaty of acceptance of the church’s law by the state, which made the Catholics believe they should support Hitler**

After the Reich Concordat was signed, Pacelli declared it an unparalleled triumph for the Holy See. In an article in *L'Osservatore Romano*, the Vatican-controlled newspaper, he announced that the treaty indicated the total recognition, and acceptance of the church's law by the German state. But Hitler was the true victor and the Jews were the concordat's first victims.

On July 14, 1933, after the initialing of the treaty, the Cabinet minutes record Hitler as saying that the concordat had created an atmosphere of confidence that would be, "especially significant in the struggle against international Jewry."

He was claiming that the Catholic Church had publicly given its blessing, at home and abroad, to the policies of National Socialism, including its anti-Semitic stand.

 At the same time, under the terms of the concordat, Catholic criticism of acts deemed political by the Nazis, could now be regarded as "foreign interference."

The great German Catholic Church, at the insistence of Rome, fell silent.

In the future all complaints against the Nazis would be channeled through Pacelli.

The concordat immediately drew the German church into complicity with the Nazis.

Even as Pacelli was granted special advantages in the concordat for German Catholic education, Hitler was trampling on the educational rights of Jews throughout the country. At the same time, Catholic priests were being drawn into Nazi collaboration with the attestation bureaucracy, which established Jewish ancestry. The attestation machinery would lead inexorably to the selection of millions destined to be destabilized and removed forcefully from their homes to concentration camps.

**(xi) Pacelli refused to save Catholics with Jewish ancestry**

Although the Reich Concordat granted Pacelli immense centralized power to impose the new Code of Canon Law on Catholics in Germany, he said and did nothing as Nazi anti-Semitism mounted in Germany during the 1930's.

Eventually, in January 1937, three German cardinals and two influential bishops arrived at the Vatican to plead for a vigorous protest over Nazi persecution of the Catholic Church, which had been deprived of all forms of activity beyond church services.

Pius XI at last decided to issue an encyclical, a letter addressed to all the faithful of the world. Written under Pacelli's direction, it was called *Mit Brennender Sorge* (With Deep Anxiety), and it was a forthright statement of the plight of the church in Germany. But there was no explicit condemnation of anti-Semitism, even in relation to Jews who had converted to Catholicism. Worse still, the subtext against Nazism (National Socialism and Hitler were not mentioned by name) of an even more condemnatory encyclical by Pins XI against Communism was blunted by the publication five days later.

The encyclical *Mit Brennender Sorge*, though too little and too late, revealed that the Catholic Church all along had the power to shake the regime.

A few days later, Hermann Göring, one of Hitler's closest aides and his commander of the Luftwaffe, delivered a two-hour harangue to a Nazi assembly against the Catholic clergy. However, Roman centralizing had paralyzed the German Catholic Church and its powerful web of associations. Unlike the courageous grass-roots activism that had combated Bismarck's persecutions in the 1870s, German Catholicism now had to look obediently to Rome for guidance.

**(xii) Pacelli undermined the protection Pius XI tried to give the Jews**

Although Pacelli collaborated in the writing and the distribution of the *Mit Brennender Sorge* encyclical by Pios XI, Pacelli quickly undermined its effects by reassuring the Reich's ambassador in Rome. The diplomat reported back to Berlin that, "Pacelli received me with decided friendliness, and emphatically assured me during the conversation that normal and friendly relations with us would be restored as soon as possible."

In the summer of 1938, as Pius XI lay dying, he became belatedly anxious about anti-Semitism throughout Europe. He commissioned another encyclical, to be written exclusively on the Jewish question. The text, which never saw the light of day, has only recently been discovered. It was written by three Jesuit scholars, but Pacelli presumably had charge of the project. It was to be called *Humani Generis Unitas* (The Unity of the Human Race). On February 10, 1939, Pius XI died, at the age of 81. When Pacelli became pope, he buried the document deep in the secret archives.

**(xiii) Pacelli supported Hitler**

 Pacelli was crowned as Pope at the aged of 63 on the 12th of March 1939. The following month, at Pacelli's express wish, Archbishop Cesare Orsenigo - the Berlin nuncio, hosted a gala reception in honor of Hitler's 50th birthday. A birthday greeting to the Führer from the bishops of Germany would become an annual tradition until the war's end.

Pacelli's coronation was the most triumphant in a hundred years. His style of papacy, for all his personal humility, was unprecedentedly pompous. He always ate alone. Vatican bureaucrats were obliged to take phone calls from him on their knees. When he took his afternoon walk, the gardeners had to hide in the bushes. Senior officials were not allowed to ask him questions or present a point of view.

As Europe plunged toward war, Pacelli cast himself in the role of judge of judges. But he continued to seek to appease Hitler by attempting to persuade the Poles to make concessions over Germany's territorial claims. After Hitler's invasion of Poland, on September 1, 1939, he declined to condemn Germany, to the bafflement of the Allies.

His first public statement, the encyclical known in the English-speaking world as *Darkness over the Earth*, was full of papal rhetoric and equivocations. Then something extraordinary occurred, revealing that whatever had motivated Pacelli in his equivocal approach to the Nazi onslaught in Poland did not betoken cowardice or a liking for Hitler.

**(ciii) Pacelli became involved in a plot to depose Hitler, which had it been discovered, could have been disastrous for all Catholics.**

In November 1939, in deepest secrecy, Pacelli became intimately and dangerously involved in what was probably the most viable plot to depose Hitler during the war. The plot centered on a group of anti-Nazi generals, committed to returning Germany to democracy. The coup might spark a civil war, and they wanted assurances that the West would not take advantage of the ensuing chaos.

 Pius XII agreed to act as go-between for the plotters and the Allies. Had his complicity in the plot been discovered it might have proved disastrous for the Vatican and for many thousands of German clergy.

As it happened, leaders in London dragged their feet, and the plotters eventually fell silent. The episode demonstrates that, while Pacelli seemed weak to some, pusillanimity and indecisiveness were hardly in his nature.

**(xiv) Pacelli accepted mass murder of innocent people to acquire power in their land**

Pacelli accepted Fascist brutality in the summer of 1941, following Hitler's invasion of Yugoslavia to form the Catholic and Fascist state of Croatia.

In a wave of appalling ethnic cleansing, the Croat Fascist separatists, known as the Ustashe, under the leadership of Ante Pavelic - the Croat Führer, embarked on a campaign of enforced conversions, deportations, and mass extermination targeting a population of 2.2 million Serb Orthodox Christians and a smaller number of Jews and Gypsies.

According to the Italian writer Carlo Falconi, as early as April, in a typical act of atrocity, a band of Ustashe had rounded up 331 Serbs. The victims were forced to dig their own graves before being hacked to death with axes. The local priest was forced to recite the prayers for the dying while his son was chopped to pieces before his eyes. Then the priest was tortured. His hair and beard were torn off, and his eyes were gouged out before he was finally skinned alive. Yet Pacelli showed no empathy to another man ‘of the cloak’. The very next month he greeted Pavelic at the Vatican.

Throughout the war, the Croat atrocities continued. By the most recent scholarly reckoning, 487,000 Orthodox Serbs and 27,000 Gypsies were massacred; in addition, approximately 30,000 out of a population of 45,000 Jews were killed.

Despite a close relationship between the Ustashe regime and the Catholic bishops, and a constant flow of information about the massacres, Pacelli said and did nothing to object to the slaughtering of innocent lives. In fact, he continued to extend warm wishes to the Ustashe leadership. The only feasible explanation the researcher on these notes could find for Pacelli's silence, was his perception of Croatia as a Catholic bridgehead into the East.

The Vatican and the local bishops approved of mass conversion in Croatia (even though it was the result of fear rather than conviction), because they believed that this could spell the beginning of Orthodox Christians in Croatia to papal allegiance. Pacelli was not a man to condone mass murder, but he evidently chose to turn a blind eye on Ustashe atrocities rather than hinder a unique opportunity to extend the power of the papacy.

**(xv) Pacelli ignored pleas for help to save lives**

Pacelli came to learn of the Nazi plans to exterminate the Jews of Europe shortly after they were laid in January 1942. The deportations to the death camps had begun in December 1941, and would continue through 1944.

All during 1942, Pacelli received reliable information on the details of the Final Solution, much of it supplied by the British, French, and American representatives’ resident in the Vatican. On March 17, 1942, representatives of Jewish organizations assembled in Switzerland, sent a memorandum to Pacelli via the papal nuncio in Bern, cataloguing violent anti-Semitic measures in Germany and in its allied and conquered territories.

Their plea focused attention on Slovakia, Croatia, Hungary, and unoccupied France, where, they believed, the Pope's intervention might yet be effective. Apart from an intervention in the case of Slovakia, where the president was Monsignor Josef Tiso, a Catholic priest, no papal initiatives resulted.

During the same month, a stream of dispatches describing the fate of some 90,000 Jews reached the Vatican from various sources in Eastern Europe.

On June 16, 1942, Harold Tittmann - the U.S. representative to the Vatican, told Washington that Pacelli was diverting himself, ostrich-like, into purely religious concerns, and that the moral authority won for the papacy by Pius XI was being eroded.

At the end of that month, the London Daily Telegraph announced that more than a million Jews had been killed in Europe, and that it was the aim of the Nazis "to wipe the race from the European continent." The article was re-printed in The New York Times.

 On July 21, there was a protest rally on behalf of Europe's Jews in New York's Madison Square Garden.

In the following weeks the British, American, and Brazilian representatives to the Vatican tried to persuade Pacelli to speak out against the Nazi atrocities. But still he said nothing.

In September 1942, President Franklin Roosevelt sent his personal representative, the former head of U.S. Steel, Myron Taylor, to plead with PaceIli to make a statement about the extermination of the Jews. Taylor had travelled hazardously through enemy territory to reach the Vatican. Still Pacelli refused to speak.

Pacelli's excuse was that he must rise above the belligerent parties.

As late as December 18, Francis d'Arcy Osborne - Britain's envoy in the Vatican, handed Cardinal Domenico Tardini - Pacelli's deputy secretary of state, a dossier replete with information on the Jewish deportations and mass killings in hopes that the Pope would denounce the Nazi regime in a Christmas message.

On December 24, 1942, having made draft after draft, Pacelli at last said something.

The Allies' diplomats in the Vatican believed that the principal motivation for this trivialization and denial from Pacelli of these mass murders was to remain impartial in order to earn a crucial role in future peace negotiations.

The Jewish organizations' long memorandum of reports and please to the slaughter of their people which were received by Pacelli would be excluded from the wartime documents published by the Vatican between 1965 and 1981.

**(xvi) Pacelli was the sole authority in Italy but allowed people from Italy to be slaughtered in ethnic cleansing operations**

Pacelli was the sole Italian authority in Italy before the liberation of Rome, as Mussolini had been deposed (and rescued by Adolf Hitler to run the puppet regime in the North of Italy). During this time, on October 16, 1943, SS troops entered the Roman ghetto area and rounded up more than 1,000 Jews, imprisoning them in the very shadow of the Vatican.

 On the morning of the roundup, (which had been prompted by AdoIf Eichmann, who was in charge of the organization of the ‘Final Solution’ from his headquarters in Berlin), the German ambassador in Rome pleaded with the Vatican to issue a public protest.

The German authorities in Rome, both diplomats and military commanders, fearing a backlash of the Italian populace, hoped that an immediate and vigorous papal denunciation might stop the SS in their tracks and prevent further arrests.

Pacelli refused to make any objections to the roundup of people in Italy. In the end, the German diplomats drafted a letter of protest on the Pope's behalf and prevailed on a resident German bishop to sign it for Berlin's benefit.

 Meanwhile, the deportation of the imprisoned Jews went ahead on October 18.

 When U.S. chargé d 'affaires Harold Tittmann visited Pacelli that day, he found the pontiff ‘anxious that the "Communist" Partisans would take advantage of a cycle of papal protest, followed by SS reprisals, followed by a civilian backlash’. Pacelli indicated that as a consequence, he was not inclined to lift a finger for the Jewish deportees, who were now travelling in cattle cars to the Austrian border bound for Auschwitz.

Church officials reported on the desperate plight of the deportees as they passed slowly through city after city. Still Pacelli refused to intervene.

In the fall of 1943, Hitler secretly asked Karl Wolff – the SS commander in Italy at that time, to prepare a plan to evacuate the Pope and the Vatican treasures to Liechtenstein.

After several weeks of investigation, Wolff concluded that an attempt to invade the Vatican and its properties, or to seize the Pope in response to a papal protest, would prompt a backlash throughout Italy that would seriously hinder the Nazi war effort. Hitler therefore dropped his plan to kidnap Pacelli, acknowledging what Pacelli appeared to ignore - that the strongest social and political force in Italy in late 1943 was the Catholic Church, and that it’s potential for thwarting the SS was immense.

**(xvii) Pacelli let innocent people be murdered for his personal political ideals**

Pacelli was concerned that a protest by him against the roundup of people in Italy for extermination would benefit only the Communists. His silence on the deportation of Rome's Jews, was not an act of cowardice or fear of the Germans. He wanted to maintain the Nazi-occupation status quo until such time as the city could be liberated by the Allies.

Five days after the train had set off from the Tiburtina station in Rome, an estimated 1,060 had been gassed at Auschwitz and Birkenau - 149 men and 47 women were detained for slave labour, but only 15 survived the war, and only one of those was a woman - Settimia Spizzichino, who had served as a human guinea pig of Dr. Josef Mengele, the Nazi medical doctor who performed atrocious experiments on human victims.  After the liberation, she was found alive in a heap of corpses. By the same token, we must respect the voice of Settimia Spizzichino, the sole Roman Jewish woman survivor from the death camps. Speaking in a BBC interview in 1995 she said:

"*I came back from Auschwitz on my own... I lost my mother, two sisters and one brother. Pius XII could have warned us about what was going to happen. We might have escaped from Rome and joined the partisans.*

*He played right into the Germans' hands. It all happened right under his nose. But he was an anti-Semitic pope, a pro-German pope. He didn't take a single risk. And when they say the Pope is like Jesus Christ, it is not true. He did not save a single child."*

**(xviii) Pacelli’s autocratic control of the Catholic Church forced a religious silence on the mass ethnic cleansing throughout the world**

Pacelli's reticence was not just a diplomatic silence in response to the political pressures of the moment, nor just a failure to be morally outraged. It was a stunning religious and ritualistic silence.

There is no record of a single public papal prayer, lit votive candle, psalm, lamentation, or Mass celebrated in solidarity with the Jews of Rome, either during their terrible ordeal, or after their deaths.

This *spiritual silence* in the face of an atrocity committed at the heart of Christendom, in the shadow of the shrine of the first apostle, persists to this day and implicates all Catholics. This silence proclaims that Pacelli had no genuine spiritual sympathy even for the Jews of Rome, who were members of the community of his birth.

And yet, on learning of the death of AdoIf Hitler, Archbishop Adolf Bertram of Berlin ordered all the priests of his archdiocese, "to hold a solemn Requiem in memory of the Führer."

**(xix) Pacelli told lies to exonerate himself to the world.**

After the liberation of Rome, when every perception of restraint on his freedom was lifted, Pacelli claimed retrospective moral superiority for having spoken and acted on behalf of the Jews.

Addressing a Palestinian group on August 3, 1946, he said,

*"We disapprove of all recourse to force... Just as we condemned on various occasions in the past the persecutions that a fanatical anti-Semitism inflicted on the Hebrew people."*

His grandiloquent self-exculpation a year after the war had ended, showed him to be not only an ideal pope for the Nazis Final Solution, but also a hypocrite.

**(xx) The postwar period showed the apotheosis of the papal power ideology**

The postwar period of Pacelli's papacy, through the 1950’s, saw the apotheosis of the ideology of papal power.

In old age, Pacelli became increasingly narrow-minded, eccentric and a hypochondriac. He experienced religious visions, suffered from chronic hiccups, and received monkey-brain-cell injections for longevity. He had no love for, or trust in those who had to follow him.

For years Pacelli declined to appoint a full complement of cardinals, and he failed to replace his secretary of state when he died at the age of 82 on October 9, 1958. His corpse decomposed rapidly in the autumnal Roman heat. At his lying-in-state, a guard fainted from the stench. Later, his nose turned black and fell off. Some saw in this sudden corruption of his mortal remains, a symbol of the absolute corruption of his papacy.

**(xxi) The Second Vatican Council reasserted the pyramidal church model refined by Pacelli**

The Second Vatican Council – also known as Vatican II, was called by John XXIII who succeeded Pacelli, in 1958.

It soon became clear that the church of Pius XII, which established great authority for the Pope in the land of other countries through its Concordats with their governments, is reasserting itself in confirmation of a pyramidal church model: faith in the primacy of the man in the white robe dictating in solitude from the pinnacle.

In the twilight years of John Paul II's long reign, the Catholic Church gives a pervasive impression of dysfunction despite his historic influence on the collapse of Communist tyranny in Poland and the Vatican's enthusiasm for entering its third millennium with a cleansed conscience.

Pacelli, whose *canonization* process is now well advanced, has become the icon, more than 50 years after his death, of those who read and revise the provisions of the Third Vatican Council from the viewpoint of Pacelli's ideology of papal power - an ideology that has proved disastrous in the century's history.

**G The elitist Bilderbergers**

The first known meeting of secretive Bilderberger Group (named after the hotel they first met in the Netherlands) was held in 1954, comprising elite powerbrokers from Europe and North America, who are said to discuss, and influence, the changing global, political, economic and social landscape. An extract of the doctrine that was said to have been adopted at the 1954 meeting is copied in Footnote 8 - Silent Weapons for a Quiet War.

The first chairman of the Bilderberger group was Knight of Malta Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, who held that position for the next 22 years, until a financial scandal forced him to resign. His daughter, Shell Oil co-owner Queen Beatrix, regularly attends meetings, as do ample other European aristocratic players.

The involvement of South African politicians with the Bilderberger group came under the spotlight when Nico Diederichs - erstwhile chairman of the Afrikaner Broederbond, received an invitation from David Rockefeller to attend a ‘Bilderberger Conference’ in Bermuda in May 1971.

During his visit to Bermuda, a business deal was closed between Diederichs and a certain businessmen from Switzerland (which included the Union Bank of Switzerland) without the knowledge of the South African government.

According to their agreement, Diederichs would receive commission on every valuta transaction in which the South African government used the Union Bank of Switzerland as its banker. Furthermore, he would also receive commission on every gold transaction which transpired through the Zürich gold market.

They undertook to open a separate account on his name, besides the account on his name as the Minister of Finances, into which the commissions would be paid.

In February 1972, Diederichs was recruited as an agent by the Central Intelligence Agency.

In April 1972, the Central Intelligence Agency used Diederichs to plant a seed of a clandestine information project with Cabinet Minister Hendrik Van Den Bergh. The idea was to run the operation on a basis similar to the Central Intelligence Agency by managing front organizations.

Hereby, the Central Intelligence Agency could use the secret commission channel of Diederichs to gain entry into the Union Bank of Switzerland.

The agreements made by Diedericks at the Bilderberger meeting led to fraud, smuggling, and disinvestment from South Africa, which culminated in murder, and treason to the South African state. This meeting and its repercussions is discussed further in Attachment 10 - South Africa is bound as a possession by the Crown, Sub-Section e) The Crown entered illegal business deals to remove funds from South Africa and established front organizations to expand their intelligence services in South Africa.

In the late 1980's, a little known Governor of Arkansas by the name of William Clinton gladly accepted an invitation to a Bilderberger. A few years later he was leader of the so-called 'free' world. Similarly, in the early 1990’s, a relatively unknown Home Affairs spokesman for the Labour Party, Tony Blair, was invited to a Bilderberger meeting. By 1997, he was Prime Minister of Great Britain.

According to the WorldNet Daily, Nelson Mandela was amongst the invited guests to the Bilderberger meeting that was scheduled for 3-6th of June, 1999 in Sintra, Portugal.

Senior officials of the World Trade Organization, Federal Reserve, Financial Institutions like Rockefeller, the EU and UN World Government models, and corporate oil conglomerates combine with the leading politicians and the media at the Bilderberger meetings. The same people belong to the other quasi-secret steering organizations such as the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission and the Globalist New World Order movement.

**(i) The Bilderbergers and the New World Order movement**

In 1952, Bilderberger member James Paul Warburg testified before the United States Senate and had this to say about World Government:

“”We shall have World Government, whether or not we like it. The only question is whether World Government will be achieved by conquest or consent.”

For many decades, the attitude of the Bilderberger Group towards a One World Government through the New World Order has been kept out of the public ear, even though it had been long rumored that the Bilderbergers were the driving force behind the New World Order. One of the most famous quotes by a Bilderberger member was uttered by David Rockefeller, and he said this about the complicity of the main stream media in keeping the Bilderberger meetings out of the daily newspapers:   
*“We are grateful to the Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine and their great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subjected to the lights of publicity during those years. But, the world is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries.”*

The forty-first President of the United States of America, Bilderberger George H. W. Bush was more forthcoming on the matter of a New World Order in his speech25 to joint congress on the 11th of September 1990, when he said that: “Clearly, no longer can a dictator count on East-West confrontation to stymie concerted United Nations action against aggression. A new partnership of nations has begun. And we stand today at a unique and extraordinary moment. The crisis in the Persian Gulf, as grave as it is, also offers a rare opportunity to move toward an historic period of cooperation. Out of these troubled times, our fifth objective — a new world order — can emerge: a new **era**, freer from the threat of terror, stronger in the pursuit of justice, and more secure in the quest for peace. An era in which the nations of the world, east and west, north and south, can prosper and live in harmony.”

Two hours after air strikes began in Iraq and Kuwait on the 17th of January 1991, Bush re-enforced the desire for a new world order in his speech from the oval office, when he stated:26

# *“This is an historic moment. We have in this past year made great progress in ending the long era of conflict and cold war. We have before us the opportunity to forge for ourselves and for future generations a new world order, a world where the rule of law, not the law of the jungle, governs the conduct of nations. When we are successful, and we will be, we have a real chance at this new world order, an order in which a credible United Nations can use its peacekeeping role to fulfil the promise and vision of the U.N.'s founders. We have no argument with the people of Iraq. Indeed, for the innocents caught in this conflict, I pray for their safety.”*

**H. Members and monarchies of the Elite Vatican**

Not only does the Vatican control the world’s wealth, they also control all the intelligence networks throughout the world.

The Holy Catholic Inquisition that claimed the lives of over 60 million people, is the forerunner of what has become the modern day intelligence community.  In fact, Jesuit Head Quarters is in the same building as the Head Quarters of the Inquisition.

The Vatican has been controlling world affairs for over 2000 years, behind the guise of fake governments, be they Dictatorial, Democratic or Communist.

**(i) Names, both past and present, of some of the Knights of Malta and St John:**

William. F. Buckley, Jar – Founded the magazine NATIONAL REVIEW and hosted 1429 episodes of FIRING LINE from 1966 to 1999, and was a nationally syndicated newspaper columnist.

Prescott Bush, Jar – father of two US presidents and CEO of the Nazi Union Banking Corporation

George Herbert Walker Bush – Former President of the United States and Former Head of the CIA

George Walker Bush – Former President of the United States during 9/11, illegally appointed

William J. Casey – 13th Director of Central intelligence

Francis Spellman – Cardinal Archbishop of New York, involved in the assassination of Kennedy

Terence Cook -  Cardinal Archbishop of New York from 1968 - 1983

Avery Dulles -  Son of U.S Secretary of State John Foster Dulles, was a Jesuit priest theologian, cardinal of the Roman Catholic Church, and served as a professor at Fordham University, as well as being an internationally known author and lecturer

Allen Dulles – Fifth Director of Central intelligence and uncle of Avery Dulles.  He served on the Warren Commission which investigated the assassination of Kennedy

Archbishop of New York, Edward Egan

William Joseph Donovan – Decorated Soldier, Lawyer, Intelligence Officer, and head of wartime Offices of Strategic Services (OSS),  and thus Bill is also known as the “Father of the Central Intelligence agency ” CIA

John Farrell – Canadian Roman Catholic priest and  Bishop of Hamilton, Ontario from 1856 - 1873

Pat Buchanan – American Republican political commentator, author, syndicated columnist, politician and broadcaster.  Buchanan was a senior adviser to American Presidents Richard Nixon, Gerald Ford and Ronald Reagan, and was the original host of CNN’s CROSSFIRE.  He co-founded THE AMERICAN CONSERVATIVE magazine and launched a foundation named the AMERICAN CAUSE.  He has been published in HUMAN EVENTS, NATIONAL REVIEW, THE NATION and ROLLING STONE.  He is currently a political commentator on MSNBC cable network including MORNING JOE and a regular on THE MCGLAUGHLIN GROUP.

James Jesus Angleton – was the long serving chief of the CIA’s counter intelligence staff.  His official title was Associate Deputy Director of Operations for Counter Intelligence.  His operations included Enigma Code, Manhattan Project and Operation Chaos.

Charles Joseph Bonaparte – Was an American Lawyer and served as Secretary of the Navy and then as Attorney General.  He created the Bureau of Investigation (now known as the FBI) and was the great nephew of Emperor Napoleon I

General Alexander Haig –  was the Deputy National Security Adviser to Richard Nixon from 1970 – 1973.  He was then appointed as the 5th White house Chief of Staff before becoming Supreme Allied Commander Europe.  He then served as the 59th United States Secretary of State

Otto von Hapsburg – The crowned Prince of Austria, Croatia, Hungary and Bohemia

Baron Conrad Hilton – Founder of Hilton Hotels group

Heinrich Himmler - started the SS in Nazi Germany

J. Edgar Hoover - Former head of the FBI

Ted Kennedy – United States Senator from Massachusetts

Henry Luce – He launched and closely supervised a stable of magazines that transformed journalism and reading habits of upscale Americans.  His magazines included TIME, which summarized and interpreted the week’s news; LIFE was a picture magazine of politics, culture and society that dominated American’s visual perceptions before the era of television.  His magazine FORTUNE explored the economy and world business, and of course who could forget SPORTS ILLUSTRATED, which probed into the world of sports, but is most famous for its swimsuit edition which has launched the careers of many top models.  Indeed “Father Time” as he was known to friends, created the first multi media corporation.

George MacDonald – Scottish Author, poet and minister, having influences many of the great classical writers of his time.  His Grandson, Phillip MacDonald is a well known Hollywood screenwriter.

Avro Manhattan – wrote many books about the Vatican after being made a Knight of Malta, exposing the truth.

Sir Stewart Graham Menzies – Chief of the British Secret Intelligence Services (SIS, MI5 and MI6)

Franz von Papen – Served as Vice Chancellor of Germany under Hitler, and then briefly as Chancellor from 1 June – 17 November 1932

Juan Peron – 41st President of Argentina and husband of Eva Peron.

Prince Angelo Di Mojana – was made a prince when he was the 77th Prince and Grand Master of the Sovereign Military order of the Knights of Malta from 1962 - 1988

Thomas ‘Tip’ O’Neill – United States Federal Judge

Frank Shakespeare – is an American Diplomat and Media Executive.  He was the president of CBS Television before serving as the US Ambassador to Portugal and the United States Ambassador to the Holy See

Frank Sinatra – American Singer and Actor who had close ties to the Mafia, because the Mafia Commission is also controlled by the Jesuits, just like the Knights of Malta.  Sinatra and the Mafia had the same boss.  The FBI has released documentation that they had Sinatra under surveillance almost his whole life, due to his connections to organized crime.

Frank Capra – A Sicilian born American film director.  Films include:  It happened one night, Mr. Deeds goes to Town, Lost Horizon, You Can’t Take it with you, Mr. Smith Goes to Washington, Meet John Doe, Arsenic and Old Lace and It’s a Wonderful Life

William Randolf Hearst – Hearst publishing empire

Francis L. Kellogg – Diplomat and special assistant to the Secretary of State during the Ford and Nixon Administrations

Henry Kissinger – 56th United States Secretary of State and the 8th US National Security Advisor.  Kissinger is also the man behind Obama’s presidency.

Giscard d’Estaing – Former President of France 1974 – 1981

Tony Blair – Former Prime Minister of Great Britain

Silvio Berlusconi – Prime Minister of Italy

Bill Clinton – Former President of the United States

Ronald Reagan – Former B movie actor and President of the United States

Augusto Pinochet – Former President of Chile with a reputation of brutality

Nelson Rockefeller – of the Rockefeller Dynasty

David Rockefeller – of the Rockefeller Dynasty

Amschel Mayer von Rothschild – The man who bought the bank of England, and who’s descendants now own the banking industry of the world

Rudy Giuliani – Mayor of New York at the time of 9/11

Michael Bloomberg – current Mayor of New York City and 18th richest man in America having a net worth of  $18 billion Dollars in 2011.  He is the founder of and owner of 88% of Bloomberg LP, a financial news and information services media company

Rupert Murdock – An Australia American media magnet, and Chairman and CEO of NEWS CORPORATION

Thabo Mbeki – Former President of South Africa

Nelson Mandela – Former President of South Africa and National hero who spent 25 years in jail.

There are prominent men who are Knights of Malta, and they have great wealth and power, and pretty much control everything.  They control all the media, both print and broadcast; they control all religions and the political scene, as well as controlling all the money.

**(ii) Monarchies of the Vatican**

From the English Collins dictionary, we find that the word ‘mon’ is a combining form. As a noun, ‘mon’ is the badge of a family, especially of a family of the ancient feudal nobility. The word ‘archy’, as a suffix, properly means a rule, ruling, as in a monarchy, the “rule of one”.

 The Vatican monasteries take their orders from the Pope. They are not controlled in a democratic or any other manner, except for an autocratic system by a dictator.

Amongst the monarchies of the Vatican, are the following:

* [Princely House of Thurn und Taxis](http://www.thurnundtaxis.de/)
* [The Bavarian Monarchy](http://www.wittelsbach.com/)
* [The Italian Monarchy (Naples)](http://www.casasavoia.org/)
* [The Italian Monarchy (Aosta)](http://www.crocerealedisavoia.it/index.html)
* [The Monarchy of Liechtenstein](http://www.fuerstenhaus.li/)
* [The Brazilian Monarchy](http://www.monarquia.com.br/)
* [The Spanish Monarchy](http://www.casareal.es/)
* [The Monegasque Monarchy](http://www.palais.mc/)
* [The Belgian Monarchy](http://www.monarchie.be/index.php)
* [The Mexican Monarchy](http://www.casaimperial.org/)
* [The Hapsburg Monarchy](http://otto.twschwarzer.de/)
* [The French Monarchy (Orleans)](http://maisonroyaledefrance.fr/)
* [The French Monarchy (Anjou)](http://www.royaute.org/)
* [The House of Bourbon-Two Sicilies (Calabria)](http://www.borbone-due-sicilie.org/)
* [The House of Bourbon-Two Sicilies (Castro)](http://www.realcasadiborbone.it/)
* [The Monarchy of Luxembourg](http://www.monarchie.lu/fr/index.html)
* [The House of Bourbon-Parma](http://www.borbonparma.org/)
* [The Portuguese Monarchy](http://www.casarealportuguesa.org/)
* [The Holy See](http://www.vatican.va/)
* [Sovereign Order of Malta](http://www.orderofmalta.org/english)
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**FOOTNOTES:**

**Footnote 1: The hidden hand of the Crown formed the Union**

From the book named The Anglo-American Establishment by Prof. Carrol Quigley we take the following extract to show the hidden hand of the Crown which formed the Union of South Africa:

“In 1906, Curtis, Dawson , Hichens, Brand, and Kerr (of the Kindergarten), with the support of Feetham and Malcolm, went to Lord Selborne and asked his permission to work for the Union......When permission was obtained, Curtis resigned from his post in Johannesburg and, with Kerr’s assistance, formed ‘Closer Union Societies’ as propaganda bodies throughout South Africa.

Dawson, as editor, controlled the ‘Johannesburg Star’. ‘The Time of London’ was controlled completely, as far as news from South Africa was concerned, with Monypenny, Amery, Basil Williams, and Grigg in strategic spots - the last as head of the imperial department of the paper.... In South Africa, £5000 was obtained from Abe Bailey to found a monthly paper to further the cause of union.

“The paper, ’State**’**, was edited by Philip Kerr and B.K. Long and became the predecessor of ‘The Round Table’, also edited by Kerr and financed by Bailey. Bailey was not only the chief financial support of the Kindergarten’s activities for closer union of South Africa.

“..... As part of the project toward a Union of South Africa, Curtis in 1906 drew up a memorandum on the need for closer union of the South African territories, basing his arguments chiefly on the need for greater railway and customs unity..... The Central Committee of the Closer Union Societies (which was nothing but the Kindergarten) wrote a complete and detailed account of the political institutions of the various areas concerned. This was called ‘The Government of South Africa’ and was issued anonymously in five parts, and revised later in two quarto volumes. A copy was sent to every delegate to the National Convention in Durban in 1908, along with another anonymous work (edited by B.K. Long), called ‘The Framework of Union’. This latter work contained copies of the five chief federal constitutions of the world (United States, Canada, Germany, Switzerland, and Australia). Curtis was also the chief author of the draft of the projected constitution presented by the Transvaal delegation to the National Convention. This draft, with modifications, became the Constitution of the Union of South Africa in 1910. The Transvaal delegation, alone of the various delegations, lived together in one house and had a body of expert advisers; both of these circumstances were due to the Kindergarten. After the convention accepted the Union Constitution, it was necessary to have it accepted by the Imperial Parliament and the various states of South Africa. In both of these tasks the Kindergarten played an important role, in England through their control of ‘The Time’ and ‘The Morning Post’ as well as other sources of propaganda, and in South Africa by the economic pressure of the Transvaal. In Natal, the only state which submitted the question to a referendum, the Kindergarten put on an intensive propaganda drive, financed with money from the Transvaal. Of this struggle in Natal, Brand, with his usual secrecy on all matters dealing with the Kindergarten, merely says: ‘A referendum was therefore taken — contrary to general expectation, it revealed an overwhelming majority for union, a good testimony to the sound sense of the people of the colony.”

**Footnote 2: GLF instructions for global leaders.**

The following information was taken directly off the website of the GLF Foundation:

1. **Cultures** – leading a culturally diverse group of people can be challenging. Different cultures and personalities can have different expectations about leadership. The global leader needs to be flexible, but also needs to be authentic. Having a set of techniques and tools that allow us to cope with national, functional and corporate cultural diversity is essential in global leadership.
2. **Time zones** – can increase complexity in the organization of [work](http://www.global-integration.com/global-working/global-leadership?gclid=COCNvLvknLUCFQzKtAodzmgAPg) and communication. Time zones are not going to go away! Global leadership training needs to reflect the reality and constraints of time zones in the way we structure teams and individual work. This includes practical guidelines and tips on organizing global conference calls and other routine global team tasks.
3. **Communication technology** – is a major enabler of global leadership but it can also be a barrier. Global leaders need to be clear about accessibility and delegation, or they can be swamped with 24-hour a day calls and e-mails. Communicating through e-mail and other media can introduce misunderstandings and delay. Global leaders need the ability to engage and create participation through online communication tools like conference calls, web conferences and video. They need to be able to choose and use the right technology for the right global communications task.
4. **Organizational complexity** – most global leaders operate in complex, matrix organization structures and/or where work crosses the traditional silos of function and geography. Our Global leadership training often includes the development of tools and skills for operating in a matrix where you may have multiple bosses, competing goals and complex organization dynamics. Global leaders need to balance the dilemmas of matrix working – for example what should be global and what should be local. They understand the importance of consistency – but also that one size does not always fit all.

These five barriers have an impact on all of the traditional leadership tasks. We still need to recruit, retain, motivate, communicate, inspire, manage and develop people. Despite all of this complexity, we still need to get things done fast and without unnecessary cost.

**Footnote 3: Suppression of information on Nkandla.**

The following article with regard to the suppression of information on Nkandla has been extracted from Mike Smith’s Blog in January 2013, as follows:   
*Police Minister Nathi Mthethwa has until the end of next month to explain why the law has again been used to block access to information, after an application by civil society coalition the Right2Know campaign for a full list of all declared key points was denied.  
The question is, "What do they have to hide?"  
The issue of the national key points arose last year when City Press revealed that hundreds of millions of rand had been spent on upgrading President Jacob Zuma’s private Nkandla residence – raising concerns that public money had been used to foot the bill.  
Jane Duncan, Highway Africa Chair of Media and Information Society in the Rhodes University journalism department and a member of R2K, said the refusal was indicative of national security trumping transparency.  
“The… act is an apartheid-era piece of legislation that remains in force,” she said. “There is no clear and present danger to national key points, yet the government still sees fit to misuse the act.”*  
http://www.iol.co.za/news/politics/use-of-apartheid-law-has-to-be-explained-1.1460397

**Footnote 4: Passing of the Deadly Force Bill.**

Cape Town - The National Council of Provinces unanimously approved the Criminal Procedure Act amendment bill without debate on Thursday.  
The bill provides guidelines on why and how force, and deadly force, may be used to carry out an arrest.  
It is intended to bring section 49 of the act in line with the Constitution.  
Parliament passed a new section 49 of the Criminal Procedure Act in 1998.  
The old section provided that killing someone suspected of committing a schedule one offence - which includes treason, sedition, public violence, murder, culpable homicide, and rape - would constitute justifiable homicide if the person could not be arrested or prevented from fleeing in any other way.  
However, the new section 49 did not commence for five years because the SA Police Service, and others, had problems interpreting it.  
The Supreme Court of Appeal and then the Constitutional Court, in 2001 and 2002 respectively, ruled on the old section 49.  
In the Constitutional Court case of the State v Walters, former Constitutional Court judge Johann Kriegler, for a unanimous court, explained in plain terms when, why, and how force and deadly force could be used when making an arrest.  
These guidelines were incorporated into the amendment bill, providing legal certainty.  
During debate on the bill in the National Assembly, which also passed it unanimously on May 23, Dene Smuts of the Democratic Alliance said the bill was a true reflection of the Kriegler judgment for the Constitutional Court, and was in part verbatim.  
"Force must always be reasonably necessary and proportional in the circumstances, and deadly force, including shooting, may in addition be used only if the suspect poses a threat of serious violence to the arrester or another person or persons; or if the suspect is reasonably suspected of having committed a crime involving serious bodily harm, and there are no other reasonable means of arresting him at that time or later," she said.  
It was necessary to remember that the purpose of arrest was to bring a suspect before court for trial, that the arrest was not the only means of achieving that purpose, nor always the best, and that arrest could never be used to punish a suspect.  
"That is what the judge said, and that is what we therefore need our police, and ourselves, to observe," Smuts said then.  
The bill now goes to President Jacob Zuma to be signed into law.  
<http://www.news24.com/SouthAfrica/News/Deadly-force-bill-passed-20120816>

**Footnote 5: IOL on Ramaphosa ordering Marikana massacre**

IOL News reported the following article regarding the role Cyril Ramaphosa played in the political and business arena of South Africa at the time of the Marikana massacre:

“ANC heavyweight Cyril Ramaphosa – who is being nominated by supporters of President Jacob Zuma to be his deputy – has been named as authoring an e-mail that called on the eve of the Marikana shootings for action against striking Lonmin miners.

Sapa reported that advocate Dali Mpofu told the Farlam Commission of Inquiry of an e-mail in which Ramaphosa condemned protests by workers at the mine, describing them as criminal acts and calling for “concomitant action”.

“This [e-mail] was on 15 August at 2.58 pm, exactly 24 hours before the people were mowed down on that mountain,” Sapa reported Mpofu as saying.

“We have e-mails that were being exchanged between Lonmin management, government ministers [of mineral resources and the police] and at the centre is a gentleman called Cyril Ramaphosa,” Mpofu was quoted as telling the inquiry.

“He advanced that what was taking place [was] criminal acts and must be characterised as such. In line with this characterisation [Ramaphosa said] there needs to be concomitant action to address the situation.”

In a statement on Tuesday night, Lonmin said that due to “the violence and loss of life in the period August 10 to 14”, it had “engaged with a number of stakeholders to ensure that the situation in and around Marikana was addressed in the appropriate manner”.

As it was a mining company and “not responsible for law enforcement”, it “stands to reason that the company, including members of its board, would communicate with the relevant stakeholders in government to ensure that they properly understood the company’s view of the situation on the ground to ensure a peaceful resolution of the matter”, the statement said.

“Lonmin’s action to engage with appropriate authorities of the state was simply part of a process aimed at achieving normality.”

Ramaphosa is a non-executive director of Lonmin. His Shanduka group owns 9 percent of the company through its 50 percent stake in Incwala Resources, Lonmin’s black economic empowerment partner.

While he has given no formal indication of his willingness to replace deputy ANC president Kgalema Motlanthe, speculation that he is keen to play a bigger role in the party has been rife for months.

He has been nominated by the ANC in Mpumalanga on a pro-Zuma slate that would keep Gwede Mantashe as secretary-general, have Jessie Duarte as his deputy (in place of Thandi Modise), and Baleka Mbete as ANC chairwoman, and KwaZulu-Natal Premier Zweli Mkhize as treasurer-general instead of Mathews Phosa.

The same list of names was put forward after a meeting of the branch at Zuma’s home in Nkandla earlier this month, the Sunday Times reported at the weekend.

If the speculations are true, however, Ramaphosa – who last month apologised on national radio for bidding R18 million for a buffalo and its calf while fellow South Africans lived in poverty – could find the path to political power very convoluted.

The SAfm interview also offered Ramaphosa the opportunity to reject categorically “outrageous” allegations on a website that he owned a company that was contracting labour to Lonmin but pocketing the bulk of workers’ wages.

The former struggle activist and National Union of Mineworkers leader is now best known as a capitalist, and – as chairman of the party’s disciplinary appeals committee – the man who sealed the fate of ANC Youth League leader Julius Malema.

Elected ANC secretary-general in 1991, he led the ANC in the negotiations that paved the way for the 1994 democratic breakthrough.

The height of his popularity in the ANC was in 1997 when he got the top number of votes for a place on the national executive committee. When he left politics for business, the word was he had been pushed, and speculation was that he would aim for a comeback.

Ramaphosa turns 60 on November 17. If he accepts nomination as deputy president, and his bid is not derailed, he would be well placed to step into top spot come 2017, with a shot at becoming South Africa’s president in 2019.”

<http://www.iol.co.za/news/politics/ramaphosa-under-fire-at-marikana-1.1409774#.UIe5Fm_A_z4>

**Footnote 6: Zuma sets Ramaphosa up for presidency.**

We quote Moneyweb article titled ‘Ramaphosa resigns from Mondi, Lonmin After reviewing business commitments, following his election to the NEC”, dated 23 January 2013, as follows:

Cyril Ramaphosa has resigned from his positions at both Mondi and Lonmin, according to SENS statements released on Wednesday.

He was the joint chairman of Mondi Limited and Mondi plc, and a non-executive director on the Lonmin board.

Ramaphosa will be stepping down from Mondi at the end of its annual general meeting on May 3 2013, and not standing for re-election at Lonmin’s forthcoming annual meeting on January 31 2013.

Later on Wednesday, Ramaphosa released a statement saying: "The review of existing positions, responsibilities and obligations is necessary to address any potential conflicts of interest, and to ensure that I can adequately perform the responsibilities of my new position.

"This review, which requires consultation with affected companies and other relevant stakeholders, is ongoing. I will make further public statements at the appropriate time.”

David Williams, Mondi joint chairman, said Ramaphosa has helped guide the company through its initial years of listing and played an important role in providing constructive counsel “particularly on issues specific to the South African business environment.”

Lonmin’s Chairman, Roger Phillimore, thanked Cyril Ramaphosa for his commitment to Lonmin over a demanding period. “...we will miss his measured but forceful participation in our affairs” he said.

Ramaphosa's investment company, Shanduka Group, is a quarter-owned by China's sovereign wealth fund and has stakes in mobile phone giant MTN and Standard Bank, Africa's biggest bank by assets, according to Reuters.

In addition, he is a non-executive chairman at Bidvest and a director search reveals him to be a director at Standard Bank Group, SABMiller, LS Molope Holdings, Micawber 799, Puma Sports Cars, Ramburg Beef and Tshivhase Share Dealing.

He's also a member of Ntaba Nyoni Estates, Adcorp Outdoor Signs and Ntatba Nyoni Feedlot.

<http://www.moneyweb.co.za/moneyweb-political-economy/ramaphosa-resigns-from-mondi>

**Footnote 7: The Vatican career of Pius XII.**

Hitler’s Pope

The Secret History of Pius XII

by John Cornwall  
June 5, 2000  
excerpts from Vanity Fair

John Cornwall  
John Cornwall is [Senior](http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/vatican/esp_vatican55.htm) Research Fellow at Jesus College, Cambridge, England, and an award winning journalist and author. His A Thief in the Night: The Death of Pope John Paul I was a world bestseller. He has profiled Pope John Paul II for Vanity Fair and the London Sunday Times Magazine and has written on Catholic issues for many publications around the world.

Long-buried Vatican files reveal a new and shocking indictment of World War II's Pope Plus XII: that in pursuit of absolute power he helped Adolf Hitler destroy German Catholic political opposition, betrayed the Jews of Europe, and sealed a deeply cynical pact with a 20th-century devil. This book by a Roman Catholic writer is just in time for Reformation Day. He corroborates everything that Avro Manhattan said in his books.

Copyright Vanity Fair, 1999

Reprinted for educational and non-commercial purposes only.

**Pope Pius XII**

**(1939-58)**

One evening several years ago when I was having dinner with a group of students, the topic of the papacy was broached, and the discussion quickly boiled over.

A young woman asserted that **Eugenio Pacelli**, Pope Pius XII, the Pope during World War II, had brought lasting shame on the Catholic Church by failing to denounce the Final Solution.

A young man, a practicing Catholic, insisted that the case had never been proved. Raised as a Catholic during the papacy of Pius Xll - his picture gazed down from the wall of every classroom during my childhood - I was only too familiar with the allegation. It started in 1963 with a play by a young German author named Rolf Hochhuth, Der Stellvertreter (The Deputy) which was staged on Broadway in 1964.

It depicted Pacelli as a ruthless cynic, interested more in the Vatican's stockholdings than in the fate of the Jews. Most Catholics dismissed Hochhuth's thesis as implausible, but the play sparked a controversy which has raged to this day.

Disturbed by the anger brought out in that dinner altercation, and convinced, as I had always been, of Pius XII's innocence, I decided to write a new defense of his reputation for a younger generation. I believed that Pacelli's evident holiness was proof of his good faith. How could such a saintly pope have betrayed the Jews? But was it possible to find a new and conclusive approach to the issue?

The arguments had so far focused mainly on his wartime conduct; however, Pacelli's Vatican career had started 40 years earlier.

It seemed to me that a proper investigation into Pacelli's record would require a more extensive chronicle than any attempted in the past. So I applied for access to archival material in the Vatican, reassuring those who had charge of crucial documents that I was on the side of my subject. Six years earlier, in a book entitled A Thief in the Night, I had defended the Vatican against charges that Pope John Paul I had been murdered by his own aides.

Two key officials granted me access to secret material: depositions under oath gathered 30 years ago to support the process for Pacelli's canonization, and the archive of the Vatican Secretariat of State, the foreign office of the Holy See. I also drew on German sources relating to Pacelli's activities in Germany during the 1920s and 1930s, including his dealings with **AdoIf Hitler** in 1933.

For months on end I ransacked Pacelli's files, which dated back to 1912, in a windowless dungeon beneath the Borgia Tower in Vatican City. Later I sat for several weeks in a dusty office in the Jesuit headquarters, close to St. Peter's Square in Rome, mulling over a thousand pages of transcribed testimony given under oath by those who had known Pacelli well during his lifetime, including his critics.

By the middle of 1997, I was in a state of moral shock. The material I had gathered amounted not to exoneration but to an indictment more scandalous than Hochhuth's. The evidence was explosive. It showed for the first time that PaceIli was patently, and by the proof of his own words, anti-Jewish. It revealed that he had helped Hitler to power and at the same time undermined potential Catholic resistance in Germany. It showed that he had implicitly denied and trivialized the Holocaust, despite having reliable knowledge of its true extent.

And, worse, that he was a hypocrite, for after the war he had retrospectively taken undue credit for speaking out boldly against the Nazi persecution of the Jews.

In the "Holy Year" of 1950, a year in which many millions of pilgrims flocked to Rome to catch a glimpse of Pacelli, he was at the zenith of his papacy. This was the Pius people now in their mid-50s and older remember from newsreels and newspaper photographs. He was 74 years old and still vigorous. Six feet tall, stick thin at 125 pounds, light on his feet, regular in habits, he had hardly altered physically from the day of his coronation 11 years earlier.

He had beautiful tapering hands, a plaintive voice, large dark eyes and an aura of holiness. It was his extreme pallor that first arrested those who met him.

His skin,

"had surprisingly transparent effect," observed the writer Gerrado Pallenberg, "as if reflecting from the inside a cold, white flame."

His charisma was stunning.

"His presence radiated a benignity, calm and sanctity that I have certainly never before sensed in any human being" recorded the English writer James Lees-Milne. "I immediately fell head over heels in love with him. I was so affected I could scarcely speak without tears and was conscious that my legs were trembling."

But there was another side to his character, little known to the faithful.

Although he was a man of selfless, monk like habits of prayer and simplicity, he was a believer in the absolute leadership principle. More than any other Vatican official of the century, he had promoted the modern ideology of autocratic papal control, the highly centralized, dictatoria1 authority he himself assumed on March 2, 1939, and maintained until his death in October 1958.

There was a time before the advent of modern communications when Catholic authority was widely distributed, in the collective decisions of the church's councils and in collegial power-sharing between the Pope and the bishops.

The absolutism of the modern papacy is largely an invention of the late 19th century It developed rapidly in the first decades of this century in response to the perception of the centrifugal breakup of the church under an array of contemporary pressures: materialism, increasing sexual freedom, religious skepticism, and social and political liberties.

From his young manhood on, Pacelli played a leading role in shaping the conditions and scope of modern papal power. Eugenio Pacelli was born in Rome in 1876, into a family of church lawyers who served the Vatican. He had an older sister and brother and a younger sister. His parents, devout Catholics, shared an apartment in central Rome with his grandfather, who had been a legal adviser to Pius IX, the longest-serving Pope in history.

There was only one small brazier to supply heat for the whole family, even in the depths of winter. Eugenio was a modest youth, who never appeared before his siblings unless he was fully dressed in a jacket and tie. He would always come to the table with a book, which he would read after having asked the family's permission.

From an early age he acted out the ritual of the Mass, dressed in robes supplied by his mother. He had a gift for languages and a prodigious memory.

He was spindly and suffered from a "fastidious stomach." He retained a youthful piety all his life. Politically and legally, however, he was capable of great subtlety and cunning.  
  
The Pacelli's were fiercely loyal to the injured merit of the papacy. From 1848, the Popes had progressively lost to the emerging nation-state of Italy their dominions, which had formed, since time immemorial, the midriff of the Italian peninsula. Six years before Eugenio's birth, the city of Rome itself had been seized, leaving the papacy in crisis.

How could the Popes regard themselves as independent now that they were mere citizens of an upstart kingdom? Eugenio's grandfather and father believed passionately that the Popes could once again exert a powerful unifying authority over the church by the application of ecclesiastical and international law.

In 1870, at a gathering in Rome of a preponderance of the world's bishops, known as the First Vatican Council, the Pope was dogmatically declared infallible in matters of faith and morals. He was also declared the unchallenged primate of the faithful.

The Pope may have lost his temporal dominion, but spiritually he was solely in charge of his universal church.  
  
During the first two decades of this century, papal primacy and infallibility began to creep even beyond the ample boundaries set by the First Vatican Council. A powerful legal instrument transformed the 1870 primacy dogma into an unprecedented principle of papal power.

**Eugenio Pacelli**, by then a brilliant young Vatican lawyer, had a major part in the drafting of that instrument, which was known as the Code of Canon Law.  
  
Pacelli had been recruited into the Vatican in 1901, at the age of 24, to specialize in international affairs and church law. Pious, slender, with dark luminous eyes, he was an instant favorite. He was invited to collaborate on the reformulation of church law with his immediate superior, **Pietro Gaspam**, a world-famous canon lawyer.

Packaged in a single manual, the Code of Canon Law was distributed in 1917 to Catholic bishops and clergy throughout the world. According to this code, in the future all bishops would be nominated by the Pope; doctrinal error would be tantamount to heresy; priests would be subjected to strict censorship in their writings; papal letters to the faithful would be regarded as infallible (in practice if not in principle}: and an oath would be taken by all candidates for the priesthood to submit to the sense as well as the strict wording of doctrine as laid down by the Pope.  
  
But there was a problem.

The church had historically granted the dioceses in the provincial states of Germany a large measure of local discretion and independence from Rome. Germany had one of the largest Catholic populations in the world, and its congregation was well educated and sophisticated, with hundreds of Catholic associations and newspapers and many Catholic universities and publishing houses.

The historic autonomy of Germany's Catholic Church was enshrined in ancient church-state treaties known as concordats.  
  
Aged 41 and already an archbishop, PaceIli was dispatched to Munich as papal nuncio, or ambassador, to start the process of eliminating all existing legal challenges to the new papal autocracy. At the same time, he was to pursue a Reich Concordat, a treaty between the papacy and Germany as a whole which would supersede all local agreements and become a model of Catholic church-state relations.

A Reich Concordat would mean formal recognition by the German government of the Pope's right to impose the new Code of Canon Law on Germany's Catholics. Such an arrangement was fraught with significance for a largely Protestant Germany. Nearly 400 years earlier, in Wittenberg, Martin Luther had publicly burned a copy of Canon Law in defiance of the centralized authority of the church.

It was one of the defining moments of the Reformation, which was to divide Western Christendom into Catholics and Protestants.

In May 1917, Pacelli set off for Germany via Switzerland in a private railway compartment, with an additional wagon containing 60 cases of special foods for his delicate stomach. The Pope at that time, Benedict XV, was shocked at this extravagance, but PaceIli had favored status as the Vatican's best diplomat. Shortly after he settled in Munich, he acquired a reputation as a vigorous relief worker.

He traveled through war-weary Germany extending charity to people of all religions and none. In an early letter to the Vatican, however he revealed himself to be less than enamored of Germany's Jews. On September 4, 1917 PaceIli informed Pietro Gaspam, who had become cardinal secretary of state in the Vatican - the equivalent of foreign minister and prime minister - that a Dr. Werner, the chief rabbi of Munich, had approached the nunciature begging a favor.

In order to celebrate the festival of Tabernacles, beginning on October 1, the Jews needed palm fronds, which normally came from Italy.

But the Italian government had forbidden the exportation, via Switzerland, of a stock of palms which the Jews had purchased and which were being held up in Como.

"The Israelite Community," continued Pacelli, "are seeking the intervention of the Pope in the hope that he will plead on behalf of the thousands of German Jews."

The favor in question was no more problematic than the transportation of Pacelli's 60 cases of food-stuffs had been a few months earlier.

Pacelli informed Gaspam that he had warned the rabbi that "wartime delays in communication" would make things difficult. He also told Gaspam that he did not think it appropriate for the Vatican,

"To assist them in the exercise of their Jewish cult."

His letter went by the slow route overland in the diplomatic bag. Gaspatti replied by telegram on September 18 that he entirely trusted Pacelli's "shrewdness," agreeing that it would not be appropriate to help Rabbi Werner.

PaceIli wrote back on September 28, 1917, informing Gasparri that he had again seen the Rabbi, who,

"was perfectly convinced of the reasons I had given him and thanked me warmly for all that I had done on his behalf."

Pacelli had done nothing except thwart the rabbi's request.

The episode, small in itself, belies subsequent claims that Pacelli had a great love of the Jewish religion and was always motivated by its best interests. Eighteen months later he revealed his antipathy toward the Jews in a more blatantly anti-Semitic fashion when he found himself at the center of a local revolution as Bolshevik groups struggled to take advantage of the chaos in postwar Munich.

Writing to Gasparri, Pacelli described the revolutionaries and their chief, **Eugen Levien** in their headquarters in the former royal palace.

The letter has lain in the Vatican secret archive like a time bomb until now:

"The scene that presented itself at the palace was indescribable. The confusion totally chaotic, the filth completely nauseating; soldiers and armed workers coming and going; the building, once the home of a king, resounding with screams, vile language, profanities.

Absolute hell. An army of employees were dashing to and fro, giving out orders, waving bits of paper, and in the midst of all this, a gang of young women, of dubious appearance, Jews like all the rest of them, hanging around in all the offices with provocative demeanor and suggestive smiles.

The boss of this female gang was Levien's mistress, a young Russian woman, a Jew and a divorcee, who was in charge. And it was to her that the nunciature was obliged to pay homage in order to proceed. This Levien is a young man, about 30 or 35, also Russian and a Jew.

Pale, dirty, with vacant eyes, hoarse voice, vulgar, repulsive, with a face that is both intelligent and sly."

This association of Jewishness with Bolshevism confirms that Pacelli, from his early 40s, nourished a suspicion of and contempt for the Jews for political reasons.

But the repeated references to the Jewishness of these individuals, along with the catalogue of stereotypical epithets deploring their physical and moral repulsiveness, betray a scorn and revulsion consistent with anti-Semitism. Not long after this, Pacelli campaigned to have black French troops removed from the Rhineland, convinced that they were raping women and abusing children - even though an independent inquiry sponsored by the U.S. Congress, of which Pacelli was aware, proved this allegation false.

Twenty-three years later, when the Allies were about to enter Rome, he asked the British envoy to the Vatican to request of the British Foreign Office that no Allied colored troops would be among the small number that might be garrisoned in Rome after the occupation.

Pacelli spent 13 years in Germany attempting to rewrite the state Concordats one by one in favor of the power of the Holy See and routinely employing diplomatic blackmail. Germany was caught up in many territorial disputes following the redrawing of the map of Central Europe after the First World War. Pacelli repeatedly traded promises of Vatican support for German control of disputed regions in return for obtaining terms advantageous to the Vatican in Concordats.

The German government's official in charge of Vatican affairs at one point recorded the "ill feeling" prompted by Pacelli's "excessive demands." Both Catholics and Protestants in Germany resisted reaching an agreement with Pacelli on a Reich Concordat because the nuncio's concept of a church-state relationship was too authoritarian.

In his negotiations, Pacelli was not concerned about the fate of non-Catholic religious communities or institutions, or about human rights. He was principally preoccupied with the interests of the Holy See. Nothing could have been better designed to deliver Pacelli into the hands of Hitler later, when the future dictator made his move in 1933.

In June 1920, Pacelli became nuncio to all of Germany, with headquarters in Berlin as well as in Munich, and immediately acquired a glittering reputation in diplomatic circles. He was a favorite at dinner parties and receptions, and he was known to ride horses on the estate of a wealthy German family. His household was run by a pretty young nun from southern Germany named Sister **Pasqualina Lehnert**.

Pacelli's sister Elisabetta, who battled with the nun for Pacelli's affections, described Pasqualina as "scaltrissima" - extremely cunning. In Munich it had been rumored that he cast more than priestly eyes on this religious housekeeper.

Pacelli insisted that a Vatican investigation into this "horrible calumny" be conducted at the highest level, and his reputation emerged *unbesmirched*. Meanwhile, he had formed a close relationship with an individual named **Ludwig Kaas**.

Kaas was a representative of the solidly Catholic German Center Party, one of the largest and most powerful democratic parties in Germany. Though it was unusual for a full-time politician, he was also a Roman Catholic priest. Five years Pacelli's junior, dapper, bespectacled, and invariably carrying a smart walking stick, Kaas, known as "the prelate," became an intimate collaborator of Pacelli's on every aspect of Vatican diplomacy in Germany.

With Pacelli's encouragement, Kaas eventually became the chairman of the Center Party, the first priest to do so in the party's 60-year history. Yet while Kaas was officially a representative of a major democratic party, he was increasingly devoted to Pacelli to the point of becoming his alter ego.

Sister Pasqualina stated after Pacelli's death that Kaas, who,

"Regularly accompanied Pacelli on holiday" was linked to him in "adoration, honest love and unconditional loyalty."

There were stories of acute jealousy and high emotion when Kaas became conscious of a rival affection in Pacelli's secretary, the Jesuit **Robert Leiber**, who was also German.

Kaas was a profound believer in the benefits of a Reich Concordat, seeing a parallel between papal absolutism and the FÜHRER- PRINZIP, the Fascist leadership principle. His views coincided perfectly with Pacelli's on church-state politics, and their aspirations for centralized papal power were identical. Kaas's adulation of PaceIli, whom he put before his party, became a crucial element in the betrayal of Catholic democratic politics in Germany.

In 1929, Pacelli was recalled to Rome to take over the most important role under the Pope, Cardinal Secretary of State. Sister Pasqualina arrived uninvited and cunningly, according to Pacelli's sister, and along with two German nuns to assist her, took over the management of his Vatican residence.

Almost immediately Kaas, although he was still head of the German Center Party, started to spend long periods - months at a time - in Pacelli's Vatican apartments Shortly before Pacelli's return to Rome, his brother, Francesco had successfully negotiated on behalf of Pius Xl, the current Pope, a concordat with Mussolini as part of an agreement known as the Lateran Treaty.

The rancor between the Vatican and the state of Italy was officially at an end.

A precondition of the negotiations had involved the destruction of the parliamentary Catholic Italian Popular Party. Pius XI disliked political Catholicism because he could not control it. Like his predecessors, he believed that Catholic party politics brought democracy into the church by the back door.

The result of the demise of the Popular Party was the wholesale shift of Catholics into the Fascist Party and the collapse of democracy in Italy. Pius XI and his new secretary of state, Pacelli, were determined that no accommodation be reached with Communists anywhere in the world - this was the time of persecution of the church in Russia, Mexico, and later Spain -but totalitarian movements and regimes of the right were a different matter.

Hitler, who had enjoyed his first great success in the elections of September 1930, was determined to seek a treaty with the Vatican similar to that struck by Mussolini, which would lead to the disbanding of the German Center Party.

In his political testament, [Mein Kampf](http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/archivos_pdf/meinkampf.pdf), he had recollected that his fear of Catholicism went back to his vagabond days in Vienna.

The fact that German Catholics, politically united by the Center Party, had defeated Bismarck's Kulturkampf - the "culture struggle" against the Catholic Church in the 1870s - constantly worried him.

He was convinced that his movement could succeed only if political Catholicism and its democratic networks were eliminated. Hitler's fear of the Catholic Church was well grounded. Into the early 1930s the German Center Party, the German Catholic bishops, and the Catholic media had been mainly solid in their rejection of National Socialism.

They denied Nazis the sacraments and church burials, and Catholic journalists excoriated National Socialism daily in Germany's 400 Catholic newspapers. The hierarchy instructed priests to combat National Socialism at a local level whenever it attacked Christianity.

The Munich-based weekly Der Gerade Weg (The Straight Path) told its readers,

"Adolf Hitler preaches the law of lies. You who have fallen victim to the deceptions of one obsessed with despotism, wake up!"

The vehement front of the Catholic Church in Germany against Hitler, however, was not at one with the view from inside the Vatican--a view that was now being shaped and promoted by Eugenio Pacelli.  
  
In 1930 the influential Catholic politician **Heinrich Brüning**, a First World War Veteran, became the leader of a brief new government coalition, dominated by the majority Socialists and the Center Party. The country was reeling from successive economic crises against the background of the world slump and reparations payments to the Allies.

In August 1931, Brüning visited Pacelli in the Vatican, and the two men quarreled.

Brüning tells in his memoirs how Pacelli lectured him, the German chancellor, on how he should reach an understanding with the Nazis to "form a right-wing administration" in order to help achieve a Reich Concordat favorable to the Vatican.

When Brüning advised him not to interfere in German politics, Pacelli threw a tantrum. Brüning parting shot that day was the ironic observation - chilling in hindsight - that he trusted that,

"the Vatican would fare better at the hands of Hitler... than with himself, a devout Catholic."

Brüning was right on one score. Hitler proved to be the only chancellor prepared to grant Pacelli the sort of authoritarian concordat he was seeking.

But the price was to be catastrophic for Catholic Germany and for Germany as a whole. After Hitler came to power in January 1933, he made the concordat negotiations with Pacelli a priority. The negotiations proceeded over six months with constant shuttle diplomacy between the Vatican and Berlin. Hitler spent more time on this treaty than on any other item of foreign diplomacy during his dictatorship.

The Reich Concordat granted Pacelli the right to impose the new Code of Canon Law on Catholics in Germany and promised a number of measures favorable to Catholic education, including new schools. In exchange, Pacelli collaborated in the withdrawal of Catholics from political and social activity. The negotiations were conducted in secret by Pacelli, Kaas, and Hitler's deputy chancellor, Franz von Papen, over the heads of German bishops and the faithful.

The Catholic Church in Germany had no say in setting the conditions.

In the end, Hitler insisted that his signature on the concordat would depend on the Center Party's voting for the Enabling Act, the legislation that was to give him dictatorial powers. It was Kaas, chairman of the party but completely in thrall to Pacelli, who bullied the delegates into acceptance. Next, Hitler insisted on the "voluntary" disbanding of the Center Party, the last truly parliamentary force in Germany.

Again, Pacelli was the prime mover in this tragic Catholic surrender. The fact that the party voluntarily disbanded itself, rather than go down fighting, had a profound psychological effect, depriving Germany of the last democratic focus of potential noncompliance and resistance: In the political vacuum created by its surrender, Catholics in the millions joined the Nazi Party, believing that it had the support of the Pope.

The German bishops capitulated to Pacelli's policy of centralization, and German Catholic democrats found themselves politically leaderless.

After the Reich Concordat was signed, Pacelli declared it an unparalleled triumph for the Holy See.

In an article in *L'Osservatore Romano*, the Vatican-controlled newspaper, he announced that the treaty, indicated the total recognition and acceptance of the church's law by the German state. But Hitler was the true victor and the Jews were the concordat's first victims.

On July 14, 1933, after the initialing of the treaty, the Cabinet minutes record Hitler as saying that the concordat had created an atmosphere of confidence that would be,

"Especially significant in the struggle against international Jewry."

He was claiming that the Catholic Church had publicly given its blessing, at home and abroad, to the policies of National Socialism, including its anti-Semitic stand.

At the same time, under the terms of the concordat, Catholic criticism of acts deemed political by the Nazis, could now be regarded as "foreign interference."

The great German Catholic Church, at the insistence of Rome, fell silent. In the future all complaints against the Nazis would be channeled through Pacelli. There were some notable exceptions, for example the sermons preached in 1933 by Cardinal **Michael von Faulhaber**, the Archbishop of Munich, in which he denounced the Nazis for their rejection of the Old Testament as a Jewish text.

The concordat immediately drew the German church into complicity with the Nazis. Even as Pacelli was granted special advantages in the concordat for German Catholic education, Hitler was trampling on the educational rights of Jews throughout the country. At the same time, Catholic priests were being drawn into Nazi collaboration with the attestation bureaucracy, which established Jewish ancestry.

Pacelli, despite the immense centralized power he now wielded through the Code of Canon Law, said and did nothing.

The attestation machinery would lead inexorably to the selection of millions destined for the death camps. As Nazi anti-Semitism mounted in Germany during the 1930's, Pacelli failed to complain, even on behalf of Jews who had become Catholics, acknowledging that the matter was a matter of German internal policy. Eventually, in January 1937, three German cardinals and two influential bishops arrived at the Vatican to plead for a vigorous protest over Nazi persecution of the Catholic Church, which had been deprived of all forms of activity beyond church services.

Pius XI at last decided to issue an encyclical, a letter addressed to all the faithful of the world. Written under Pacelli's direction, it was called *Mit Brennender Sorge* (With Deep Anxiety), and it was a forthright statement of the plight of the church in Germany.

But there was no explicit condemnation of anti-Semitism, even in relation to Jews who had converted to Catholicism. Worse still, the subtext against Nazism (National Socialism and Hitler were not mentioned by name) was blunted by the publication five days later of an even more condemnatory encyclical by Pins XI against Communism.

 The encyclical *Mit Brennender Sorge*, though too little and too late, revealed that the Catholic Church all along had the power to shake the regime. A few days later, **Hermann Göring**, one of Hitler's closest aides and his commander of the Luftwaffe, delivered a two-hour harangue to a Nazi assembly against the Catholic clergy.

However, Roman centralizing had paralyzed the German Catholic Church and its powerful web of associations. Unlike the courageous grass-roots activism that had combated Bismarck's persecutions in the 1870s, German Catholicism now looked obediently to Rome for guidance.

Although Pacelli collaborated in the writing and the distribution of the encyclical, he quickly undermined its effects by reassuring the Reich's ambassador in Rome.

"Pacelli received me with decided friendliness," the diplomat reported back to Berlin, "and emphatically assured me during the conversation that normal and friendly relations with us would be restored as soon as possible."

In the summer of 1938, as Pius XI lay dying, he became belatedly anxious about anti-Semitism throughout Europe.

He commissioned another encyclical, to be written exclusively on the Jewish question. The text, which never saw the light of day, has only recently been discovered. It was written by three Jesuit scholars, but Pacelli presumably had charge of the project. It was to be called *Humani Generis Unitas* (The Unity of the Human Race).

For all its good intentions and its repudiation of violent anti-Semitism, the document is replete with the anti-Jewishness that Pacelli had displayed in his early period in Germany. The Jews, the text claims, were responsible for their own fate. *God* had chosen them to make way for Christ's redemption, but they denied and killed him.

And now, "blinded by their dream of worldly gain and material success," they deserved the "worldly and spiritual ruin" that they had brought down upon themselves.

The document warns that that to defend the Jews as "Christian principles and humanity" demand could involve the unacceptable risk of being ensnared by secular politics - not least an association with Bolshevism.

The encyclical was delivered in the fall of 1938 to the Jesuits in Rome, who sat on it. To this day we do not know why it was not completed and handed to Pope Pius XI. For all its drawbacks, it was a clear protest against Nazi attacks on Jews and so might have done some good. But it appears likely that the Jesuits, and Pacelli, whose influence as secretary of state of the Vatican was paramount since the Pope was moribund, were reluctant to inflame the Nazis by its publication. Pacelli, when he became pope, would bury the document deep in the secret archives.

On February 10, 1939, Pius XI died, at the age of 81.

Pacelli, then 63, was elected Pope by the College of Cardinals in just three ballots, on March 2. He was crowned on March 12, on the eve of Hitler's march into Prague.

Between his election and his coronation he held a crucial meeting with the German cardinals. Keen to affirm Hitler publicly, he showed them a letter of good wishes which began,

"To the Illustrious Herr Adolf Hitler."

Should he, he asked them, style the Führer "Most Illustrious"?

He decided that that might be going too far. He told the cardinals that Pius XI had said that keeping a papal nuncio in Berlin "conflicts with our honor."

But his predecessor, he said, had been mistaken. He was going to maintain normal diplomatic relations with Hitler. The following month, at Pacelli's express wish, Archbishop **Cesare Orsenigo**, the Berlin nuncio, hosted a gala reception in honor of Hitler's 50th birthday. A birthday greeting to the Führer from the bishops of Germany would become an annual tradition until the war's end.

Pacelli's coronation was the most triumphant in a hundred years. His style of papacy, for all his personal humility, was unprecedentedly pompous. He always ate alone. Vatican bureaucrats were obliged to take phone calls from him on their knees. When he took his afternoon walk, the gardeners had to hide in the bushes. Senior officials were not allowed to ask him questions or present a point of view.

As Europe plunged toward war Pacelli cast himself in the role of judge of judges. But he continued to seek to appease Hitler by attempting to persuade the Poles to make concessions over Germany's territorial claims. After Hitler's invasion of Poland, on September 1, 1939, he declined to condemn Germany, to the bafflement of the Allies.

His first public statement, the encyclical known in the English-speaking world as *Darkness over the Earth*, was full of papal rhetoric and equivocations. Then something extraordinary occurred, revealing that whatever had motivated Pacelli in his equivocal approach to the Nazi onslaught in Poland did not betoken cowardice or a liking for Hitler.

In November 1939, in deepest secrecy, Pacelli became intimately and dangerously involved In what was probably the most viable plot to depose Hitler during the war. The plot centered on a group of anti-Nazi generals, committed to returning Germany to democracy. The coup might spark a civil war, and they wanted assurances that the West would not take advantage of the ensuing chaos.

Pius XII agreed to act as go-between for the plotters and the Allies. Had his complicity in the plot been discovered it might have proved disastrous for the Vatican and for many thousands of German clergy.

As it happened, leaders in London dragged their feet, and the plotters eventually fell silent. The episode demonstrates that, while Pacelli seemed weak to some, pusillanimity and indecisiveness were hardly in his nature. Pacelli's first wartime act of reticence in failing to speak out against Fascist brutality occurred in the summer of 1941, following Hitler's invasion of Yugoslavia and the formation of the Catholic and Fascist state of Croatia.

In a wave of appalling ethnic cleansing, the Croat Fascist separatists, known as [the Ustashe](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ustashe), under the leadership of **Ante Pavelic**, the Croat Führer, embarked on a campaign of enforced conversions, deportations, and mass extermination targeting a population of 2.2 million Serb Orthodox Christians and a smaller number of Jews and Gypsies.

According to the Italian writer **Carlo Falconi**, as early as April, in a typical act of atrocity, a band of Ustashe had rounded up 331 Serbs. The victims were forced to dig their own graves before being hacked to death with axes. The local priest was forced to recite the prayers for the dying while his son was chopped to pieces before his eyes. Then the priest was tortured.

His hair and beard were torn off, his eyes were gouged out. Finally he was skinned alive. The very next month Pacelli greeted Pavelic at the Vatican. Throughout the war, the Croat atrocities continued By the most recent scholarly reckoning. 487,000 Orthodox Serbs and 27,000 Gypsies were massacred; in addition, approximately 30,000 out of a population of 45,000 Jews were killed.

Despite a close relationship between the Ustashe regime and the Catholic bishops, and a constant flow of information about the massacres, Pacelli said and did nothing. In fact, he continued to extend warm wishes to the Ustashe leadership. The only feasible explanation for Pacelli's silence was his perception of Croatia as a Catholic bridgehead into the East.

The Vatican and the local bishops approved of mass conversion in Croatia (even though it was the result of fear rather than conviction), because they believed that this could spell the beginning of a return {?} of the Orthodox Christians there to papal allegiance. Pacelli was not a man to condone mass murder, but he evidently chose to turn a blind eye on Ustashe atrocities rather than hinder a unique opportunity to extend the power of the papacy.

Pacelli came to learn of the Nazi plans to exterminate the Jews of Europe shortly after they were laid in January 1942. The deportations to the death camps had begun in December 1941 and would continue through 1944.

All during 1942, Pacelli received reliable information on the details of the Final Solution, much of it supplied by the British, French, and American representatives’ resident in the Vatican. On March 17, 1942, representatives of Jewish organizations assembled in Switzerland sent a memorandum to Pacelli via the papal nuncio in Bern, cataloguing violent anti-Semitic measures in Germany and in its allied and conquered territories.

Their plea focused attention on Slovakia, Croatia, Hungary, and unoccupied France, where, they believed, the Pope's intervention might yet be effective. Apart from an intervention in the case of Slovakia, where the president was Monsignor **Josef Tiso**, a Catholic priest, no papal initiatives resulted.

During the same month, a stream of dispatches describing the fate of some 90,000 Jews reached the Vatican from various sources in Eastern Europe. The Jewish organizations' long memorandum would be excluded from the wartime documents published by the Vatican between 1965 and 1981. On June 16, 1942, **Harold Tittmann**, the U.S. representative to the Vatican, told Washington that Pacelli was diverting himself, ostrich-like, into purely religious concerns and that the moral authority won for the papacy by Pius XI was being eroded.

At the end of that month, the London Daily Telegraph announced that more than a million Jews had been killed in Europe and that it was the aim of the Nazis "to wipe the race from the European continent." The article was re-printed in The New York Times.

On July 21 there was a protest rally on behalf of Europe's Jews in New York's Madison Square Garden.

In the following weeks the British, American, and Brazilian representatives to the Vatican tried to persuade Pacelli to speak out against the Nazi atrocities. But still he said nothing. In September 1942, President Franklin Roosevelt sent his personal representative, the former head of U.S. Steel, **Myron Taylor**, to plead with PaceIli to make a statement about the extermination of the Jews.

Taylor traveled hazardously through enemy territory to reach the Vatican. Still Pacelli refused to speak. Pacelli's excuse was that he must rise above the belligerent parties. As late as December 18, **Francis d'Arcy Osborne**, Britain's envoy in the Vatican, handed Cardinal **Domenico Tardini**, Pacelli's deputy secretary of state, a dossier replete with information on the Jewish deportations and mass killings in hopes that the Pope would denounce the Nazi regime in a Christmas message.

On December 24, 1942, having made draft after draft, Pacelli at last said something.

In his Christmas Eve broadcast to the world on Vatican Radio, he said that men of goodwill owed a vow to bring society,

"Back to its immovable center of gravity in divine law." He went on: "Humanity owes this vow to those hundreds of thousands who, without any fault of their own, sometimes only by reason of their nationality and race, are marked for death or gradual extinction."

That was the strongest public denunciation of the *Final Solution* that Pacelli would make in the whole course of the war. It was not merely a paltry statement.

The chasm between the enormity of the liquidation of the Jewish people and this form of evasive language was profoundly scandalous. He might have been referring to many categories of victims at the hands of various belligerents in the conflict. Clearly the choice of ambiguous wording was intended to placate those who urged him to protest, while avoiding offense to the Nazi regime. But these considerations are over-shadowed by the implicit denial and trivialization.

He had scaled down the doomed millions to,

"Hundreds of thousands" without uttering the word "Jews," while making the pointed qualification "sometimes only by reason of their nationality or race."

Nowhere was the term "Nazi'' mentioned.

Hitler himself could not have wished for a more convoluted and innocuous reaction from the *Vicar of 'Christ'* to the greatest crime in history. But what was Pacelli's principal motivation for this trivialization and denial? The Allies' diplomats in the Vatican believed that he was remaining impartial in order to earn a crucial role in future peace negotiations. In this there was clearly a degree of truth.

But a recapitulation of new evidence I have gathered shows that Pacelli saw the Jews as alien and undeserving of his respect and compassion. He felt no sense of moral outrage at their plight.

 The documents show that:

1. He had nourished a striking antipathy toward the Jews as early as 1917 in Germany, which contradicts later claims that his omissions were performed in good faith and that he "loved" the Jews and respected their religion.
2. From the end of the First World War to the lost encyclical of 1938, Pacelli betrayed a fear and contempt of Judaism based on his belief that the Jews were behind the Bolshevik plot to destroy Christendom.
3. Pacelli acknowledged to representatives of the Third Reich that the regime's anti-Semitic policies were a matter of Germany's internal politics. The Reich Concordat between Hitler and the Vatican, as Hitler was quick to grasp, created an ideal climate for Jewish persecution.
4. Pacelli failed to sanction protest by German Catholic bishops against anti-Semitism, and he did not attempt to intervene in the process by which Catholic clergy collaborated in racial certification to identify Jews.
5. After Pius XI's Mit Brennender Sorge, denouncing the Nazi regime (although not by name), Pacelli attempted to mitigate the effect of the encyclical by giving private diplomatic reassurances to Berlin despite his awareness of widespread Nazi persecution of Jews.
6. Pacelli was convinced that the Jews had brought misfortune on their own heads: intervention on their behalf could only draw the church into alliances with forces inimical to Catholicism.

Pacelli's failure to utter a candid word on the Final Solution proclaimed to the world that the *Vicar of 'Christ'* was not roused to pity or anger.

From this point of view, he was the ideal Pope for Hitler's unspeakable plan. His denial and minimization of the Holocaust were all the more scandalous in that they were uttered from a seemingly impartial moral high ground.

There was another, more immediate indication of Pacelli's moral dislocation. It occurred before the liberation of Rome, when he was the sole Italian authority in the city. On October 16, 1943, SS troops entered the Roman ghetto area and rounded up more than 1,000 Jews, imprisoning them in the very shadow of the Vatican.

How did Pacelli acquit himself'?

On the morning of the roundup, which had been prompted by AdoIf Eichmann, who was in charge of the organization of the Final Solution from his headquarters in Berlin, the German ambassador in Rome pleaded with the Vatican to issue a public protest. By this stage of the war, Mussolini had been deposed and rescued by AdoIf Hitler to run the puppet regime in the North of Italy.

The German authorities in Rome, both diplomats and military commanders, fearing a backlash of the Italian populace, hoped that an immediate and vigorous papal denunciation might stop the SS in their tracks and prevent further arrests. Pacelli refused. In the end, the German diplomats drafted a letter of protest on the Pope's behalf and prevailed on a resident German bishop to sign it for Berlin's benefit.

Meanwhile, the deportation of the imprisoned Jews went ahead on October 18.

When U.S. chargé d 'affaires Harold Tittmann visited Pacelli that day, he found the pontiff anxious that the "Communist" Partisans would take advantage of a cycle of papal protest, followed by SS reprisals, followed by a civilian backlash. As a consequence, he was not inclined to lift a finger for the Jewish deportees, who were now traveling in cattle cars to the Austrian border bound for Auschwitz. Church officials reported on the desperate plight of the deportees as they passed slowly through city after city.

Still Pacelli refused to intervene.

In the Jesuit archives in Rome, I found a secret document sworn to under oath by **Karl Wolff**, the SS commander in Italy. The text reveals that Hitler had asked Wolff in the fall of 1943 to prepare a plan to evacuate the Pope and the Vatican treasures to Liechtenstein.

After several weeks of investigation, Wolff concluded that an attempt to invade the Vatican and its properties, or to seize the Pope in response to a papal protest, would prompt a backlash throughout Italy that would seriously hinder the Nazi war effort. Hitler therefore dropped his plan to kidnap Pacelli, acknowledging what Pacelli appeared to ignore, that the strongest social and political force in Italy in late 1943 was the Catholic Church, and that it’s potential for thwarting the SS was immense.

Pacelli was concerned that a protest by him would benefit only the Communists. His silence on the deportation of Rome's Jews, in other words, was not an act of cowardice or fear of the Germans. He wanted to maintain the Nazi-occupation status quo until such time as the city could be liberated by the Allies. But what of the deported Jews?

Five days after the train had set off from the Tiburtina station in Rome, an estimated 1,060 had been gassed at Auschwitz and Birkenau - 149 men and 47 women were detained for slave labor, but only 15 survived the war, and only one of those was a woman, Settimia Spizzichino, who had served as a human guinea pig of Dr. **Josef Mengele**, the Nazi medical doctor who performed atrocious experiments on human victims.

After the liberation, she was found alive in a heap of corpses.

But there was a more profound failure than Pacelli's unwillingness to help the Jews of Rome rounded up on October 16. Pacelli's reticence was not just a diplomatic silence in response to the political pressures of the moment, not just a failure to be morally outraged. It was a stunning religious and ritualistic silence.

To my knowledge, there is no record of a single public papal prayer, lit votive candle, psalm, lamentation, or Mass celebrated in solidarity with the Jews of Rome either during their terrible ordeal or after their deaths.

This *spiritual silence* in the face of an atrocity committed at the heart of Christendom, in the shadow of the shrine of the first apostle, persists to this day and implicates all Catholics. This silence proclaims that Pacelli had no genuine spiritual sympathy even for the Jews of Rome, who were members of the community of his birth.

And yet, on learning of the death of AdoIf Hitler, Archbishop **Adolf Bertram** of Berlin ordered all the priests of his archdiocese,

"To hold a solemn Requiem in memory of the Führer."

There were nevertheless Jews who gave Pacelli the benefit of the doubt.

On Thursday, November 29, 1945, Pacelli met some 80 representatives of Jewish refugees who expressed their thanks,

"for his generosity toward those persecuted during the Nazi-Fascist period."

One must respect a tribute made by people who had suffered and survived, and we cannot belittle Pacelli's efforts on the level of charitable relief, notably his directive that enclosed religious houses in Rome should take in Jews hiding from the SS.

By the same token, we must respect the voice of Settimia Spizzichino, the sole Roman Jewish woman survivor from the death camps.

Speaking in a BBC interview in 1995 she said:

"I came back from Auschwitz on my own... I lost my mother, two sisters and one brother. Pius XII could have warned us about what was going to happen. We might have escaped from Rome and joined the partisans.

 He played right into the Germans' hands. It all happened right under his nose. But he was an anti-Semitic pope, a pro-German pope. He didn't take a single risk. And when they say the Pope is like Jesus Christ, it is not true. He did not save a single child."

We are obliged to accept these contrasting views of Pacelli are not mutually exclusive.

It gives a Catholic no satisfaction to accuse a Pope of acquiescing in the plans of Hitler. But one of the saddest ironies of Pacelli's papacy centers on the implications of his own pastoral self-image. At the beginning of a promotional film he commissioned about himself during the war, called The Angelic Pastor, the camera frequently focuses on the statue of the Good Shepherd in the Vatican gardens.

The parable of the good shepherd tells of the pastor who so loves each of his sheep that he will do all, risk all, go to any pains, to save one member of his flock that is lost or in danger. To his everlasting shame, and to the shame of the Catholic Church, Pacelli disdained to recognize the Jews of Rome as members of his Roman flock, even though they had dwelled in the Eternal City since before the birth of Christ.

And yet there was still something worse. After the liberation of Rome, when every perception of restraint on his freedom was lifted, he claimed retrospective moral superiority for having spoken and acted on behalf of the Jews.

Addressing a Palestinian group on August 3, 1946, he said,

"We disapprove of all recourse to force... Just as we condemned on various occasions in the past the persecutions that a fanatical anti-Semitism inflicted on the Hebrew people."

His grandiloquent self-exculpation a year after the war had ended showed him to be not only an ideal pope for the Nazis Final Solution but also a hypocrite.

The postwar period of Pacelli's papacy, through the 1950s, saw the apotheosis of the ideology of papal power as he presided over a triumphant Catholic Church in open confrontation with Communism. But it could not hold. The internal structures and morale of the church in Pacelli's final years began to show signs of fragmentation and decay, leading to a yearning for reassessment and renewal.

In old age he became increasingly narrow-minded, eccentric and a hypochondriac.

He experienced religious visions, suffered from chronic hiccups, and received monkey-brain-cell injections for longevity. He had no love for, or trust in those who had to follow him.

He failed to replace his secretary of state when he died and for years he declined to appoint a full complement of cardinals. He died at the age of 82 on October 9, 1958. His corpse decomposed rapidly in the autumnal Roman heat. At his lying-in-state, a guard fainted from the stench. Later, his nose turned black and fell off. Some saw in this sudden corruption of his mortal remains, a symbol of the absolute corruption of his papacy.

The Second Vatican Council was called by John XXIII who succeeded Pacelli, in 1958, precisely to reject Pacelli's monolith in preference for a collegial, decentralized, human, Christian community, the *Holy Spirit*, and *love*. The guiding metaphor of the church of the future was of a "pilgrim people of *God*."

Expectations ran high, but there was no lack of contention and anxiety as old habits and disciplines died hard.

There were signs from the very outset that papal and Vatican hegemony would not easily acquiesce, that the Old Guard would attempt a comeback. As we approach the end of this century, the hopeful energy of the Second Vatican Council, or Vatican II, as it came to be called, appears to many a spent force. The church of Pius XII is reasserting itself in confirmation of a pyramidal church model: faith in the primacy of the man in the white robe dictating in solitude from the pinnacle.

In the twilight years of John Paul II's long reign, the Catholic Church gives a pervasive impression of dysfunction despite his historic influence on the collapse of Communist tyranny in Poland and the Vatican's enthusiasm for entering its third millennium with a cleansed conscience.

As the theologian Professor **Adrian Hastings** comments,

"The great tide powered by Vatican II has, at least institutionally, spent its force. The old landscape has once more emerged and Vatican II is now being read in Rome far more in the spirit of the First Vatican Council and within the context of Pius XII's model of Catholicism.''

A future titanic struggle between the progressives and the traditionalists is in prospect, with the potential for a cataclysmic schism, especially in North America, where a split has opened up between bishops compliant with Rome and academic Catholicism, which is increasingly independent and dissident.

Pacelli, whose *canonization* process is now well advanced, has become the icon, 40 years after his death, of those traditionalists who read and revise the provisions of the Second Vatican Council from the viewpoint of Pacelli's ideology of papal power - an ideology that has proved disastrous in the century's history.

http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/vatican/esp\_vatican55.htm
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Silent Weapons for a Quiet War

An Introductory Programming Manual

Operations Research

Technical Manual

WELCOME ABOARD

This publication marks the 25th anniversary of the Third World War, called the "Quiet War," being conducted using subjective biological warfare, fought with "silent weapons."

This book contains an introductory description of this war, its strategies, and its weaponry.

SECURITY

It is patently impossible to discuss social engineering or the automation of a society, i.e., the engineering of social automation systems (silent weapons) on a national or worldwide scale without implying extensive objectives of social control and destruction of human life, i .e., slavery and genocide.

This manual is in itself an analog declaration of intent. Such writing must be secured from public scrutiny. Otherwise, it might be recognized as a technically formal declaration of domestic war. Furthermore, whenever any person or group of persons in a position of great power, and without the full knowledge and consent of the public, uses such knowledge and methodology for economic conquest -- it must be understood that a state of domestic warfare exists between said person or group of persons and the public.

The solution of today's problems requires an approach which is ruthlessly candid, with no agonizing over religious, moral, or cultural values.

You have qualified for this project because of your ability to look at human society with cold objectivity, and yet analyze and discuss your observations and conclusions with others of similar intellectual capacity without a loss of discretion or humility. Such virtues are exercised in your own best interest. Do not deviate from them.

 HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION

Silent weapon technology has evolved from Operations Research (O.R.), a strategic and tactical methodology developed under the military management in England during World War II. The original purpose of Operations Research was to study the strategic and tactical problems of air and land defense with the objective of effective use of limited military resources against foreign enemies (i.e., logistics).

It was soon recognized by those in positions of power that the same methods might be useful for totally controlling a society. But better tools were necessary.

Social engineering (the analysis and automation of a society) requires the correlation of great amounts of constantly changing economic information (data), so a high speed computerized data processing system was necessary which could race ahead of the society and predict when society would arrive for capitulation.

Relay computers were too slow, but the electronic computer, invented in 1946 by J. Presper Eckert and John W. Mauchly filled the bill.

With the creation of the Maser in 1954, the promise of unlocking unlimited sources of fusion atomic energy from the heavy hydrogen in sea water and the consequent availability of unlimited social power became a possibility only decades away.

The combination was irresistible.

The Quiet War was quietly declared by the international elite at a meeting held in 1954.

Although the silent weapons system was nearly exposed 13 years later, the evolution of the new weapon system has never suffered any major setbacks.

This volume marks the 25th anniversary of the beginning of the Quiet War. Already this domestic war has had many victories on many fronts throughout the world.

POLITICAL INTRODUCTION

In 1954 it was well recognized by those in positions of authority that it was only a matter of time, only a few decades, before the general public would be able to grasp and upset the cradle of power, for the very elements of the new silent weapon technology were as accessible for a public utopia as they were for providing a private utopia.

The issue of primary concern that of dominance, revolved around the subject of the energy sciences.

ENERGY

Energy is recognized as the key to all activity on earth. Natural science is the study of the sources and control of natural energy, and social science, theoretically expressed as economics, is the study of the sources and control of social energy. Both are bookkeeping systems; mathematics. Therefore, mathematics is the primary energy science. And the bookkeeper can be king if the public can be kept ignorant of the methodology of the bookkeeping.

All science is merely a means to an end. The means is knowledge. The end is control. Beyond this remains only one issue, "who will be the beneficiary?".

In 1954 this was the issue of primary concern. Although the so-called "moral Issues" were raised, in view of the law of natural selection it was agreed that a nation or world of people who will not use their intelligence are no better than animals that do not have intelligence. Such a people are beasts of burden and steaks on the table by choice and consent.

CONSEQUENTLY, in the interest of future world order, peace, and tranquility, it was decided to privately wage a quiet war against the American public with an ultimate objective of permanently shifting the natural and social energy (wealth) of the undisciplined and irresponsible many into the hands of the self-disciplined, responsible, and worthy few.

In order to implement this objective, it was necessary to create, secure, and apply new weapons which, as it turned out, were a class of weapons so subtle and sophisticated in their principle of operation and public appearance as to earn for themselves the name 'silent weapons'.

In conclusion, the objective of economic research, as conducted by the magnates of capital (banking) and the industries of commodities (goods) and services, is the establishment of an economy which is totally predictable and manipulatable.

In order to achieve a totally predictable economy, the low class elements of the society must be brought under total control, i.e., must be house-broken, trained, and assigned a yoke and long term social duties from a very early age, before they have an opportunity to question the propriety of the matter. In order to achieve such conformity, the lower class family unit must be disintegrated by a process of increasing preoccupation of the parents and the establishment of government operated day care centers for the occupationally orphaned children.

The quality of education given to the lower class must be of the poorest sort, so that the meat of ignorance isolating the inferior class from the superior class is and remains incomprehensible to the inferior class. With such an initial handicap, even bright lower class individuals have little if any hope of extricating themselves from their assigned lot in life. This form of slavery is essential to maintaining some measure of social order, peace, and tranquility for the ruling upper class.

DESCRIPTIVE INTRODUCTION OF THE SILENT WEAPON

Everything that is expected from an ordinary weapon is expected from a silent weapon by its creators, but only in it its own manner of functioning.

It shoots situations, instead of bullets; propelled by data processing, instead of chemical reaction (explosion); originating from bits of data, instead of grains of gunpowder; from a computer, instead of a gun; operated by a computer programmer, instead of marksman; under the orders of a banking magnate, instead of a military general.

It makes no obvious explosive noises, causes no obvious physical or mental injuries, and does not obviously interfere with anyone's daily social life.

Yet it makes an unmistakable 'noise', causes unmistakable physical and mental damage, and unmistakably interferes with daily social life, i.e., unmistakable to a trained observer, one who knows what to look for.

The public cannot comprehend this weapon, and therefore cannot believe that they are being attached and subdued by a weapon.

The public might instinctively feel that something is wrong, but because of the technical nature of the silent weapon, they cannot express their feeling in a rational way, or handle the problem with intelligence. Therefore, they do not know how to cry for help, and do not know how to associate with others to defend themselves against it.

When a silent weapon is applied gradually to the public, the public adjusts/adapts to its presence and learns to tolerate its encroachment on their lives until the pressure (psychological via economic) becomes too great and they crack up.

Therefore, the silent weapon is a type of biological war fare. It attacks the vitality, options, and mobility of the individuals of a society by knowing, understanding, manipulating, and attacking their sources of natural and social energy, and their physical, mental, and emotional strengths and weaknesses.

THEORETICAL INTRODUCTION

"Give me control over a nation's currency, and I care not who makes its laws."

Mayer Amschel Rothschild (1743-1812)

Today's silent weapons technology is an outgrowth of a simple idea discovered, succinctly expressed, and effectively applied by the quoted Mr. Mayor Amschel Rothschild. Mr. Rothschild discovered the missing passive component of economic theory known as economic inductance. He, of course, did not think of his discovery in these 20th century terms, and to be sure, mathematical analysis had to wait for the Second Industrial Revolution, the rise of the theory of mechanics and electronics, and finally, the invention of the electronic computer before it could be effectively applied in the control of the world economy.

GENERAL ENERGY CONCEPTS

In the study of energy systems, there always appear three elementary concepts. These are potential energy, kinetic energy, and energy dissipation. And corresponding to these concepts, there are three idealized, essentially pure physical counterparts, called passive components.

(1) In the science of physical mechanics, the phenomenon of potential energy is associated with a physical property called elasticity or stiffness, and can be represented by a stretched spring.

In electronic science, potential energy is stored in a capacitor instead of a spring. This property is called capacitance instead of elasticity or stiffness.

(2) In the science of physical mechanics, the phenomenon of kinetic energy is associated with a physical property called inertia or mass and can be represented by a mass or a flywheel in motion.

In electronic science, kinetic energy is stored in an inductor (in a magnetic field) instead of a mass. This property is called inductance instead of inertia.

(3) In the science of physical mechanics, the phenomenon of energy dissipation is associated with a physical property called friction or resistance, and can be represented by a dashpot or other device which converts system energy into heat.

In electronic science, dissipation of energy is performed by an element called either a resistor or a conductor, the term 'resistor' being the one generally used to express the concept of friction, and the term 'conductor' being generally used to describe a more ideal device (e.g., wire) employed to convey electric energy efficiently from one location to another. The property of a resistor or conductor is measured as either resistance or conductance, reciprocals.

In economics these three energy concepts are associated with:

(1) Economic Capacitance -- Capital (money, stock/inventory, investments in building and durables, etc.)

(2) Economic Conductance -- Goods (production flow coefficients)

(3) Economic Inductance -- Services (the influence of the population of industry on output).

All of the mathematical theory developed in the study of one energy system, (e.g., mechanics, electronics, etc.) can be immediately applied in the study of any other energy system (e.g., economics).

MR. ROTHSCHILD'S ENERGY DISCOVERY

What Mr. Rothschild had discovered was the basic principle of power, influence, and control over people as applied to economics. That principle is "when you assume the appearance of power, people soon give it to you".

Mr. Rothschild had discovered that currency or deposit loan accounts had the required appearance of power that could be used to induce people (inductance, with people corresponding to a magnetic field) into surrendering their real wealth in exchange for a promise of greater wealth (instead of real compensation). They would put up real collateral in exchange for a loan of promissory notes. Mr. Rothschild found that he could issue more notes than he had backing for, so long as he had someone's stock of gold as a persuader to show to his customers.

Mr. Rothschild loaned his promissory notes to individuals and to governments. These would create over-confidence. Then he would make money scarce, tighten control of the system, and collect his collateral through the obligation of contracts. The cycle was then repeated. These pressures could be used to ignite a war. Then he would control the availability of currency to determine who would win the war. That government which agreed to give him control of its economic system got his support. Collection of debts was guaranteed by economic aid to the enemy of the debtor. The profit derived from this economic methodology made Mr. Rothschild all the wealthier and all the more able to extend his wealth. He found that the public greed would allow currency to be printed by government order beyond the limits (inflation) of backing in precious metal or the production of goods and services (gross national product, GNP).

APPARENT CAPITAL AS "PAPER" INDUCTOR

In this structure, credit, presented as a pure circuit element called "currency", has the appearance of capital, but is, in fact, negative capital. Hence, it has the appearance of service, but is, in fact, indebtedness or debt. It is therefore an economic inductance instead of an economic capacitance, and if balanced in no other way, will be balanced by the negation of population (war, genocide). The total goods and services represents real capital called the gross national product, and currency may be printed up to this level and still represent economic capacitance; but currency printed beyond this level is subtractive, represents the introduction of economic inductance, and constitutes notes of indebtedness. War is therefore the balancing of the system by killing the true creditors (the public which we have taught to exchange true value for inflated currency) and falling back on whatever is left of the resources of nature and the regeneration of those resources.

Mr. Rothschild had discovered that currency gave him the power to rearrange the economic structure to his own advantage,, to shift economic inductance to those economic positions which would encourage the greatest economic instability and oscillation.

The final key to economic control had to wait until there was sufficient data and high speed computing equipment to keep close watch on the economic oscillations created by price shocking and excess paper energy credits -- (paper inductance/inflation).

BREAKTHROUGH

The aviation field provided the greatest evolution in economic engineering by way of the mathematical theory of shock testing. In this process, a projectile is fired from an airframe on the ground and the impulse of the recoil is monitored by vibration transducers connected to the airframe and wired to chart recorders. By studying the echoes or reflections of the recoil impulse in the airframe, it is possible to discover critical vibrations in the structure of the airframe which either vibrations of the engine or Aeolian vibrations of the wings, or a combination of the two, might reinforce resulting in a resonant self-destruction of the airframe in flight as an aircraft. From the standpoint of engineering, this means that the strengths and weaknesses of the structure of the airframe in terms of vibration energy can be discovered and manipulated.

APPLICATION IN ECONOMICS

To use this method of airframe shock testing in economic engineering, the prices of commodities are shocked, and the public consumer reaction is monitored. The resulting echoes of the economic shock are interpreted theoretically by computers and the psycho-economic structure of the economy is thus discovered. It is by this process that partial differential and difference matrices are discovered that define the family household and make possible its evolution as an economic industry (dissipative consumer structure). Then the response of the household to future shocks can be predicted and manipulated, and society becomes a well regulated animal with its reins under the control of a sophisticated computer-regulated social energy bookkeeping system.

Eventually every individual element of the structure comes under computer control through a knowledge of personal preferences, such knowledge guaranteed by computer association of consumer preferences (universal product code -- UPC -- zebra stripe pricing codes on packages) with identified consumers (identified via association with the use of a credit card and later a permanent 'tattooed' body number invisible under normal ambient illumination.

SUMMARY

Economics is only a social extension of a natural energy system. It, also, has its three passive components. Because of the distribution of wealth and the lack of communication and consequent lack of data, this field has been the last energy field for which knowledge of these three passive components has been developed.

Since energy is the key to all activity on the face of the earth, it follows that in order to attain a monopoly of energy, raw materials, goods, and services and to establish a world system of slave labor, it is necessary to have a first strike capability in the field of economics. In order to maintain our position, it is necessary that we have absolute first knowledge of the science of control over all economic factors and the first experience at engineering the world economy.

In order to achieve such sovereignty, we must at least achieve this one end: that the public will not make either the logical or mathematical connection between economics or the other energy sciences or learn to apply such knowledge.

This is becoming increasingly difficult to control because more and more businesses are making demands upon their computer programmers to create and apply mathematical models for the management of those businesses.

It is only a matter of time before the new breed of private programmer/economists will catch on to the far reaching implications of the work begun at Harvard in 1948. The speed with which they can communicate their warning to the public will largely depend upon how effective we have been at controlling the media, subverting education, and keeping the public distracted with matters of no real importance.

THE ECONOMIC MODEL

Economics, as a social energy science has as a first objective the description of the complex way in which any given unit of resources is used to satisfy some economic want. (Leontief Matrix) The first objective, when it is extended to get the most product from the least or limited resources, comprises that objective of general military) and industrial logistics known as Operations Research. (See simplex method of linear programming.)

The Harvard Economic Research Project (1948-) was an extension of World War II Operations Research. Its purpose was to discover the science of controlling an economy; at first the American economy, and then the world economy. It was felt that with sufficient mathematical foundation and data, it would be nearly as easy to predict and control the trend of an economy as to predict and control the trajectory of a projectile. Such as proven to be the case. Moreover, the economy has been transformed into a guided missile on target.

The immediate aim of the Harvard project was to discover the economic structure, what forces change that structure, how the behavior of the structure can be predicted, and how it can be manipulated. What was needed was a well organized knowledge of the mathematical structures and interrelationships of investment, production, distribution, and consumption. To make a short story of it all, it was discovered than an economy obeyed the same, laws as electricity and that all of the mathematical theory and practical and computer know-how developed for the electronic field could be directly applied in the study of economics. This discovery was not openly declared, and its more subtle implications were and are kept a closely guarded secret, for example that in an economic model, human life is measured in dollars, and that the electric spark generated when opening a switch connected to an active inductor in mathematically analogous to the initiation of a war.

The greatest hurdle which theoretical economists faced was the accurate description of the household as an industry. This is a challenge, because consumer purchases are a matter of choice which in turn is influenced by income, price, and other economic factors.

This hurdle was cleared in an indirect and statistically approximate way by an application of shock testing to determine the current characteristics, called current technical coefficients, of a household industry. Why GAS Costs $2.00 Per Gallon

Finally, because problems in theoretical economics can be translated very easily into problems in theoretical electronics, and the solution translated back again, it follows that only a book of language translation and concept definition needed to be written for economics. The remainder could be gotten from standard works on mathematics and electronics. This makes the publication of books on advanced economics unnecessary, and greatly simplifies project security.

INDUSTRIAL DIAGRAMS

An ideal industry is defined as a device which receives value from other industries in several forms and converts it into one specific product for sales and distribution to other industries. It has several inputs and one output. What the public normally thinks of as one industry is really an industrial complex where several industries under one roof produce one or more products.

The flow of product from industry #1 (supply) to industry #2 (demand) is denoted by 112. The total flow out of industry 'K' is denoted by I k . (sales, etc.)

A node is a symbol of collection and distribution of flow. Node #3 receives from industry #3 and distributes to industries #1 through #3. If industry #3 manufactures chairs, then a flow from Industry #3 back to industry #3 simply indicates that industry #3 is using part of its own output product, for example, as office furniture. Therefore, the flow may be summarized by the equations:

THREE INDUSTRIAL CLASSES

Industries fell into three categories or class by type of output.

Class #1 - Capital (resources)

Class #2 - Goods (commodities or use - dissipative)

Class #3 - Services (action of population)

Class # 1 Industries exist at three levels

(1) Nature - sources of energy and raw materials.

(2) Government - printing of currency equal to gross national product (GNP), and extension of currency in excess of GNP.

(3) Banking - loaning of money for interest, and extension (counterfeiting) of economic value through deposit loan account - inflation.

Class # 2 industries exist as producers of tangible or consumer (dissipated) products. This sort of activity is usually recognized and labeled by the public as an 'industry'.

Class # 3 industries are those which have service rather than a tangible product as their output. These industries are called (1) households, and (2) governments. Their output is human activity of a mechanical sort, and their basis is population.

AGGREGATION

The whole economic system can be represented by a three industry model if one allows the names of the outputs to be (1) capital, (2) goods, and (3) services. The problem with this representation is that it would not show the influence of, say, the textile industry on the ferrous metal industry. This is because both the textile industry and the ferrous metal industry would be contained within a single classification called the 'goods industry' and by this process of combining or aggregating these two industries under one system block they would lose their economic individuality.

THE E-MODEL

A national economy consists of simultaneous flows of production, distribution, consumption, and investment. If all of these elements including labor and human functions are assigned a numerical value in like units of measure, say, 1939 dollars, on this flow can be further represented by a current flow in an electronic circuit, and its behavior can be predicted and manipulated with useful precision.

The three ideal passive energy components of electronics, the capacitor, the resistor, and the inductor correspond to the three ideal passive energy components of economics called the pure industries of capital, goods, and services, resp..

Economic capacitance represents the storage of capital in one form or another.

Economic conductance represents the level of conductance of materials for the production of goods.

Economic inductance represents the inertia of economic value in motion. This is a population phenomenon known as services.

ECONOMIC INDUCTANCE

An electrical inductor (e.g., a coil of wire) has an electric current as its primary phenomenon and a magnetic field as its secondary phenomenon (inertia). Corresponding to this, an economic inductor has a flow of economic value as its primary phenomenon and a population field as its secondary phenomenon of inertia. When the flow of economic value (e.g., money) diminishes, the human population field collapses in order to keep the economic value (money) flowing (extreme case - war).

The public inertia is a result of consumer buying habits, expected standard of living, etc., and is generally a phenomenon of self-preservation.

INDUCTIVE FACTORS TO CONSIDER

(1) Population

(2) magnitude of the economic activities of the government.

(3) the method of financing these government activities (see Peter-Paul Principle-inflation of the currency)

TRANSLATION

(A few examples will be given.)

CHARGE -- coulombs

-- dollars (1939).

FLOW/CURRENT -- amperes (coulombs per second)

-- dollars of flow per year.

MOTIVATING FORCE -- volts -- dollars (output) demand.

CONDUCTANCE -- amperes per volt.

-- dollars of flow per year per dollar demand.

CAPITANCE -- coulombs per volt.

-- dollars of production inventory/stock per dollar demand.

TIME-FLOW RELATIONSHIPS AND SELF-DESTRUCTIVE OSCILLATIONS

An ideal industry may be symbolized electronically in various ways. The simplest way is to represent a demand by a voltage and a supply by a current. When this is done, the relationship between the two becomes what is called an admittance, which can result from three economic factors: (1) hindsight flow, 2) present flow, and (3) foresight flow.

Foresight flow is the result of that property of living entities to cause energy (food) to be stored for a period of low energy (e.g., a winter season). It consists of demands made upon an economic system for that period of low energy (winter season). In a production industry it takes several forms, one of which is known as production stock or inventory. In electronic zymology this specific industry demand (a pure capital industry) is represented by capacitance and the stock or resource is represented by a stored charge. Satisfaction of an industry demand suffers a lag because of the loading effect of inventory priorities.

Present flow ideally involves no delays. It is, so to speak, input today for output today, a 'hand to mouth' flow. In electronic zymology, this specific industry demand (a pure use industry) is represented by a conductance which is then a simple economic valve (a dissipative element).

Hindsight flow is known as habit or inertia. In electronics, this phenomenon is the characteristic of an inductor (economic analog = a pure service industry) in which a current flow (economic analog = flow of money) creates a magnetic field (economic analog = active human population) which, if the current (money flow) begins to diminish, collapses (war) to maintain the current (flow of money -- energy).

Other large alternatives to war as economic inductors or economic flywheels are an open-ended social welfare program, or enormous (but fruitful) open-ended space program.

The problem with stabilizing the economic system is that there is too much demand on account of (1) too much greed and (2) too much population.

This creates excessive economic inductance which can only be balanced with economic capacitance (true resources or value - e.g. in goods or services). The social welfare program is nothing more than an open-ended credit balance system which creates a false capital industry to give non-productive people a roof over their heads and food in their stomachs. This can be useful, however, because the recipients become state property, in return for the 'gift' , a standing array for the elite. For he who pays the piper, picks the tune. Those who get hooked on the economic drug, must go to the elite for a fix. In this, the method of introducing large amounts of stabilizing capacitance is by borrowing on the future 'credit' of the world. This is a fourth law of motion -- onset, and consists of performing an action and leaving the system before the reflected reaction returns to the point of action -- a delayed reaction. The means of surviving the reaction is by changing the system before the reaction can return. By this means, politicians become popular in their own time and the public pays for it later. In fact the measure of such a politician is the delay time. The same thing is achieved by a government by printing money beyond the limit of the gross national product, an economic process called inflation. This puts a large quantity of money into the hands of the public and maintains a balance against their greed, creates a false self-confidence in them and, for a while, stays the wolf from the door.

They must eventually resort to war to balance the account, because war ultimately is merely the act to keep the responsibility and blood off the public conscience. (See section on consent factors and social-economic structuring.)

If the people really cared about their fellow man, they would control their appetites (greed, procreation, etc.) so that they would not have to operate on a credit or welfare social system which steals from this worker to satisfy the bum.

Since most of the general public will not exercise restraint, there are only two alternatives to reduce the economic inductance of the system.

(1) Let the populace bludgeon each other to death in war, which will only result in a total destruction of the living earth.

(2) Take control of the world by the use of economic 'silent weapons' in a form of 'quiet warfare', and reduce the economic inductance of the world to a safe level by a process of benevolent slavery and genocide.

The latter option has been taken as the obviously better option. At this point it should be crystal clear to the reader why absolute secrecy about the silent weapons is necessary. The general public refuses to improve its own mentality and its faith in its fellow man. It has become a herd of proliferating barbarians, and, so' to speak, a blight upon the fate of the earth. They do not care enough about economic science to learn why they have not been able to avoid war despite religious morality, and their religious or self-gratifying refusal to deal with earthly problems renders the solution of the earthly problem unreachable by them. It is left to those few who are truly willing to think and survive as the fittest to survive, to solve the problem for themselves as the few who really care. Otherwise, exposure of the silent weapon would destroy our only hope of preserving the seed of future true humanity.

THE HOUSEHOLD INDUSTRY

The industries of finance (banking), manufacturing, and government, real counterparts of the pure industries of capital, goods, and services, are easily defined because they are generally logically structured. Because of this their processes can be described mathematically and their technical coefficients can be easily deduced. This, however, is not the case with the service industry known as the household industry.

HOUSEHOLD MODELS

When the industry flow diagram is represented by a 2-block system of households on the right and all other industries on the left, the following results.

(labor, etc.)

The arrows from left to right label A, B, C, etc., denote flow of economic value from the industries in the left hand block to the industry in the right hand block called 'households'. These may be thought of as the monthly consumer flows of the following commodities. A - alcoholic beverages, B - beef, C - coffee, U - unknown, etc...

The problem which a theoretical economist faces is that the consumer preferences of any household is not easily predictable and the technical coefficients of any one household tend to be a non-linear, very complex, and variable function income, prices, etc.

Computer information derived from the use of the universal product code in conjunction with credit card purchase as an individual household identifier could change this state of affairs. But the U.P.C. method is not yet available on a national or even a significant regional scale. To compensate for this data deficiency, an alternate indirect approach of analysis has been adopted known as economic shock testing. This method, widely used in the aircraft manufacturing industry develops an aggregate statistical sort of data.

Applied to economics, this means that all of the households in one region or in the whole nation are studied as a group or class rather than individually, and the mass behavior rather than individual behavior is used to discover useful estimates of the technical coefficients governing the economic structure of the hypothetical single household industry.

Notice in the industry flow diagram that the values for the flows A,B,C, etc., are accessible to measurement in terms of selling prices and total sales of commodities.

One method of evaluating the technical coefficients of the household industry depends upon shocking the prices of a commodity and noting the changes in the sales of all of the commodities.

ECONOMIC SHOCK TESTING

In recent times, the application of Operations Research to the study of the public economy has been obvious for anyone who understands the principles of shock testing.

In the shock testing of an aircraft airframe, the recoil impulse of firing a gun mounted on that airframe causes shock waves in that structure which tell aviation engineers the conditions under which parts of the airplane or the whole airplane or its wings will start to vibrate or flutter like a guitar string, a flute reed, or a tuning fork, and disintegrate or fall apart in flight.

Economic engineers achieve the same result in studying the behavior of the economy and the consumer public by carefully selecting a staple commodity such as beef, coffee, gasoline, or sugar and then causing a sudden change or shock in its price or availability, thus kicking everybody's budget and buying habits out of shape.

They then observe the shock waves which result by monitoring the changes in advertising, prices, and sales of that and other commodities.

The objective of such studies is to acquire know-how to set the public economy into a predictable state of motion or change, even a controlled self-destructive state of motion which will convince the public that certain "expert" people should take control of the money system and reestablish security (rather than liberty and justice) for all. When the subject citizens are rendered unable to control their financial affairs, they of course, become totally enslaved, a source of cheap labor.

Not only the prices of commodities, but also the availability of labor can be used as the means of shock testing. Labor strikes deliver excellent test shocks to an economy, especially in the critical service areas of trucking (transportation), communication, public utilities (energy, water, and garbage collection), etc.

By shock testing, it is found that there is a direct relationship between the availability of money flowing in an economy and the psychological outlook and response of masses of people dependent upon that availability.

For example, there is a measurable, quantitative relationship between the price of gasoline, and the probability that a person would experience a headache, feel a need to watch a violent movie, smoke a cigarette, or go to a tavern for a mug of beer.

It is most interesting that, by observing and measuring the economic modes by which the public tries to run from their problems and escape from reality, and by applying the mathematical theory of Operations Research, it is possible to program computers to predict the most probable combination of created events (shocks) which will bring about a complete control and subjugation of the public through a subversion of the public economy (by shaking the plum tree).

INTRODUCTION TO THE THEORY OF ECONOMIC SHOCK TESTING

Let the prices and total sales of commodities be given and symbolized as follows.

Let us assume a simple economic model in which the total number of important (staple) commodities are represented as beef, gasoline, and an aggregate of all other staple commodities which we will call the hypothetical miscellaneous staple commodity 'M'. (e.g., M is an aggregate of C, S, T, U, etc..)

INTRODUCTION TO ECONOMIC AMPLIFIERS

Economic amplifiers are the active components of economic engineering. The basic characteristic of any amplifier, (mechanical, electrical, or economic) is that it receives an input control signal and delivers energy from an independent energy, source to a specified output terminal in a predictable relationship to that input control signal.

The simplest form of economic amplifier is a device called advertising.

If a person is spoken to by a T.V. advertiser as if he were a twelve year old, then, due to suggestibility, he will, with a certain probability, respond or react to that suggestion with the uncritical response of a twelve year old and will reach into his economic reservoir and deliver its energy to buy that product on impulse when he passes it in the store.

An economic amplifier may have several inputs and outputs. Its response might be instantaneous or delayed. Its circuit symbol might be a rotary switch if its options are exclusive, qualitative, 'go' or 'no go', or it might have its parametric input/output relationships specified by a matrix with internal energy sources represented.

Whatever its form might be, its purpose is to govern the flow of energy from a source to an output sink in direct relationship to an input control signal. For this reason, it is called an active circuit element or component.

Economic Amplifiers fall into classes called strategies, and in comparison with electronic amplifiers, the specific internal functions or an economic amplifier are called logistical instead of electrical.

Therefore, economic amplifiers not only deliver power gain, but also, in effect, are used to cause changes in the economic circuitry.

In the design of an economic amplifier we must have some idea of at least five functions, which are:

(1) the available input signals,

(2) the desired output control objectives,

(3) the strategic objective,

(4) the available economic power sources,

(5) the logistical options.

The process of defining and evaluating these factors and incorporating the economic amplifier into an economic system has been popularly called game theory.

The design of an economic amplifier begins with a specification of the power level of the output, which can range from personal to national. The second condition is accuracy of response, i.e., how accurately the output action is a function of the input commands. High gain combined with strong feedback helps to deliver the required precision. Most of the error will be in the input data signal. Personal input data tends to be specific, while national input data tends to be statistical.

SHORT LIST OF INPUTS

Questions to be answered:

(1) what (3) where (5) why

(2) when (4) how (6) who

General sources of information:

(1) telephone taps (3) analysis of garbage

(2) surveillance (4) behavior of children in school

Standard of living by:

(1) food (3) shelter

(2) clothing (4) transportation

Social contacts:

(1) telephone - itemized record of calls

(2) family - marriage certificate, birth certificates, etc.

(3) friends, associates, etc.

(4) memberships in organizations

(5) political affiliation

THE PERSONAL PAPER TRAIL

Personal buying habits, i.e.,

Personal consumer preferences:

(1) checking accounts

(2) credit card purchases

(3) ' tagged' credit card purchases - the credit card purchase of products bearing the U.P.C. (Universal Product Code)

Assets:

(1) checking accounts (5) automobile, etc.

(2) savings accounts (6) safety deposit at bank

(3) real estate (7) stock market

(4) business

Liabilities:

(1) creditors (3) loans

(2) enemies (see legal) (4) consumer credit

Government sources (ploys)\*:

(1) Welfare (4) doles

(2) Social Security (5) grants

(3) U.S.D.A. surplus food (6) subsidies

Government sources (via intimidation)

(1) Internal Revenue Service

(2) OSHA

(3) Census

(4) etc.

\* Principle of this ploy -- the citizen will almost always make the collection of information easy if he can operate on the 'free sandwich principle' of 'eat now, and pay later'.

Other Government sources -- surveillance of U.S. Mail.

HABIT PATTERNS -- PROGRAMMING

Strengths and weaknesses:

(1) activities (sports, hobbies, etc.)

(2) see 'legal' (fear, anger, etc. - crime record)

(3) hospital records (drug sensitivities, reaction to pain, etc.)

(4) psychiatric records (fears, angers, disgusts, adaptability, reactions to stimuli, violence, suggestibility or hypnosis, pain, pleasure, love and sex)

Methods of coping -- adaptability -- behavior:

(1) consumption of alcohol

(2) consumption of drugs

(3) entertainment

(4) religious factors influencing behavior

(5) other methods of escaping from reality.

Payment modus operandi (MO) -- pay on time, etc.:

(1) payment of telephone bills

(2) energy purchases (electric, gas...)

(3) water purchases

(4) repayment of loans

(5) house payments

(6) automobile payments

(7) payments on credit cards

Political sensitivity:

(1) beliefs (3) position (5) projects/activities

(2) contacts (4) strengths/weaknesses

Legal inputs - behavior control

(Excuses for investigation, search, arrest, or employment of force to modify behavior.)

(1) court reports (4) reports made to police

(2) police records - NCIC (5) insurance information

(3) driving record (6) anti-establishment acquaintances

NATIONAL INPUT INFORMATION

Business sources (via I.R.S., etc.):

(1) prices of commodities

(2) sales

(3) investments in

(a) stocks/inventory

(b) production tools and machinery

(c) buildings and improvements

(d) the stock market

Banks and credit bureaus:

(1) credit information

(2) payment information

Miscellaneous Sources:

(1) polls and surveys

(2) publications

(3) telephone records

(4) energy and utility purchases

SHORT LIST OF OUT PUTS

Outputs - create controlled situations

- manipulation of the economy. hence society

- control by control of compensation and losses.

Sequence:

(1) allocates opportunities.

(2) destroys opportunities.

(3) controls the economic industry.

(4) controls the availability of raw materials

(5) controls capital.

(6) controls bank rates.

(7) controls the inflation of the currency.

(8) controls the possession of property.

(9) controls the industrial capacity.

(10) controls manufacturing.

(11) controls the availability of goods (commodities).

(12) controls the prices of commodities.

(13) controls services, the labor force, etc.

(14) controls payments to government officials.

(15) controls the legal functions

(16) controls the personal data file uncorrectable - by the party slandered.

(17) controls advertising.

(18) controls media content.

(19) controls material available for T.V. viewing.

(20) disengages attention from real issues.

(21) engages emotions.

(22) creates disorder, chaos, and insanity.

(23) controls design of more probing tax forms.

(24) controls surveillance.

(25) controls the storage of information.

(26) develops psychological analyses and profiles of individuals.

(27) controls legal functions (repeat of 15).

(28) controls sociological factors.

(29) controls health options.

(30) preys on weaknesses.

(31) cripples strengths.

(32) leaches wealth and substance.

DIVERSION, THE PRIMARY STRATEGY

Experience has proven that the simplest method of securing a silent weapon and gaining control of the public is to keep the public undisciplined and ignorant of basic systems principles on the one hand, while keeping them confused, disorganized, and distracted with matters of no real importance on the other hand.

This is achieved by:

(1) Disengaging their minds, sabotaging their mental activities, by providing a low quality program of public education in mathematics, logic, systems design, and economics, and by discouraging technical creativity.

(2) Engaging their emotions, increasing their self-indulgence and their indulgence in emotional and physical activities, by:

(a) unrelenting emotional affrontations and attacks (mental and emotional rape) by way of a constant barrage of sex, violence, and wars in the media - especially the T.V. and the newspapers.

(b) giving them what they desire - in excess - "junk food for thought" and depriving them of what they really need.

(3) Rewriting history and law and subjecting the public to the deviant creation, thus being able to shift their thinking from personal needs to highly fabricated outside priorities.

These preclude their interest in and discovery of the silent weapons of social automation technology.

The general rule is that there is profit in confusion; the more confusion, the more profit. Therefore, the best approach is to create problems and then offer the solutions.

DIVERSION SUMMARY

MEDIA: Keep the adult public attention diverted away from the real social issues, and captivated by matters of no real importance.

SCHOOLS: Keep the young public ignorant of real mathematics, real economics, real law, and real history.

ENTERTAINMENT: Keep the public entertainment below a sixth grade level.

WORK: Keep the public busy, busy, busy, with no time to think; back on the farm with the other animals.

CONSENT, THE PRIMARY VICTORY

A silent weapon system operates upon data obtained from a docile public by legal (but not always lawful) force. Much information is made available to silent weapon systems programmers through the Internal Revenue Service . (See "Studies In The Structure Of The American Economy" for an I.R.S. source list.) This information consists of the enforced delivery of well organized data contained in federal and state tax forms collected, assembled, and submitted by slave labor provided by taxpayers and employers. Furthermore, the number of such forms submitted to the I.R.S. is a useful indicator of public consent, an important factor in strategic decision making. Other data sources are given in the "Short List Of Inputs.

Consent Coefficients: numerical feedback indicating victory status. Psychological basis:

When the government is able to collect tax and seize private property without just compensation, it is an indication that the public is ripe for surrender and is consenting to enslavement and legal encroachment. A good and easily quantified indicator of harvest time is the number of public citizens who pay income tax.

AMPLIFICATION ENERGY SOURCES

The next step in the process of designing as economic amplifier is discovering the energy sources. The energy sources which support any primitive economic system are, of course, a supply of raw materials, and the consent of the people to labor and consequently assume a certain rank, position, level, or class in the social structure; i.e., to provide labor at various levels in the pecking order.

Each class, in guaranteeing its own level of income, controls the class immediately below it, hence preserves the class structure. This provides stability and security, but also government from the top.

As time goes on and communication and education improve, the lower class elements of the social labor structure become knowledgeable and envious of the good things that the upper class members have. They also begin to attain knowledge of energy systems and the ability to enforce their rise through the class structure.

This threatens the sovereignty of the elite.

If this rise of the lower classes can be postponed long enough, the elite can achieve energy dominance, and labor by consent no longer will hold a position of an essential economic energy source.

Until such energy dominance is absolutely established, the consent of people to labor and let others handle their affairs must be taken into consideration, since failure to do so could cause the people to interfere in the final transfer of energy sources to the control of the elite.

It is essential to recognize that at this time, public consent is still an essential key to the release of energy in the process of economic amplification.

Therefore, consent as an energy release mechanism will now be considered.

LOGISTICS

The successful application of a strategy requires a careful study of inputs, outputs, the strategy connecting the inputs and the outputs, and the available energy sources to fuel the strategy. This study is called logistics.

A logistical problem is studied at the elementary level first, and then levels of greater complexity are studied as a synthesis of elementary factors.

This means that a given system is analyzed, i.e., broken down into its sub-systems, and these in turn are analyzed, until, by this process, one arrives at the logistical 'atom', the individual.

This is where the process of syntheses properly begins, at the time of the birth of the individual.

THE ARTIFICIAL WOMB

From the time a person leaves its mother's womb, its every effort is directed toward building, maintaining, and withdrawing into artificial wombs, various sorts of substitute protective devices or shells.

The objective of these artificial wombs is to provide a stable environment for both stable and unstable activity; to provide a shelter for the evolutionary processes of growth, and maturity - i.e., survival; to provide security for freedom and to provide defensive protection for offensive activity.

THE POLITICAL STRUCTURE OF A NATION - DEPENDENCY

The primary reason why the individual citizens of a country create a political structure is a subconscious wish or desire to perpetuate their own dependency relationship of childhood.

Simply put, they want a human god to eliminate all risk from their life, pat them on the head, kiss their bruises, put a chicken on every dinner table, clothe their bodies, tuck them into bed at night, and tell them that everything will be alright when they wake up in the morning.

This public demand is incredible, so the human god, the political, meets incredibility with incredibility by promising the world and delivering nothing. So who is the bigger liar?, the public?, or the 'godfather'?

This public behavior is surrender born of fear, laziness and expediency. It is the basis of the welfare state as a strategic weapon, useful against a disgusting public.

ACTION/OFFENSE

Most people want to be able to subdue and/or kill other human beings which disturb their daily lives, but they do not want to have to cope with the moral and religious issues which such an overt act on their part might raise. Therefore, they assign the dirty work to others (including their own children) so as to keep the blood off their own hands. They rave about the humane treatment of animals and then sit down to a delicious hamburger from a whitewashed slaughterhouse down the street and out of sight. But even more hypocritical, they pay taxes to finance a professional association of hit men collectively called politicians, and then complain about corruption in government.

RESPONSIBILITY

Again, most people want to be free to do things (to explore, etc.) but they are afraid to fail.

The fear of failure is manifested in irresponsibility, and especially in delegating those personal responsibilities to others where success is uncertain or carries possible or created liabilities (law) which the person is not prepared to accept.

They want authority (root word - 'author'), but they will not accept responsibility or liability. They hire politicians to face reality for them.

SUMMARY

The people hire the politicians so that the people can:

(1) obtain security without managing it.

(2) obtain action without thinking about it.

(3) inflict theft, injury, and death upon others without having to contemplate either life or death.

(4) avoid responsibility for their own intentions.

(5) obtain the benefits of reality and science without exerting themselves in the discipline of facing or learning either of these things.

They give the politicians the power to create and manage a war machine to:

(1) provide for the survival of the NATION/WOMB.

(2) prevent encroachment of anything of anything upon the NATION/WOMB.

(3) destroy the enemy who threatens the NATION/WOMB.

(4) destroy those citizens of their own country who do not conform for the sake of stability of the NATION/WOMB.

Politicians hold many quasi-military jobs, the lowest being the police which are soldiers, the attorneys and the C.P.A.s next who are spies and saboteurs (licensed), and the judges who shout the orders and run the closed union military shop for whatever the market will bear. The generals are industrialists. The 'presidential' level of commander-in-chief is shared by the international bankers. The people know that they have created this farce and financed it with their own taxes (consent), but they would rather knuckle under than be the hypocrite.

Thus, a nation becomes divided into two very distinct parts, a DOCILE SUB-NATION and a POLITICAL SUB-NATION. The political sub-nation remains attached to the docile sub-nation, tolerates it, and leaches its substance until it grows strong enough to detach itself and devour its parent.

SYSTEM ANALYSIS

In order to make meaningful computerized economic decisions about war, the primary economic flywheel, it is necessary to assign concrete logistical values to each element of the war structure -- personnel and material alike.

This process begins with a clear and candid description of the sub-systems of such a structure.

THE DRAFT (As military service)

Few efforts of human behavior modification are more remarkable or more effective than that of the socio-military institution known as the draft. A primary purpose of a draft or other such institution is to instill, by intimidation, in the young males of a society the uncritical conviction that the government is omnipotent. He is soon taught that a prayer is slow to reverse what a bullet can do in an instant. Thus, a man trained in a religious environment for eighteen years of his life can, by this instrument of the government, be broken down, be purged of his fantasies and delusions in a matter of mere months. Once that conviction is instilled, all else becomes easy to instill.

Even more interesting is the process by which a young man's parents, who purportedly love him, can be induced to send him off to war to his death. Although the scope of this work will not allow this matter to be expanded in full detail, nevertheless, a course overview will be possible and can serve to reveal those factors which must be included in some numerical form in a computer analysis of social and war systems.

We begin with a tentative definition of the draft.

The draft: (selective service, etc.) is an institution of compulsory collective sacrifice and slavery, devised by the middle aged and the elderly for the purpose of pressing the young into doing the public dirty work. It further serves to make the youth as guilty as the elders, thus making criticism of the elders by the youth less likely (Generational Stabilizer). It is marketed and sold to the public under the label of "patriotic - national" service.

Once a candid economic definition of the draft is achieved, that definition is used to outline the boundaries of a structure called a Human Value System, which in turn is translated into the terms of game theory. The value of such a slave laborer is given in a Table of Human Values, a table broken down into categories by intellect, experience, post service job demand, etc..

Some of these categories are ordinary and can be tentatively evaluated in terms of the value of certain jobs for which a known fee exists. Some jobs are harder to value because they are unique to the demands of social subversion, for an extreme example: the value of a mother's instruction to her daughter causing that daughter to put certain behavioral demands upon a future husband, ten or fifteen years hence, thus, by suppressing his resistance to a perversion of a government, making it easier for a banking cartel to buy the State of New York in, say, twenty years.

Such a problem leans heavily upon the observations and the data of wartime espionage and many types of psychological testing. But crude mathematical models (algorithms, etc.) can be devised, if not to predict, at least to predetermine theme events with maximum certainty. What does not exist by natural cooperation is thus enhanced by calculated compulsion. Human beings are machines, levers which may be grasped and turned, and there is little real difference between automating a society and automating a shoe factory.

These derived values are variable. (It is necessary to use a current Table of Human Values for computer analysis.) These values are given in true measure rather than U.S. dollars, since the latter is unstable, being presently inflated beyond the production of national goods and services so as to give the economy a false kinetic energy ('paper' inductance).

The silver value is stable, it being possible to buy the same amount with a gram of silver today as could be bought in 1920. Human value measured in silver units changes slightly due to changes in production technology.

ENFORCEMENT

FACTOR I

As in every social system approach, stability is achieved only by understanding and accounting for human nature (action/reaction patterns). A failure to do so can be, and usually is, disastrous. As in other human social schemes, one form or another of intimidation (or incentive) is essential to the success of the draft. Physical principles of action and reaction must be applied to both internal and external sub-systems. To secure the draft, individual brainwashing/programming and both the family unit and the peer group must be engaged and brought under control.

FACTOR II FATHER

The man of the household must be house-broken to ensure that junior will grow up with the right social training and attitudes. The advertising media, etc., are engaged to see to it that father-to-be is pussy-whipped before or by the time he is married. He is taught that he either conforms to the social notch out for him or his sex life will be hobbled and his tender companionship will be zero. He is made to see that women demand security more than logical, principled, or honorable behavior. By the time his son must go to war, father (with jelly for a back bone) will slam a gun into junior's hand before father will risk the censure of his peers, or make a hypocrite of himself by crossing the investment he has in his own personal opinion or self-esteem. Junior will go to war or father will be embarrassed. So junior will go to war, the true purpose of the war notwithstanding.

FACTOR III MOTHER

The female element of human society is ruled by emotion first and logic second. In the battle between logic and imagination, imagination always wins, fantasy prevails, maternal instinct dominates so that the child comes first and the future comes second. A woman with a newborn baby is too starry-eyed to see a wealthy man's cannon fodder or a cheap source of slave labor. A woman must, however, be conditioned to accept the transition to "reality" when it comes, or sooner.

As the transition becomes more difficult to manage, the family unit must be carefully disintegrated, and state controlled public education and state operated child care centers must become more common and legally enforced so as to begin the detachment of the child from the mother and the father at an earlier age. Inoculation of behavioral drugs can speed the transition for the child (mandatory). CAUTION: A woman's impulsive anger can override her fear. An irate woman's power must never be underestimated, and her power over a pussy-whipped husband must likewise never be underestimated. It got women the vote in 1920.

FACTOR IV JUNIOR

The emotional pressure for self-preservation during time of war and the self-serving attitude of the common herd that have an option to avoid the battlefield -- if junior can be persuaded to go -- is all of the pressure finally necessary to propel Johnny off to war. Their quiet black mailings of him are the threats: "No sacrifice, no friends; no glory, no girlfriends."

FACTOR V SISTER

And what about junior's sister? She is given all the good things of life by her father, and taught to expect the same from her future husband regardless of the price.

FACTOR VI CATTLE

Those who will not use their brains are not better off than those who have no brains, and so this mindless school of jellyfish, father, mother, son, and daughter, become useful beasts of burden or trainers of the same.

 This concludes what is available (and what was found) of this government document.

**Additional references:** Bill Ryan – Project Avelon, [www.projectavelon.org](http://www.projectavelon.org/);

ALTERNATIVE MEDIA JOURNALIST, [www.freedomcentral.info](http://www.freedomcentral.info/).
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